

USFS Humboldt-Toiyabe

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant to verify response.
- #5 – Applicant to verify response.
- #8b –The narrative does not support “5 to 19 times per year”. Only onsite education efforts are eligible for credit.
- #9 - Applicant to verify response.
- #14 – The narrative does not support “Has engaged in collaborative processes with agencies...” and “Has created a special fund to set aside funding to sustain OHV Recreation”.

Ground Operations -

G11-02-04-G01

Project Description

- Applicant must keep Ground Operation activities separate from law enforcement activities. Applicant must adjust cost estimate accordingly.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff – Carson Rec Officer – This is an indirect cost.
- Staff – Carson Rec Specialist – This is an indirect cost.
- Staff – Bridgeport Rec Staff – Need to identify how this position is project related.
- Staff – Forest Recreation Staff – Need to identify how these positions are project related.

Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #4 - Need to clarify the dates of all meetings. The narrative states “2010”. Also, need to identify the stakeholders. • #5 – The narrative only supports the partnerships of the Friends of Hope Valley and the Sierra Tahoe Snowmobiling Club. The other partnerships identified do not appear related to the project. • #6 – “Providing bridges instead of wet crossings where appropriate” is not related to this project.

Restoration -	G11-02-04-R01
---------------	---------------

Project Description
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A – Dog Valley Culvert Replacement component of the project is more appropriate as part of a Ground Operations project. • A – Mule Deer Habitat Restoration component of the project identifies four of six gates as being outside the State of California. California OHV Trust Funds may not be used to construct facilities outside California. Costs associated with these gates are ineligible. • F – Not applicable. This section only applies for scientific and cultural studies.

Project Cost Estimate

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – “Other-Road Engineer” appears to be associated with the culvert replacement portion of the project. This is not eligible under restoration and should be included in the Ground Operations project. • Staff – “Other-Road Crew” appears to be associated with the culvert replacement portion of the project. This is not eligible under restoration and should be included in the Ground Operations project. • Contracts – “Other-Gates” Four of six gates are located outside California and are ineligible. Costs for the four gates must be deleted. • Materials/Supplies – “Other-Culverts” and “Other-Gravel” appears to be associated with the culvert replacement portion of the project. This is not eligible under restoration and should be included in the Ground Operations project. • Equipment Use Expenses – “Other-Road Crew Equip” appears to be associated with the culvert replacement portion of the project. This is not eligible under restoration and should be included in the Ground Operations project. • Other – “Other-Volunteer Contributions” Explain role of “Other- Volunteer Contributions” and how it directly relates to the project. Volunteer manpower should be included in the Staff section of the cost estimate.

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Narrative does not support “Soils” and “Sensitive areas”. Three sensitive areas are indicated, but only one is described in narrative.
- #4 – Narrative does not support “Best management Practices” or “Identification of alternative OHV routes”.
- #5 – Applicant must supply date for identified plan.
- #6 – Narrative does not support response. It appears that the primary funding for this applicant has been the OHV Trust Fund.
- #7 – Narrative does not support response. Response should be specific to the project.
- #8 – Narrative does not support response.
- #9 – Not applicable. Project is not a scientific or cultural study.
- #10 – Narrative does not support response.
- #11 – Applicant must verify sensitive area to be restored in revised project.