

USFS - San Bernardino National Forest

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #1a – Dates cannot be in the future.
- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #4 – Applicant must verify response.
- #5 – Applicant must verify response.
- #8b – The narrative does not support “50 or more times per year”. Only onsite education efforts are eligible for credit.
- #8d – The narrative does not support “4-17 times per year”. Need to identify how often the ASI and MSF trainings are provided to the public.
- #9 – Applicant must verify response.
- #14 – The narrative does not support “Has engaged established an OHV Commission or stakeholder group...”. Need to identify more than one agency.

Ground Operations	G11-02-14-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify how the “Archaeology Site Steward Program” will differ from the USFS - Pacific Southwest Region’s Education and Safety (California Archaeological Site Stewardship Program) project. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – “Other-OHV tech San Jacinto/Mountaintop” - Law enforcement activities are not eligible under this project type. Need to revise accordingly. • Staff – “Other-Grant Administration” is an Indirect Cost. • Staff – “Other-Recreation Trails” - Clarify working title for this position. Trail monitoring activities are duplicated in several other positions listed - clarify trail monitoring activities for each position. • Staff – “Other-Archaeologist” appears twice and appears to be a duplicate line item. • Materials / Supplies – Provide a breakdown/itemize cost (i.e., quantity and rate) for items included in “Fencing Supplies” in ‘Note’ section. • Materials / Supplies – “Other-MC/ATV fleet maintenance” and “Other-Trailer/facility maintenance” should be moved to the ‘Equipment Use Expenses’ category. • Materials / Supplies – Cost for “Other-Big Macks/Little Macks” appears excessive. • Materials / Supplies – Identify necessity for nine “Other-GPS/Camera for OHV monitoring” for the successful completion of the project. • Materials / Supplies – “Other-MC/ATV fleet maintenance” should be moved to the ‘Equipment Use Expenses’ category. • Equipment Use Expenses – Identify vehicles to be used for “Other-Soil/Trail monitoring”. • Equipment Purchases – “Other-Dump Trailer” is not identified as part of the project. Provide additional information in the Project Description section. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #5 – Identify the specific “Adopt a Trail” clubs and explain how each club will directly participate in the project. Also, provide more information for the ‘Site Stewardship Volunteers’ (e.g., Is this an formally organized group? Do the Site Stewardship Volunteers work just for the Forest?, etc.) • #6 – “Providing bridges instead of wet crossings where appropriate” is not related to this project. • #7 – Trail maps are not identified as part of this project. • #8 – The narrative does not support a “Yes” response. 	

Planning - Trails Planning for Deep Creek/Coxey Area	G11-02-14-P01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – Provide more detail for “Other-Engineer” and “Other-Recreation Staff”. • Staff – “Recreation Specialist” is listed twice - provide more detail. • Staff – “Other-Public Affairs Specialist” appears to be an Indirect Cost - provide more detail. • Contracts – Provide more detail about the specifics of the “Other-Soil Scientist” contract. Also, how was the amount determined? • Equipment Use Expenses – Provide more detail for “Field Vehicle”. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support checked item “Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents”. • #8 – Narrative and reference document do not support a “Yes” response. 	

Restoration - Restoration Monitoring and Maintenance Forestwide	G11-02-14-R01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contracts – “Other-Boulder Placement” - This contract is related to physical barriers along the Bighorn Wilderness Boundary. This activity was not discussed in the Project Description section. Materials / Supplies – “Fencing Cable”, “Signs” and “Information Kiosk” - These items are related to physical barriers and signage along the Bighorn Wilderness Boundary. This activity was not discussed in the Project Description section. Materials / Supplies – “Other-Greenhouse supplies” - The applicant should ensure these activities are not duplicated in the San Bernardino National Forest Association restoration project. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #2 – Narrative does not support checked items “Threatened and endangered species” and “Other special-status species”. Narrative should identify at least three individual “Threatened and endangered species” and “Other special-status species”. #4 – Narrative does not correspond with the Project Description and Project Cost Estimate sections regarding boulders and fencing. #10 – Narrative does not support a “Yes” response. #11 – Project Description does not support response - does not identify the size of sensitive habitats to be restored by the project. 	
Restoration - Cajon Wash Boulder Placement	G11-02-14-R03
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff – “Ecologist” - Title and description in the ‘Notes’ section (Biologist) are inconsistent. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #10 – Narrative does not support a “Yes” response. #11 – Project Description section does not support response - does not identify the size of sensitive habitats to be restored by the project. 	