

Student Conservation Association

Comments submitted by the OHMVR Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #5 – Selection made is incorrect. SCA is a first time applicant.
- #7b & c – Narrative should match the land manager’s response.
- #8d – Narrative conflicts with response. Applicant should confer with the land manager.
- #9b – Selections should match the land manager’s response.

Restoration

G08-04-23-R02

Project Description

- No comments

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff – The Unit of Measure (UOM) is not identified for each cost.
- Staff – Costs for the “CWC Desert Program Director” and “Policy Director” appear to be a contract. If so, all contracts should be listed under Contracts.
- Other – The need for “Risk Management” is unclear. Applicant may wish to provide further details to clarify the need.

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Response to “Sensitive Areas” is incorrect. The question is requesting the number of sensitive habitats.
- #5 – The name of the adopted plan is not identified.
- #8 - Applicants may not list themselves as a partner organization.