

USFS – Stanislaus National Forest

Comments submitted by the OHV Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #8(a) – The narrative does not support the response with regards to bulletin boards, signs or kiosk, at the majority of staging areas, trailheads, or other areas where the public gathers provide information concerning safe and responsible OHV recreation.
- #8(b) – The narrative does not support the response with regards to onsite education efforts. Applicant may want to provide additional information.
- #8(d) – The narrative does not support the response with regard to frequency of onsite education efforts. Applicant may want to provide additional information.

Development – Interface OHV Parking Area	G10-02-19-D01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff, “Other-LEO (Enforcement/Monitoring)” – This line item is not eligible under the Development project. • Staff, “Other-FPO (Enforcement/Monitoring)” – This line item is not eligible under the Development project. • Staff, “Other-Volunteers (Enforcement Monitoring)” – This line item is not eligible under the Development project. • Materials/Supplies, “Other Tools” – This line item belongs in the, “Equipment Use Expenses”, category. 	

Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #5 – Narrative does not support the response. • #6 – Narrative does not support the response. • #7 – Project appears to improve “support facilities” which is the second selection. • #9 – Narrative does not support the response with regards to dates for conference call(s) with interested parties or meeting(s) with stakeholders. • #10 – Narrative does not correspond with volunteer line on cost estimate. Applicant want to provide additional information. • #12 – Narrative does not support the response. • #13 – Need Reference Document. 	

Development – Twomile	G10-02-19-D02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #3 – Items specified for, “Other (Specify) [Annual OHV Events (e.g. dual sport rides, family OHV event)] are not eligible. • #5 – Narrative does not support the response. • #6 – Narrative does not support the response. • #9 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to, “Conference calls”. • #10 – Narrative does not support the response. Applicant’s response identifies only potential partners as opposed to confirmed partners. Applicant my want to provided additional information. • #12 – Narrative does not support the response. • #13 – Need Reference Document 	

Ground Operations – Stanislaus NF	G10-02-19-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff, "Other – District Ranger" – Appear to be indirect costs. Applicant may want to provide additional information. 	

- Staff, "Other – District PS PAL" – Appear to be indirect costs. Applicant may want to provide additional information.
- Staff, "Other – Forest PS PAL" – Appear to be indirect costs. Applicant may want to provide additional information.
- Materials/Supplies, "Other - Barriers (Rock)" – If the purpose is for restoration the applicant should revise the cost estimate. Applicant may want to provide additional information.
- Equipment Use Expenses, "Other – Sound Monitor Calibration" – This is not an appropriate Ground Operations activity and should not be included in the Ground Operations grant.
- Equipment Purchases, "Other – ATV" – This line item is unclear. Applicant may want to provide additional information.
- Equipment Purchases, "Other – Snowmobile" – This line item is unclear. Applicant may want to provide additional information.
- Others, "Other – OHV Trail Repair training" – This line item appears to be an indirect cost. Applicant may want to provide additional information.
- Others, "Other – OHV Trail Monitoring and Surveys" – This line item appears to be an indirect cost. Applicant may want to provide additional information.

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to, "additional damage". Applicant may want to provide additional information.
- #4 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to dates of public input. Applicant may want to provide additional information.
- #5 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to how each partner(s) will be utilized in the project. Applicant may want to provide additional information.
- #6 – "Site design precludes" is not an accurate response. Applicant may want to revise narrative.
- #7 – Narrative does not support the response. Maps are not part of the project. "Other" response is redundant.
- #8 – Narrative does not support the response.

Restoration – Jones Meadow	G10-02-19-R01
----------------------------	---------------

Project Description

- B – Applicant needs to clarify how the proposed project relates to OHV Recreation and how OHV Recreation caused the damage.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff, "Management/Admin Staff (Planning)" - Does not appear to be a direct cost. Applicant may want to provide additional information.
- Materials/Supplies, "Information Kiosks" – Applicant may want to provide additional information.

Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to, “Domestic water supply”. Applicant may want to provide additional information. • #3 – Need a reference document. • #6 – Need a reference document. • #7 – Narrative does not support the response with regards to, “...and identify the dates of the meetings or calls”. • #10 – The narrative does not support response. • #11 – Applicant may want to provide additional information confirming the size of sensitive habitats which will be restored for this project. 	

Restoration – Liberty Hill	G10-02-19-R02
----------------------------	---------------

Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • E – Restoration planning activities appear they would be completed prior to the earliest start date of this project, (if successful), as per second paragraph, item A. Planning restoration activities are not required for restoration projects that don’t involve planning elements. 	

Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – Cost items associated with planning for restoration (e.g., “Planning”) would not be eligible if these activities are completed prior to the start of this project (if successful). • Materials / Supplies – Cost item associated with planning for restoration (e.g., “Letters/Postage”) would not be eligible if these activities are completed prior to the start of the project (if successful). 	

Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #6 – Need reference document. • #7 – The narrative does not support the response with regard to, “...dates of the meetings or calls”. • #8 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to, “...how partners listed will participate in the project”. Only 2 of 3 partners are participating. Applicant may want to provide additional information. • #9 – Project does not appear to include scientific and cultural studies. • #10 – The narrative does not support response. • #11 – Applicant may want to verify the response is relative to the size of sensitive habitats which will be restored for this project. 	

Restoration – Twomile	G10-02-19-R03
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to, “Sensitive areas”. Applicant may want to provide additional information. • #6 – Need reference document. • #9 – Project does not appear to include scientific and cultural studies. • #10 – The narrative does not support response. • #11 – Applicant may want to verify the response is relative to the size of sensitive habitats which will be restored for this project. 	

Restoration – Mi-Wok	G10-02-19-R04
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff, “Other-Management/Admin Staff (Planning)” – Does not appear to be a direct cost. Applicant may want to provide additional information. • Staff, “Management/Admin Staff (Implementa)” – Does not appear to be direct cost. Applicant may want to provide additional information. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to “Domestic water supply” and “Soils - Site actively eroding”. Applicant may want to provide additional information. • #6 – Need reference document. • #7 – Narrative does not support the response with regards to, “...dates for meetings or calls”. Applicant may want to provide additional information. • #9 – Project does not appear to include scientific and cultural studies. • #10 – The narrative does not support response. • #11 – Applicant may want to verify the response is relative to the size of sensitive habitats which will be restored for this project. 	

Restoration – Stanislaus	G10-02-19-R05
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘A’ – Applicant may want to clarify if planning for restoration activities are part of this project (Project Cost Estimate includes cost items referencing “NEPA”). 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost items associated with “NEPA” do not appear to be part of this project. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #6 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to, “Applicant’s operational budget”. Applicant may want to provide additional information (reference document). • #7 – Narrative does not support the response with regards to, “...dates of meetings or calls”. Applicant may want to provide additional information. • #9 – Project does not appear to include scientific and cultural studies. • #10 – The narrative does not support response. • #11 – Applicant may want to verify the response is relative to the size of sensitive habitats which will be restored for this project. 	