

2015/16 Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program  
Factual Findings

<b>USFS - Shasta-Trinity National Forest</b>
<p>The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division’s review and determination of the Applicant’s final application.</p> <p>For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations at <a href="http://www.ohv.parks.ca.gov/pages/1140/files/2008-regulations-rev-1-16.pdf">http://www.ohv.parks.ca.gov/pages/1140/files/2008-regulations-rev-1-16.pdf</a></p>
General Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 2 points.</li> <li>• #6 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.</li> <li>• #10 – Narrative does not support At least 25% but less than 50% of holidays and weekends. The narrative states sound testing did not take place in 2015. Deduct 2 points.</li> </ul>

<b>West Parks Eddy Lakes OHV Access</b>	<b>G15-02-16-D01</b>
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No change.</li> </ul>	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #12 – Applicant’s previous grant awards do not support the selection. Deduct 2 points.</li> </ul>	

<b>Maintenance, Operations, Repairs</b>	<b>G15-02-16-G01</b>
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No change.</li> </ul>	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No change.</li> </ul>	

2015/16 Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program  
Factual Findings

<b>Restoration – Eddy Creek Meadow</b>	<b>G15-02-16-R02</b>
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No change.</li> </ul>	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No change.</li> </ul>	

<b>Mt. Shasta Avalanche Safety Program</b>	<b>G15-02-16-S01</b>
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Contracts – “FMSAC Executive Director”, was initially identified as a staff position in the preliminary application. Applicant was advised per Division comment to move costs to the indirect category. Applicant failed to properly address Division comment by moving staff position to Contracts.</li> <li>• As a result contract costs of \$18,304.00 are deducted. Total direct expenses are reduced from \$52,849.00 to \$34,545.00.</li> <li>• Indirect is now over its 15% limit of direct grant costs. Deduct \$2,738.00 in indirect match costs. Indirect is now \$3,482.00.</li> <li>• Match is now \$27,968.</li> </ul>	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #3 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.</li> <li>• #11 – The narrative does not support the response. Deduct 2 points.</li> </ul>	

<b>Trail Ranger Education</b>	<b>G15-02-16-S02</b>
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff - "Forest OHV Coordinator-Work Lead", Applicant increased rate without Division or public comment. Deduct \$200.00 from grant and \$1,500.00 from match.</li> <li>• Match is now below 25%. Deduct \$578.00 from grant and move to match.</li> <li>• Match is now \$18,207.00.</li> </ul>	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #3 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.</li> <li>• #8 – Narrative does not support the selections; Self-guided trails • or 'Social media' (platform). Deduct 2 points</li> </ul>	