

## The Watershed Research and Training Center

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities. Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow "green sticker" off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding.

Applicants are reminded that no grant funds and/or match can be expended or project activities conducted in any land owned or managed by the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

### General Evaluation Criteria

- #5 – This question is in reference to the Applicant's OHV grant projects, not the Land Manager's.
- #10 – Narrative does not support "At least 25% but less than 50%..." It is unclear if sound testing is currently being conducted.
- #13 – This question is to address the Applicant's OHV outreach efforts, not the land manager's efforts. It is unclear if applicant's selections represent their own efforts.

Ground Operations	G15-04-50-G01
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Applicant must explain project cost for one third of trail mileage in comparison with previous year's project.</li> <li>• Maintenance and restoration of foot trails damaged by OHV use is a Restoration project component and not Ground Operations. Applicant must remove reference to this and adjust cost estimate accordingly.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – OHV Coordinator- Some listed duties are Indirect Costs. Applicant must delete these from line item and move to Indirect Costs category.</li> <li>• Staff – Hayfork Youth Crew- Project Description indicates that this crew will be performing Restoration activities which are not eligible for a Ground Operations project.</li> <li>• Others – Travel is an Indirect Cost.</li> <li>• Others – Volunteer Trail Crew Lodging, Volunteer Trail Crew Meals, Meals for WRTC Field Crew Overnigh- These lines are an Indirect Cost. Applicant may charge a per diem and/or a stipend for spike out situations.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #4 – Narrative does not support Meetings with Stakeholders selection. Applicant must explain how the identified stakeholders are stakeholders in this Ground Operations project.</li> <li>• #8 – Project Description does not support “Hunting”, “Equestrian trails”, or “Rock Climbing” selections.</li> </ul>	