



**California State Parks
OHMVR Division
2007-2008**

Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program

**Project Scores and
Factual Findings**

Non-CESA Projects

Project Number	Project Title	Page Number
ACQUISITION PROJECTS		
G07-01-14-A01	BLM Redding Field Office Acquisition	7
DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS		
G07-01-04-D01	BLM Barstow Field Office Development	11
G07-03-24-D01	Porterville, City of Development	15
G07-02-04-D01	USFS Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest Blue Lakes Development	18
FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (FO&M) PROJECTS		
G07-01-02-F01	BLM Arcata Field Office Facilities Operation and Maintenance	21
G07-01-09-F01	BLM El Centro Field Office Facilities Operation and Maintenance	25
G07-01-11-F01	BLM Hollister Field Office Facilities Operation and Maintenance	31
G07-01-15-F01	BLM Ridgecrest Field Office Facilities Operation and Maintenance	34
G07-03-24-F01	Porterville, City of Facilities Operation and Maintenance	39
G07-03-19-F01	Santa Clara County Parks and Recreation Department Facilities Operation and Maintenance	42
G07-03-20-F01	Stanislaus County Frank Raines Regional OHV Park Facilities Operation and Maintenance	45
G07-03-20-F02	Stanislaus County La Grange Regional OHV Park Facilities Operation and Maintenance	50
G07-03-04-F01	Tulare Recreation, Parks and Library Department Facilities Operation and Maintenance	55
G07-02-02-F01	USFS Cleveland National Forest Corral Canyon - Bear Valley OHV Area Facilities Operation and Maintenance	58
G07-02-02-F02	USFS Cleveland National Forest Wildomar OHV Area Facilities Operation and Maintenance	61
G07-02-03-F01	USFS Eldorado National Forest Facilities Operation and Maintenance	65
G07-02-08-F01	USFS Lassen National Forest High Lakes, Front Country and Potato Buttes Facilities Operation and Maintenance	69
G07-02-08-F02	USFS Lassen National Forest Jonesville and Ashpan OSV Staging Areas Facilities Operation and Maintenance	72
G07-02-09-F01	USFS Los Padres National Forest Facilities Operation and Maintenance	75
G07-02-10-F01	USFS Mendocino National Forest Facilities Operation and Maintenance	78
G07-02-13-F01	USFS Plumas National Forest Facilities Operation and Maintenance	84
G07-02-14-F01	USFS San Bernardino National Forest Facilities Operation and Maintenance	87
G07-02-15-F01	USFS Sequoia National Forest Facilities Operation and Maintenance	91
G07-02-17-F01	USFS Sierra National Forest Bass Lake Ranger District Facilities Operation and Maintenance	95
G07-02-17-F02	USFS Sierra National Forest High Sierra Ranger District Facilities Operation and Maintenance	98
G07-02-20-F01	USFS Tahoe National Forest Facilities Operation and Maintenance	101

Project Number	Project Title	Page Number
----------------	---------------	-------------

OHV SAFETY AND/OR EDUCATION PROGRAM PROJECTS

G07-01-04-S01	BLM Barstow Field Office OHV Safety and/or Education Program	105
G07-01-07-S01	BLM California State Office OHV Safety and/or Education Program	109
G07-01-11-S01	BLM Hollister Field Office OHV Safety and/or Education Program	113
G07-01-12-S01	BLM Needles Field Office OHV Safety and/or Education Program	117
G07-01-13-S01	BLM Palm Springs South Coast Field Office OHV Safety and/or Education Program	121
G07-03-23-S01	Mammoth Lakes, Town of OHV Safety and/or Education Program	125
G07-03-14-S01	Riverside County Sheriff's Department OHV Safety and/or Education Program	129
G07-04-02-S01	San Bernardino National Forest Association OHV Safety and/or Education Program	133
G07-02-09-S01	USFS Los Padres National Forest OHV Safety and/or Education Program	137
G07-02-15-S01	USFS Sequoia National Forest OHV Safety and/or Education Program	142

PLANNING PROJECTS

G07-01-06-P01	BLM California Desert District Office Planning	146
G07-01-07-P01	BLM California State Office Planning	151
G07-01-13-P01	BLM Palm Springs South Coast Field Office Blythe - Colorado River Corridor Planning	157
G07-01-13-P02	BLM Palm Springs South Coast Field Office South Coast - Border Mountains Planning	163
G07-02-08-P01	USFS Lassen National Forest Forest-wide Route Designation Planning	169
G07-02-08-P02	USFS Lassen National Forest Front Country OHV Area Planning	174
G07-02-09-P01	USFS Los Padres National Forest Planning	179
G07-02-12-P01	USFS Pacific Southwest Region Planning	183
G07-02-13-P01	USFS Plumas National Forest Planning	188
G07-02-15-P01	USFS Sequoia National Forest Eshom Staging Area Development Planning	193
G07-02-15-P02	USFS Sequoia National Forest kern River and Hot Springs Ranger Districts Planning	197
G07-02-15-P03	USFS Sequoia National Forest Millwood Staging Area Redesign Planning	202
G07-02-17-P01	USFS Sierra National Forest Planning	207

TRAIL MAINTENANCE

G07-01-02-T01	BLM Arcata Field Office Trail Maintenance	211
G07-01-11-T01	BLM Hollister Field Office Trail Maintenance	214
G07-01-13-T01	BLM Palm Springs South Coast Field Office Trail Maintenance	219
G07-01-14-T01	BLM Redding Field Office Trail Maintenance	222
G07-01-15-T01	BLM Ridgecrest Field Office Trail Maintenance	225

Project Number	Project Title	Page Number
G07-03-19-T01	Santa Clara County Parks and Recreation Department Trail Maintenance	229
G07-02-01-T01	USFS Angeles National Forest Trail Maintenance	232
G07-02-02-T01	USFS Cleveland National Forest Corral Canyon - Bear Valley OHV Area Trail Maintenance	235
G07-02-02-T02	USFS Cleveland National Forest Wildomar Area Trail Maintenance	238
G07-02-03-T01	USFS Eldorado National Forest Trail Maintenance	241
G07-02-05-T01	USFS Inyo National Forest Trail Maintenance	245
G07-02-09-T01	USFS Los Padres National Forest Trail Maintenance	248
G07-02-10-T01	USFS Mendocino National Forest Trail Maintenance	251
G07-02-13-T01	USFS Plumas National Forest Trail Maintenance	255
G07-02-14-T01	USFS San Bernardino National Forest Trail Maintenance	258
G07-02-15-T01	USFS Sequoia National Forest Trail Maintenance	262
G07-02-17-T01	USFS Sierra National Forest Bass Lake Ranger District Trail Maintenance	265
G07-02-17-T02	USFS Sierra National Forest High Sierra Ranger District Trail Maintenance	268
G07-02-18-T01	USFS Six Rivers National Forest Trail Maintenance	271
G07-02-20-T01	USFS Tahoe National Forest Trail Maintenance	275

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 2, Acquisition Projects)

Applicant:	BLM Redding Field Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM Redding Field Office Acquisition	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-14-A01

ACQUISITION PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more (10 points)			
	36% - 50% (7 points)			
	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; e.g., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours dedicated to running a public meeting for an acquisition Project, or cash contribution towards purchase.				
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized whenever possible.				
a. Special-Status Species - Enter the number of Special-Status Species that are known to occur in the Project Area noted in the WHPP/HMP, (OHV Form F).				
Number of Special-Status Species: <u>15</u>				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	No Special-Status Species occur in Project area (5 points)			
	One to five Special-Status Species occur in Project area (4 points)			
	Six to ten Special-Status Species in Project area (2 points)			
X	More than ten Special-Status Species occur in Project area (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.

b. Potential Affects on Special-Status Species Habitat - In the box below, list the Special-Status Species habitat (if any) present in the Project Area, and how impacts would be mitigated or avoided.

Gastropods
 Siskyou sideband *Monadenia chacean* **Bradyabaenidae**
 Oregon shoulderband *Helminthoglypta hertleini* **Helminthoglyptidae**
 Trinity shoulderband *Helminthoglypta talmedgei* **Helminthoglyptidae**
 Tehama chaparral *Trilobopsis tehamana* **Polygyridae**

Amphibians
 Shasta salamander *Hydromantes shastae* (HYSH) **Plethodontidae**
 Foothill yellow-legged frog *Rana boylei* (RABO) **Ranidae**
 Tailed frog *Ascaphus truei* (ASTR) **Ascaphaidae**

Birds
 Sharp-shinned hawk *Accipiter striatus* (ACST) **Accipitridae**
 Cooper's hawk *Accipiter cooperi* (ACCO) **Accipitridae**
 Northern spotted owl *Strix occidentalis caurina* (STOCCA) **Strigidae**
 Yellow-breasted chat *Icteria virens* (ICVI) **Parulidae**

Mammals
 Pacific fisher *Martes pennanti pacifica* **Mustelidae**
 Long-eared myotis *Myotis evotis* **Vespertilionidae**
 Townsend's big-eared bat *Corynorhinus townsendii* **Vespertilionidae**
 Pallid bat *Antrozous pallidus* **Vespertilionidae**

An environmental assessment was prepared for this project, reviewed by the Redding Field Office Biologist and Botanist, and it has been determined that special-status species habitat would not be affected.

Upon acquisition of any parcels, all actions that would take place on the acquired lands, such as trail development, would be considered individually and would be subject to environmental review through the NEPA process. Any trail maintenance that would take place on the parcels would include the maintenance of all erosion control features including culverts, rolling dips, grade reversals, and outslopes. Any disturbed slopes would be stabilized with geo-netting, straw wattles, straw, and would be planted with native seeds to help prevent erosion.

	No Special-Status Species habitat is known to occur in the Project Area.
--	--

X	Habitat for <u>15</u> (enter number of species) Special-Status Species is known to occur in Project
---	---

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	No Special-Status Species habitat is known to occur in the Project area (5 points)
--	---

	Habitat for 1-5 Special-Status Species is known to occur in Project area (4 points)
--	--

	Habitat for 6-10 Special-Status Species is known to occur in Project area (3 points)
--	---

X	Habitat for more than 10 Special-Status Species is known to occur in Project area (No score)
---	---

Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
-----------------	---	-------------------	---	---------

c. Cultural Resources - In 500 words or less, describe the impacts (if any) to cultural sites, and how impacts would be mitigated.

N/A

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Project has no impacts to cultural sites (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Project impacts will be mitigated (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Project has unavoidable detrimental impacts to cultural resources (no score, Project will be returned to Applicant without further consideration)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Funding source for future development and operation cost - In 500 words or less, describe how future development and operational costs would be funded.				
Future development and operational costs would be funded through a variety of avenues including agency contributions (base funding and project specific funding), cooperating partners, challenge cost share, and future grant funding.				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Applicant's operational budget only (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Volunteer support and/or donations (3 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	More than one funding source (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other Grant funding (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Funding would come entirely from future OHV Trust Funds (no score)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Benefit to Applicant’s OHV Recreation Program?

Describe how the Acquisition Project would lead to the desired outcome/result:

The Chappie-Shasta OHV Area offers a variety of motorized recreation opportunities in northern California. With a rapidly rising population, and an increasing number of OHV enthusiasts moving to northern California, the demand for managed, legal riding areas is increasing. Chappie-Shasta meets this demand in a region that offers very few other managed OHV opportunities. This acquisition project will help support the continuing effort to provide more OHV recreation opportunities to meet the increasing demand. The Redding BLM Field Office Resource Management Plan gives high priority direction to acquire available unimproved lands within this area, which provide legal public access, complete segments of recreation trails, etc... This acquisition project falls directly under this objective.

Acquisition of these parcels will improve existing OHV opportunities by increasing the variety and amount of trail riding available, while securing access to an extensive trail network. Acquiring the parcels will allow the BLM to complete much needed trail maintenance on trail segments that are currently privately owned. In addition, this project will fund the acquisition of parcels that offer excellent potential for future trail development.

Six of the properties identified within this project already have trail systems being used by OHV enthusiasts. Some of these properties do not have legal easements on the trail systems. Current owners of these properties are allowing public access, however this access could be revoked at any time. If this project is funded, and the BLM acquires these properties, it will ensure legal access and allow more efficient maintenance of the trail systems. By purchasing properties with existing OHV opportunities, this project exhibits the most efficient use of OHV funds, as well as the ability to use the property to provide for OHV recreation opportunities.

The property values within this area have greatly increased over the past ten years and continue to rise. The BLM would like to complete acquisitions in a timely manner in order to avoid higher future costs. If funded, this project will be a top priority and will be carried out within the specified timeline.

Reference:

Title: Redding Resource Management Plan
 Date: July 1992
 Pg: 3-65
 Location: BLM Redding Field Office355
 Hemsted Drive
 Redding CA 96002

(Check all that apply.)

X	Provide additional OHV Opportunity
X	Expand the types of vehicles that can use the OHV Opportunity
X	Resolve conflict related to OHV Recreation
X	Protect private property and land owners adjacent to the proposed acquisition from high levels of sound, trespass, and property damage
X	Protect/Maintain existing OHV Opportunity

Scoring: 2 points for each for a maximum of 10 points

Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
-----------------	----	-------------------	----	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 4, Development Projects)**

Applicant:	BLM Barstow Field Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM Barstow Field Office Development	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-04-D01

DEVELOPMENT PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more (10 points)			
	36% - 50% (7 points)			
	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours of construction, or cash contribution towards purchase of construction materials.				
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized				
a. Potential affects on Special-Status Species - Indicate the number of Special-status Species (if any) present in the Project area.				
X	No Special-Status Species are known to occur in the Project area.			
	<u>0</u> (enter number of species) Special-Status Species is/are known to occur in Project area			
Source of information listed above: Dumont Dunes OHV Recreation Area Management Plan & 2007 Supplemental EA				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Special-Status Species occur in Project area (5 points)			
	One to five Special-Status Species occur in Project area (4 points)			
	Six to ten Special-Status Species in Project area (2 points)			
	More than ten Special-Status Species occur in Project area (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
b. Potential affects on Special-Status Species habitat - List the Special-Status Species Habitat (if any) present in the Project area.				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Special-Status Species habitat is known to occur in the Project area.			
	Habitat for <u>0</u> (enter number of species) Special-Status Species is known to occur in Project area.			
Source of information listed above: Dumont Dunes OHV Recreation Area Management Plan and 2007 Supplemental EA				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Special-Status Species habitat is known to occur in the Project area (5 points)			
	Habitat for 1-5 Special-Status Species is known to occur in Project area (4 points)			
	Habitat for 6-10 Special-Status Species is known to occur in Project area (3 points)			
	Habitat for more than 10 Special-status Species is known to occur in Project area (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
c. Cultural Resources - Describe impacts (if any) to cultural sites.				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Project has no impacts to cultural sites (5 points)			
	Project impacts would be mitigated (3 points)			
	Project has unavoidable detrimental impacts to cultural resources (no score, Project will be returned to Applicant without further consideration)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
3. The Project is designed to provide for diversified use - (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATV	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4X4	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	M.C.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recreation Utility Vehicle	
	OSV	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other: <u>Dune buggies and sand rails</u>	

Describe the nature of the facilities for each item checked above:

This project is relevant to the issue of any and all types of OHV use at the dunes. Without the perimeter barrier, visitors routinely ride OHVs beyond the boundaries and impact sensitive areas where OHV use is not allowed. These impacts can and will not be allowed to continue. In an effort to contain this illegal riding we have tried kiosks, signs, handouts, maps, on site visitor information services and law enforcement. However, the illegal cross boundary riding has not only continued, it has increased each year. Therefore, this facility is designed to provide for ATVs, 4x4s, M.C.s, RUVs and others to continue riding in the sand at Dumont Dunes.

This project also provides for on-going through OHV traffic on the adjacent designated open route network. Currently, OHV travelers may ride north to south past the dunes from Baker to Nevada using the open route network. An open route runs north and south on the long ago abandoned Tonopah and Tidewater (T&T) railroad grade. Existing designated open routes go through the open area to connect the T&T route with the SperryWash Route on the northern boundary of the open area. These designated open routes are available for all types of OHV use, ATVs, M.C.s, 4x4s, RUVs and others. These routes are also open for OHV travel when snow is present.

As a result of constructing the perimeter barrier this access would be blocked. This action would effectively eliminate legal OHV riding opportunities to go from the SperryWash Route to the T&T. This would be a significant loss in OHV opportunity because this is the only green sticker legal route running north and south between Highway 395 and the Nevada stateline. This is also part of the historical permitted annual AMA District 37 2-day Dualsport ride from L.A. to Barstow to Las Vegas. However, the Barstow Field Office recognized the importance of this access route in the 2007 Dumont Dunes Supplemental EA for the perimeter fence and administrative facilities.

The proposed action in the EA includes minor administrative adjustments to the designated route network to address this issue. An alternative designated open route was created that continues around the perimeter barrier up the T&T. A new route is designated open in the EA connecting the T&T with the SperryWash Route. Therefore, because this project provides opportunities for OHV dune recreation, permitted events, and OHV desert touring, it provides diversified OHV opportunities.

Scoring: 1 point each				
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Demonstrated Need - Is there a publicly reviewed and adopted plan that supports the need for the Project? **(Scoring: 5 points for each "Yes".)**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
-------------------------------------	-----	--------------------------	----

Cite reference document:
Management Plan for Dumont Dunes OHV Area, June 1990

Project can be expanded to accommodate future increased visitation.

<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
--------------------------	-----	-------------------------------------	----

Explain:
 The Dumont Dunes OHV Recreation Area can not be expanded because it is surrounded by sensitive desert lands designated for other purposes. The dunes are located amidst some of the most remote, scenic, pristine and environmentally sensitive lands administered by the Barstow Field Office. The recreation area is bounded by wilderness, areas of critical environmental concern, a Wild and Scenic River, a National Historic Trail and Death Valley N.P. There is no room for expansion. Conversely, this project will result in the construction of a permanent boundary barrier to define the long-term opportunity. The issue of carrying capacity may eventually rise if use continues to increase, and riding acreage remains static. There currently is no plan to limit use at the dunes. Management actions are focused on improving the quality of the riding experience, through enhanced amenities and visitor services.

Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
-----------------	---	-------------------	---	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials. In 500 words or less, describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.				
The steel posts and wire rope for the perimeter barrier are used material and therefore are 100% recycled. Practically all steel products produced today contain some recycled steel. However, we do not know the percentage of recycled steel used in the hardware; the clamps, nuts & bolts. The same is true for the reflective materials. We estimate the recycled steel posts and cable used for this project account for approximately ¾ of all materials.				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	100% recycled materials (5 points)			
X	75% recycled materials (4 points)			
	50% recycled materials (3 points)			
	49% or less recycled materials (no score)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 46				

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 4, Development Projects)**

Applicant:	Porterville, City of	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	Porterville, City of Development	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-03-24-D01

DEVELOPMENT PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more (10 points)			
	36% - 50% (7 points)			
X	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours of construction, or cash contribution towards purchase of construction materials.				
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized				
a. Potential affects on Special-Status Species - Indicate the number of Special-status Species (if any) present in the Project area.				
X	No Special-Status Species are known to occur in the Project area.			
	<u>0</u> (enter number of species) Special-Status Species is/are known to occur in Project area			
Source of information listed above: Memo from City Planner				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Special-Status Species occur in Project area (5 points)			
	One to five Special-Status Species occur in Project area (4 points)			
	Six to ten Special-Status Species in Project area (2 points)			
	More than ten Special-Status Species occur in Project area (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
b. Potential affects on Special-Status Species habitat - List the Special-Status Species Habitat (if any) present in the Project area.				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Special-Status Species habitat is known to occur in the Project area.			
	Habitat for <u>0</u> (enter number of species) Special-Status Species is known to occur in Project area.			
Source of information listed above: Memo from City Planner				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Special-Status Species habitat is known to occur in the Project area (5 points)			
	Habitat for 1-5 Special-Status Species is known to occur in Project area (4 points)			
	Habitat for 6-10 Special-Status Species is known to occur in Project area (3 points)			
	Habitat for more than 10 Special-status Species is known to occur in Project area (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
c. Cultural Resources - Describe impacts (if any) to cultural sites.				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Project has no impacts to cultural sites (5 points)			
	Project impacts would be mitigated (3 points)			
	Project has unavoidable detrimental impacts to cultural resources (no score, Project will be returned to Applicant without further consideration)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
3. The Project is designed to provide for diversified use - (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATV		4X4	
	M.C.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recreation Utility Vehicle	
	OSV		Other:	
Describe the nature of the facilities for each item checked above: Project will allow development of a track with "side by side" capabilities allowing both ATVs and Recreational Utility Vehicles to utilize the track in unison.				
Scoring: 1 point each				
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.

4. Demonstrated Need - Is there a publicly reviewed and adopted plan that supports the need for the Project? <i>(Scoring: 5 points for each "Yes".)</i>				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	
Cite reference document: Porterville City Council Minute Order #19-101706, Parks & Leisure Services Commission Meeting minutes dated 10/5/06				
Project can be expanded to accommodate future increased visitation.				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	
Explain: Planning for the initial ATV track incorporates less than one-half of the currently unutilized land in the development area. Based on anticipated strongly positive response from ATV riders and increased visitation and revenue, the ATV track can be expanded to utilize the remaining land and/or provide an additional ATV track at a higher skill level.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials. In 500 words or less, describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.				
The project manual for the entrance/parking lot paving project will specify use of recycled base rock, which is readily available from several local vendors. Staff has explored the use of rubberized asphalt for this project, however, due to the limited availability of the product, the relatively small quantity required for the project, and the distance of the project from suppliers of rubberized asphalt, use of this material would be cost prohibitive for the City.				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	100% recycled materials (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	75% recycled materials (4 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	50% recycled materials (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	49% or less recycled materials (no score)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 46				

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 4, Development Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest Blue Lakes Development	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-04-D01

DEVELOPMENT PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more (10 points)			
X	36% - 50% (7 points)			
	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours of construction, or cash contribution towards purchase of construction materials.				
Applicant Score	7	Division Findings	7	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized				
a. Potential affects on Special-Status Species - Indicate the number of Special-status Species (if any) present in the Project area.				
	No Special-Status Species are known to occur in the Project area.			
X	17 (enter number of species) Special-Status Species is/are known to occur in Project area			
Source of information listed above: Environmental Assessment - Alpine Winter Recreation Project - p.16				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	No Special-Status Species occur in Project area (5 points)			
	One to five Special-Status Species occur in Project area (4 points)			
	Six to ten Special-Status Species in Project area (2 points)			
X	More than ten Special-Status Species occur in Project area (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
b. Potential affects on Special-Status Species habitat - List the Special-Status Species Habitat (if any) present in the Project area.				
	No Special-Status Species habitat is known to occur in the Project area.			
X	Habitat for <u>4</u> (enter number of species) Special-Status Species is known to occur in Project area.			
Source of information listed above: Environmental Assessment - Alpine Winter Recreation Project - p.16				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	No Special-Status Species habitat is known to occur in the Project area (5 points)			
X	Habitat for 1-5 Special-Status Species is known to occur in Project area (4 points)			
	Habitat for 6-10 Special-Status Species is known to occur in Project area (3 points)			
	Habitat for more than 10 Special-status Species is known to occur in Project area (no score)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
c. Cultural Resources - Describe impacts (if any) to cultural sites.				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
X	Project has no impacts to cultural sites (5 points)			
	Project impacts would be mitigated (3 points)			
	Project has unavoidable detrimental impacts to cultural resources (no score, Project will be returned to Applicant without further consideration)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
3. The Project is designed to provide for diversified use - (Check all that apply.)				
	ATV		4X4	
	M.C.	X	Recreation Utility Vehicle	
X	OSV		Other:	
Describe the nature of the facilities for each item checked above: Proposal would include a half-acre sized paved parking area to accommodate 10-12 parking spaces for RV's w/snowmobile trailers. Overnight camping would be encouraged. A waste dump station and one double-vault toilet building would also be installed. Three trailhead bulletin boards would display needed information to the public.				

Scoring: 1 point each				
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	1	The narrative refers to OSV and RV (recreational vehicle) use, not RUV (recreation utility vehicle). An RV in a paved parking lot is not an OHV.
4. Demonstrated Need - Is there a publicly reviewed and adopted plan that supports the need for the Project? (Scoring: 5 points for each "Yes".)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes			No
Cite reference document: Alpine County Winter Recreation Project Environmental Assessment.pdf				
Project can be expanded to accommodate future increased visitation.				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes			No
Explain: Yes we can, but through public scoping meetings, we have designed a parking area that will accomodate the overflow needs of future increased visitation.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials. In 500 words or less, describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.				
Parking Area Borders will be selected from felled timber on the District. All signage will be ordered from recycled plastic material for longevity.				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	100% recycled materials (5 points)			
	75% recycled materials (4 points)			
	50% recycled materials (3 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	49% or less recycled materials (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 46				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	BLM Arcata Field Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM Arcata Field Office Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-02-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:

As stated in the Environmental Assessment for this grant application, the affected vegetation in the project area consists primarily of non-native species. Destruction of invasive, non-native vegetation through OHV activity in this project area is considered a beneficial impact to protected native plant communities. First, as invasive vegetation is trampled and dies, the sand beneath the previously occupied dune area might mobilize and blow into protected and adjacent northern foredune plant community areas thus potentially helping to maintain semi-stabilized, natural conditions there. Second, mortality of invasive, non-native vegetation on the dunes helps create new niches for native species to pioneer, with potential contributions of seed to the natural native plant community seed bank.

No rare, threatened, or endangered species would be negatively impacted within the project area. All Humboldt Bay wallflower (*Erysimum menziesii* ssp. *eurekaense*) and beach layia (*Layia carnosa*) populations within the project area exist in designated closed areas surrounded by protective physical barriers, and would not be impacted by the proposed action. If any rare, threatened, or endangered plant species are discovered within the open riding area, enclosures will be immediately constructed to prevent OHV use from impacting these plants. Annual vegetation monitoring reports are available at the BLM Arcata Field Office.

No special status animals directly use or inhabit the open riding area. The 120-acre Wetland Protection Area (closed to vehicles) provides habitat for a variety of wildlife species. Brown pelicans use Humboldt Bay and the adjoining near shore Pacific Ocean in the summer and fall of the year with a few rare occurrences being noted in the winter and spring. Brown pelicans can be observed on pilings nearby the open riding area during the summer and fall. Pelicans that use the bay are habituated to considerable visitor use activities, boat traffic, dredging and other commercial activity. Therefore, no disturbance or other effects are expected on the brown pelican. No western snowy plovers have been observed for many years within or nearby the open riding area, and the constituent elements of western snowy plover habitat are absent. Therefore, the proposed action would have no affect on the western snowy plover. Annual wildlife monitoring reports are available at the BLM Arcata Field Office.

The entire area was completely surveyed for cultural resources. No cultural sites are located within the open riding area, and all have protective fencing or exclosures. Therefore, no impact would occur to any known cultural resources. In the unlikely event that any cultural resources are discovered within the open riding area, the affected area would be immediately barricaded and closed to OHV use until a qualified BLM Archaeologist surveys the area and evaluates the find. Cultural survey reports are located at the BLM Arcata Field Office.

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)

X	Maintain barriers			
X	Maintain education kiosks			
X	Maintain signing			
X	Reduce litter			
X	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:				
BLM staff for this Facilities O&M project include the Outdoor Recreation Planner, Maintenance Worker, Assistant Field Manager, Field Manager, Engineer, Administrative Officer, public contact representative, and the Samoa Dunes volunteer caretaker.				
Supporting documents include timesheets of those whom have charged time to last years project (almost identical to this year's project), and signed requisitions or contracts from last year's project. These documents are available at the BLM Arcata Field Office.				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
X	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Recycling				
a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:				
The Samoa Dunes volunteer caretaker separates aluminum, plastic items, and glass bottles from other litter at Samoa Dunes and deposits them at the local recycling center. Wooden posts that become rotten or are broken off and need to be disposed of are set aside and used as firewood for at the numerous cooking grills or for campfires on the the beach. Cardboard is oftentimes extracted from the litter containers and used to start campfires. A rough estimate of 10% of solid waste is recycled.				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	50% or better (10 points)			
	25% or better (2 points)			
X	24% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.

b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.				
The vehicle barrier posts surrounding the 40-acre endangered plant protection area are recycled telephone poles. Recycled poles are also used as a barrier in front of the entrance kiosk. Decking material for the caretaker site is recycled material. The restroom tissue, and all the paper used to post information and maps on the kiosks is recycled material. One of the brochures for the area is printed on recycled material.				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	100% (5 points)			
	75% (4 points)			
	50% (3 points)			
X	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.

5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?				
X	Yes (5 points)			
Source document title and location: <u>The BLM Arcata Field Office maintains a maintenance management plan called "Fixed Asset Management System" (FAMS) and the portion of data that pertains to Samoa Dunes Recreation Area is available at the BLM Arcata Field Office.</u>				
No (no score)				
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	BLM El Centro Field Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM El Centro Field Office Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-09-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:

The BLM proposes to protect natural and cultural resources in the Imperial Sand Dunes Recreation Area through maintenance of the facilities, education, signing, and monitoring. Well maintained facilities have reduced natural and cultural resource degradation in the Dunes. The increased level of trash collection and the covered trash bins have reduced the amount of litter and provided another level of protection to the desert tortoise by reducing an attraction for the raven and coyote populations. Increased maintenance has increased visitor satisfaction and improved health and safety as well as reduced the level of human waste deposited on the ground.

As described in section six of the general criteria, the BLM has an extensive outreach program. Most of those programs also include information on the protection of the natural and cultural resources. The Imperial Sand Dunes educational and natural resource panels developed in the El Centro Field Office are displayed on the Dunes kiosks and in the Ocotillo Wells SVRA Ranger Station.

In order to protect the threatened Pierson’s Milk-Vetch, a federally listed species under the Endangered Species Act, BLM aggressively signs closed areas to ensure visitors are aware of the protected habitat. BLM also signs the adjacent North Algodones Dunes Wilderness area and maintains a fenced area around the historic Plank Road. The Plank Road is a historic site located in the Buttercup Area of the Dunes. Although the Dunes are one of the most heavily visited OHV areas in the Nation, OHV intrusion into the wilderness is almost non-existent and is very low in the closed areas.

BLM conducts extensive monitoring in the Dunes. Monitoring efforts include monitoring plants, birds, reptiles, and insects. These efforts will assist the BLM in the making objective decisions based on the best available science. Data is collected through out the Dunes in the open, closed, and wilderness areas.

BLM maintenance staff routinely repair any erosion or road damage in the Dunes. As an open area, there are no designated routes; however there are main entry points. When these areas are damaged due to annual monsoon flooding events or are buried with sand from weekly wind storms, BLM corrects the issue. This work reduces erosion, protects the facilities, and ensures continued safe access to the recreation area.

If requested, BLM file photos, the Imperial Sand Dunes Recreation Area Management Plan and EIS, biological opinion, and monitoring reports, can be provided to support the statements above.

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)

X	Maintain barriers			
X	Maintain education kiosks			
X	Maintain signing			
X	Reduce litter			
X	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:

The staffs that support this FO&M project for the Imperial Sand Dunes Recreation Area (ISDRA) include the Maintenance Supervisor and staff, and the ISDRA staff. The Maintenance Supervisor and three staff members support the entire field office. Although the majority of their work load is in support of OHV recreation (approximately 90%) they are not solely dedicated to OHV. The supervisor is paid on the federal wage system as a WS-10 and three staff members are WG-8. They operate heavy equipment such as loaders, dump trucks, skip loaders, backhoes, graders, rollers, excavators, and semi-truck and trailers to maintain facilities and access to, and in, OHV recreation areas. They all work a 5/4/9 schedule as well as assist the ISDRA, resource, and law enforcement staffs during peak holiday periods. The Supervisor is the Contracting Officer's Representative for OHV projects such as the new ranger station construction, trash collection, and toilet maintenance contracts.

The ISDRA staff consists of the Dunes Supervisor, Dunes Manager, one Outdoor Recreation Planner (vendor permit specialist), one Park Ranger (interpretive specialist), two Park Rangers (visitor and medical services), and up to ten seasonal Park Rangers (visitor and medical services). The ISDRA staff works cooperatively to manage all non-law enforcement aspects of the dunes. The Dunes Supervisor oversees all ISDRA staff and concentrates on the personnel, operations, and assists in all aspects of the program. The Dunes Manager oversees the budget, grants, fees, partnership cooperation, and assists in all aspect of the program. The vendor permit specialist manages the commercial vendor program which consists of over 125 businesses. The interpretive specialist develops, coordinates, and implements all interpretive, outreach and educational projects and programs. The two visitor and medical services Park Rangers work in the field to provide services to OHV visitors. Up to ten seasonal Park Rangers work in the field for a period each visitation season not to exceed 1,039 hours per fiscal year. All staff members are solely dedicated to the OHV program. All ISDRA Staff are EMS certified.

BLM staffing table of organization can be provided upon request.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)		
X	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)		
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	5
The narrative states, "All staff members are solely dedicated to the OHV program."			

4. Recycling

a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:

The DOI, as the overarching agency of the BLM, has endeavored to minimize the creation of solid waste through waste prevention, and recycling. DOI/BLM goals include diverting solid waste from disposal in landfills through recycling at the rate of 40% by the year 2000, 45% by year 2005, and 50% by year 2010. Unless significant barriers exist, BLM recycles the following commodities at all facilities:

- o white paper
- o mixed paper/newspaper
- o cardboard
- o aluminum
- o plastic (#1 PET and #2 HDPE)
- o glass
- o pallets
- o scrap metal
- o toner cartridges
- o and, consistent with applicable hazardous waste regulations, fluorescent lamps and ballasts
- o batteries
- o used oil
- o antifreeze
- o tires

At this time, that average amount of material recycled from the solid waste produced at the dunes is 30%. The trash collection contractor is in the process of installing additional and more efficient sorting facilities to in increased their recycling productions. The minimum goal for recycling in FY 2007/2008 is 50%.

In addition to the trash recycling program, BLM staff also retrieve tires dumped in the Dunes. As many as 1,000 tires have been picked up and recycled in one year. BLM has established a partnership with the Imperial Valley Waste Management (IVWM) to recycle these tires. In turn, IVWM, uses our efforts to acquire new grants to continue the recycling program.

For further information please see www.doi.gov/greening/waste/index.html. If requested, IVWM letter of agreement, and BLM Trash Removal Contractor, and Mission Disposal contract can be provided.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	50% or better	(10 points)		
X	25% or better	(2 points)		
	24% or less	(no score)		
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.

b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.

The Department of Interior (DOI/BLM) is the overarching management department for the BLM. In as such, the DOI/BLM goal is to purchase products that have the highest percentage of recovered materials practicable, considering product price, availability, and performance. Targeting products and services purchased routinely, DOI/BLM commits to reach one- hundred percent compliance with the following goals, and to improve by at least 30% each year until these goals are achieved (FY 2000 baseline):

- Operate all DOI/BLM-managed fleet vehicles using re-refined oil, retread tires (when replacing tires), and reclaimed engine coolant. (Note: Certain vehicle types are targeted for retread use when replacing)
- Utilize in the operation of all DOI/BLM facilities recycled-content bathroom tissue, paper towels, and plastic trash bags.
- All copy paper used by DOI/BLM will contain at least 30% post consumer material.
- At all DOI/BLM facilities, utilize copy paper, bathroom tissue, and paper towels that have been manufactured without the use of chlorine in deinking and bleaching.
- Utilize either recycled content carpet or factory- refurbishable carpet for all new installations in DOI/BLM facilities.
- On a pilot basis, utilize biobased, biodegradable lubricating and hydraulic oils in equipment where spillage to the environment might occur.

BLM has contracted all toilet maintenance in the Dunes with our mandatory source of janitorial services, NISH. NISH is a company that creates employment opportunities for people with severe disabilities as stated in a Memorandum of agreement between the Committee for Purchase from People who are Blind or Severely Disabled, the National Industries for the Blind, NISH, the DOI, and EPA, NISH agrees to provide guidance and training to associated nonprofit agencies that provide janitorial services on how to incorporate pollution prevention practices and use more environmentally preferable products. These efforts are not limited to, but include the development of a list of janitorial supplies (i.e., bathroom tissue, paper towels, trash bags, etc.) that comply with the Comprehensive Procurement Guidelines requirements for recycled content.

In past road projects, BLM El Centro Field Office has utilized a rubberized asphalt chip seal process to resurface and maintain roadways. This procedure utilizes rubber from recycled tires to provide a safer road surface that requires less maintenance.

For further information please reference www.DOI/BLM.gov/greening/procure/index.html, Departmental Manual policy - Compliance with Waste Management Requirements (518 DM 2), Strategic Plan for Greening the Department of the Interior Through Waste Prevention, Recycling and Federal Acquisition, Executive Order 13423 of January 24, 2007, www.DOI/BLM.gov/greening/procure/nish.pdf.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	100% (5 points)			
	75% (4 points)			
	50% (3 points)			
X	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.

5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?				
X	Yes (5 points)			
	<p><u>Source document title and location: The Facility Asset Management System (FAMS) tracks BLM owned assets and facilities and develops a five year advanced maintenance schedule. It is located on the BLM internal computer system. The Annual Asset Business Plan tracks and plans regular maintenance and copies can be found in the BLM statewide engineering computer hard drive. Accessibility Data Management System (ADMS) tracks the BLM's facility compliance with the American Disability Act and where retrofitting is needed or compliance has been fulfilled. A report is located in the El Centro Field Office.</u></p>			
	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	BLM Hollister Field Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM Hollister Field Office Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-11-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
X	51% or more (10 points)			
	36% - 50% (7 points)			
	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:				
<p>Proper facilities maintenance will encourage respectful and sanitary use of OHV opportunities at Clear Creek Management Area. By keeping the restrooms clean, stocked with supplies and well maintained, visitors are not impacting local habitat with bodily waste since they will be more inclined to use the restrooms. Keeping the dumpsters emptied and trash removed from the project area also mitigates resource impacts by keeping refuse out of sensitive habitat areas as well.</p> <p>Keeping the Entrance Station, signs and various information display facilities in a state of repair will provide the public with a place to go for information in the absence of BLM staff. This information provides the users with an overall picture of responsible and allowable recreation within the project area. By abiding with these guidelines, users will be keeping impacts to the environment within analyzed and manageable limits.</p> <p>Reducing impacts to the area is a necessary and direct step in helping the BLM maintain a sustainable OHV recreation area.</p> <p>Maintenance Logs available at BLM Hollister Field Office upon request.</p> <p>Please see Project PCD and General Project Description for a More in-depth discussion of the overall project.</p>				

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)				
X		Maintain barriers		
X		Maintain education kiosks		
X		Maintain signing		
X		Reduce litter		
X		Maintain erosion and sediment control features		
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:				
<p>Two full time Park Rangers and one seasonal Park Ranger are dedicated to Clear Creek Management Area all the time.</p> <p>One Equipment Operator and an Outdoor Recreation Planner work on the project as needed throughout the year.</p> <p>Work Logs available upon request at BLM Hollister Field Office.</p> <p>Please See Baseline Documents for Projects #'s OR-1-H-21- January 2006 Record of Decision as overall guiding document.</p> <p>Please see Project PCD and General Project Description for a more in-depth discussion of activities.</p>				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
X		Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program	(5 points)	
		Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program	(3 points)	
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Recycling				
a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:				
<p>CCMA area offers 11 dumpsters to dispose of waste. The dumpsters are situated at the beginning of the canyon. BLM staff is in the process of implementing a recycle program to go along with waste disposal. The recycle program offers OHV users separate cans to recycle glass, cans, and plastics. The BLM staff recycles these items along with scrap metal found in CCMA. The BLM staff gathers scrap metal left behind at campsites and day use areas. Once the recycle pile is substantial all the recyclables are loaded up on to a BLM truck and taken in to one of the recyclers in Hollister, California. Signs would be designed for recycling directions with in CCMA and posted in camping and staging areas. Signs will state the location of recycle bins. In the 2007/2008 season BLM wants 60% of visitors to recycle in CCMA. By using signs, clearly marked bins, and posting notices on all bulletin boards OHV visitors will clearly understand were the recycle bins are located. If the recycle bins are full every 5 days through out the peak season, then at least 60% of visitors are recycling.</p> <p>Please see Project PCD and General Project Description for a more in-depth discussion of activities.</p>				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	50% or better (10 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% or better (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	24% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.

b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.				
Recycled materials are not likely to be used widely for this project as none have been identified as available for the kinds of products needed. (i.e. cleaning chemicals or pressure washer cleaning trailer)				
Toilet tissue is made from 10% Post Consumer recycled material.				
Please see Project PCD and General Project Description for an in-depth discussion of materials.				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	100% (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	75% (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	50% (3 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.

5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes (5 points)			
Source document title and location: <u>Monthly Maintenance Plan and Log.</u>				
<u>Available upon request from BLM Hollister Field Office.</u>				

<input type="checkbox"/>	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	BLM Ridgecrest Field Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM Ridgecrest Field Office Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-15-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more (10 points)			
X	36% - 50% (7 points)			
	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.				
Applicant Score	7	Division Findings	7	Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:

It is one of our primary program goals to provide recreational opportunities while protecting and avoiding impacts to cultural resources and the natural environment as much as possible. To achieve this goal we maintain barriers, information kiosk, signing, erosion control features, and provide trash dumpsters to reduce litter.

We maintain many various types of barriers in order to protect area natural resources examples include the seventeen mile long Rand boundary fence, the northern boundary fence of the Dove Springs Open Area, and wooden bollard barriers along wilderness area boundaries.

To inform the public and solicit support in our management efforts we have installed twenty educational information kiosks at major entry portals into both the Rand Mountains and Jawbone-Butterbredt ACEC. At these kiosk people can view a color map of the area, read the general use regulations, along with obtaining an area Visitor Information and Trail Map brochure.

Another manner in which we strive to inform the public and protect the environmental resources is through our various signing efforts. We install and maintain regulatory signs, management area boundary signs along with directional signs.

In order to reduce litter from accumulating on the public lands we maintain two dumpsters year round at the Jawbone Station ,that visitors may leave their trash in. Also on the major winter holidays we have larger roll off dumpsters brought in to handle the increased volume. In addition within our brochures and handouts we encourage a Pack It In Pack It Out ethic.

An additional measure that we perform to reduce impacts to resources is to monitor use, trail conditions and to maintain erosion control features such as rolling dips and lead outs. These tasks are routinely done by Park Rangers during their patrol efforts during which time they will fix what they can by hand and solicit support from maintenance staff for support when heavy equipment is needed or it is beyond their capability.

Additionally the following Environmental Assessments have been prepared to allow us to use several various approaches to protect Natural and Cultural resources.

Ridgecrest Resource Area Wide Maintenance & Surface Restoration Environmental Assessment NEPA 96-70: (Proposed Action pages 3-4).

Wilderness Restoration Programmatic Environmental Assessment - EA CA065-99-73: (Restoration Activities pages 2-4).

Jawbone - Butterbredt ACEC Closed Trail Restoration Environmental Assessment - NEPA CA-650-2004-19: (Restoration Activities pages 4-7).

Rand Mountains/Fremont Valley Closed Trail Restoration Environmental Assessment - NEPA CA-650-2004-2: (Restoration Activities pages5-7)

Refer to the Project Specific Photographs included in the BLM: Ridgecrest Facilities Operation & Maintenance Grant for examples of some of these approaches being implemented.

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain barriers			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain education kiosks			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain signing			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reduce litter			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:				
<p>Personnel dedicated to work on our OHV Program include our Recreation Branch Chief, OHV Recreation Specialist, Park Rangers, and Maintenance Lead.</p> <p>The Recreation Branch Chief has sixteen years of experience working in the field of OHV recreation management. He has risen through the ranks having started off as a Park Ranger, and then advanced to the OHV Recreation Specialist, and now to his current position overseeing the Recreation, Wilderness, and Operations Branch.</p> <p>The OHV Recreation Specialist position is currently vacant but should be filled by the end of October 2007. We currently have a list of candidates to interview and should be making a selection in the next couple of weeks to fill this position.</p> <p>Our two Park Rangers have ten years of experience in the area of recreation resource management. One Park Ranger has served as a Park Ranger for seven years the other Park Ranger is transitioning into this new position from having been a Trail Maintenance Manager for the last three years.</p> <p>Our Maintenance Lead has been with the BLM for nine years. He has proven to be an invaluable asset with skills in almost every aspect of facility maintenance and construction having worked in the profession for more than twenty.</p> <p>Position Descriptions are on file at the Ridgecrest Office</p>				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Recycling				
---------------------	--	--	--	--

a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:

Solid waste generated in the project area is predominately created by the users visiting. The solid waste that is generated by these individuals is generally gathered in the dumpsters regularly located at Jawbone Station or the large forty yard roll-off dumpsters brought in for the busy winter holidays. The solid waste that is collected in these dumpsters is transported to a sorting facility to remove recyclable items before anything is deposited in a landfill. It is estimated that more than twenty-five percent of the solid waste generated is recycled through this process.

This processing information was gathered through personal conversations with the staff at Benz Sanitation in California City (760-373-8777).

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	50% or better	(10 points)
X	25% or better	(2 points)
	24% or less	(no score)
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings 2 Concur.

b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.

The predominate recycled product to be used in the completion of this project is recycled paper. All the Trail Maps and Recreation Opportunity Guides are to be printed on paper containing a minimum of thirty percent post consumer recovered materials.

These specifications come from the "Government Paper Specification Standards No.11" dated February, 1999 and are required in the U.S. Government Printing Office, Quick Schedule Copying contract with Spectrum Graphics & Printing for the Ridgecrest Field Office.

A copy of this contract is available from the Ridgecrest Field Office.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	100%	(5 points)
	75%	(4 points)
	50%	(3 points)
X	49% or less	(no score)
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings 0 Concur.

5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?

X Yes (5 points)

Source document title and location: Trail Maintenance Plan (OHV Form M), included in the Trail Maintenance project section of this Grant Application

	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	Porterville, City of	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	Porterville, City of Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-03-24-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more (10 points)			
	36% - 50% (7 points)			
X	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.				
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:				
Continued operation of the Porterville OHV Park encourages legal OHV opportunity and thereby reduces environmental impact in areas that have traditionally been utilized for illegal OHV operations. Additionally, systematic maintenance of the facility minimizes environmental damage caused by OHV ridership by continually applying water to reduce dust migration and planting vegetation where appropriate to further control dust and erosion concerns.				
Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)				
X	Maintain barriers			
X	Maintain education kiosks			
X	Maintain signing			
X	Reduce litter			
X	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:				
The Porterville OHV Park operates with one full-time, dedicated paid staff member responsible for track maintenance and operation. In addition, part-time staff members and numerous volunteers are on-site during operating hours. These part-time staff members are responsible for gate attendance, routine maintenance, flagging, and EMT services. This information is available for review on associated staff timesheets, which are available from the City's personnel office, as well as daily attendance logs, which specifies volunteer attendance.				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
X	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
4. Recycling				
a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:				
Minimal solid waste is generated by the facility. Currently, the facility receives solid waste service to one 3 cubic yard bin per week. Normally, the bin is not full on the day of service. In addition to solid waste service, the OHV Park has recycling containers installed throughout the spectator area. These containers were placed through the California Department of Conservation's beverage container recycling program and are maintained by a local DOC Conservation Corp. Staff also utilizes a chipper-shredder to convert greenwaste generated at the facility and utilize it for ground cover/fill.				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
X	50% or better (10 points)			
	25% or better (2 points)			
	24% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.				
Several post-consumer recycled content items will be utilized for the observation deck portion of the project, including recycled plastic furniture and signage. Construction specifications for the observation deck cover portion of the project will require the use of recycled plastic lumber.				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	100% (5 points)			
	75% (4 points)			
X	50% (3 points)			
	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?				
X	Yes (5 points)			
	Source document title and location: <u>Porterville OHV Park Operations and Maintenance Guidelines</u>			
	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	Santa Clara County Parks and Recreation Department	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	Santa Clara County Parks and Recreation Department Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-03-19-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
X	51% or more (10 points)			
	36% - 50% (7 points)			
	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:				
The proposed project will protect natural and cultural resources by staff accomplishing the following tasks:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintaining barriers to areas with sensitive habitat as noted in the Motorcycle Park Interim Natural Resource Plan (on file at the Department Administrative offices) • Maintaining and repairing fencing around the perimeter of the park • Maintaining sediment control basins and erosion control features noted in the Motorcycle Park Interim Natural Resource Plan (on file at the Department Administrative offices) • Maintaining educational displays, regulatory and directional signs • Collecting and properly disposing of litter generated at the park • Maintaining non-potable water systems used for dust control and plant irrigation 				

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)				
X	Maintain barriers			
X	Maintain education kiosks			
X	Maintain signing			
X	Reduce litter			
X	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:

As noted in the Departmental Organizational Chart on file at the Santa Clara County Parks and Recreation Department Administration Offices, staff dedicated to Motorcycle County Park OHV program includes the following:

Park Maintenance Worker II - This position is solely dedicated to Motorcycle County Park for the entire year. The Park Maintenance Worker II performs daily routine maintenance involved in the Parks operations and customer services. These tasks include, but are not limited to:

- facilities painting and minor repairs
- cleaning and maintaining restrooms with associated potable water and sewage systems
- non-law enforcement visitor services and OHV site management
- maintaining barriers to areas with sensitive habit as noted in the Motorcycle Park Interim Natural Resource Plan (on file at the Department Administrative Offices)
- maintaining sediment control basins and erosion control features noted in the Motorcycle Park Interim Natural Resource Plan (on file at the Department Administrative Offices)
- maintaining educational displays, regulatory and directional signs
- trash and litter collection and disposal
- parking lot maintenance

The Seasonal Park Maintenance Worker position is solely dedicated to Motorcycle County Park and performs daily routine maintenance involved in the Parks operations and customer services.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program	(5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program	(3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.	

4. Recycling

a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:

The Department intends to implement a recycling program of solid waste. Approximately 25% of solid waste is anticipated to be recycled.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input type="checkbox"/>	50% or better	(10 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25% or better	(2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	24% or less	(no score)			
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.	

b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.

Where possible, recycled paper products will be used in all restroom facilities.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	100% (5 points)			
	75% (4 points)			
	50% (3 points)			
X	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?				
X	Yes (5 points) Source document title and location: <u>Trail Maintenance Manual and the Trail Maintenance Plan for Motorcycle County Park on file at the County of Santa Clara Parks and Recreation Administration Office.</u>			
	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	Stanislaus County	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	Stanislaus County Frank Raines Regional OHV Park Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-03-20-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
	36% - 50% (7 points)		
	26% - 35% (5 points)		
X	10% - 25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3 Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:

Biotic Resources Group and Dana Bland, Wildlife Biologist prepared a Biological Evaluation Report. (Refer to Page 11 of the Master Development Plan Frank Raines Off-Highway Vehicle Park, March 31, 2006) The most significant environmental constraints at Frank Raines OHV are the creek areas - Del Puerto Creek and Deer Creek.

Beginning in 2006 through 2007 Stanislaus County has been working diligently to make sure that the appropriate barriers and fencing have been put in place to keep traffic out of these two areas. The boundary fencing has been significantly improved at the park. It is important for fire protection, and for protecting adjacent private property, and preventing use in creeks. There is appropriate signage in the areas that warn riders to avoid the creek beds. Staff and volunteers are constantly educating the riding public to stay out of these areas when riding. The County is also creating barriers with boulders to keep riders out of sensitive habitats and campground areas.

The Maintenance Workers at the park have made sure that there are numerous trash receptacles and recycling containers placed around the Recreational Hall, campgrounds, bathrooms and shade shelter to reduce litter at the park.

There are also designated areas at the park where information and educational issues are posted and shared for users of the park. There are also a total of 4 kiosks at the park that share information regarding the different wildlife present.

The County is moving to develop a designated trail system to avoid practices that have demonstrated significant erosion or instability. According to Page 7 of the Master Development Plan, March 31, 2006, the following suggestions are being taken under advisement:

- Roads and trails should contour across slope rather than descending down the fall line of the hillside. In general, trail grade should be less than half the gradient of the side slopes.
- Reduce road and trail density within the riparian zone of the larger streams.
- Create or maintain buffer zones between streams and OHV roads, trails, and use areas so that sediment is naturally dissipated and captured before it enters the stream. This may include closing trails adjacent to streams to maintain vegetative buffer.

In August 2007, Stanislaus County conducted a Spring Biological Study that was conducted by EDAW. Three special plant species were identified at the park during this first go around. All three, Mt. Hamilton thistle, Santa Clara thorn-mint, and serpentine bedstraws were found primarily in areas of rocky serpentine soils. Most of these areas are located in the 482 acre upper area, which has been officially closed to OHV use in the past couple years, until further studies can be planned and implemented. These areas have been fenced with "No Trespassing" signs posted.

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)

X	Maintain barriers			
X	Maintain education kiosks			
X	Maintain signing			
X	Reduce litter			
X	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:

The staff dedicated for our Facility Operation & Maintenance Project is two full time Park Maintenance Workers located at the site. In the office, we have a Director of Parks, Deputy Director, Manager, Supervisor, Staff Services Coordinator and an Administrative Assistant.

The Supervisor is responsible for making sure the routine maintenance is completed, the projects are on time and within budget and the grant responsibilities are taken care of.

The Park Maintenance Worker III is the advanced skill level classification in the series. The Level III performs specialized maintenance and repairs and leads a maintenance crew performing varied skilled and semi-skilled maintenance activities at Frank Raines.

The Park Maintenance Worker I (Park Maintenance I) is the entry-level classification in the series. The Park Maintenance I performs a variety of routine and semi-skilled park, public facility and ground maintenance work. This individual operates power equipment, assists in the repair, maintenance and construction activities at Frank Raines

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

X	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Recycling

a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:

Frank Raines Park Off-Highway Vehicle (OHV) Park is located on Del Puerto Canyon Road approximately 18 miles west of the City of Patterson. There is approximately 800 acres in the lower OHV Park that encompasses the park entrance station, a full hook up campground, recreation hall, restrooms/showers, and a group picnic shelter.

In accordance with AB 75 and AB 939, Stanislaus County's plan to increase diversion from the landfill includes a progressive recycling plan and a greenwaste recycling program. The first part of implementation includes the placement of commingled recycling bins at the campground for the collection of beverage containers, glass bottles and jars, plastic containers, milk jugs, tin, paper and cardboard packaging. Recycling containers conveniently placed around the campsites makes it very easy for campers to participate in the recycling program. Recycling containers for beverage containers have been, and will continue to be placed near trashcans in the higher traffic areas and day use areas of the park such as parking areas, and inside the recreation hall.

The second component of our diversion/recycling plan involves diverting the park's greenwaste from the landfill. Greenwaste generated and/or accumulated at the park is taken to a composting facility. Separating and diverting the greenwaste from the solid waste going to the landfill for disposal, will greatly increase the overall percentage of diversion.

In a continuing effort to increase diversion, and promote and encourage recycling, additional containers are needed in the picnic areas and outlying parking areas to better meet the needs of our park visitors. Future plans also include creating a pamphlet that will be used for outreach, education and the promoting of recycling and specifically the recycling efforts within the park. The contents of the pamphlet would include a brief description of the program, the "do's and don'ts," how to identify the recycling containers and their locations within the park. The recycling containers currently in place and the containers to be purchased in the future are made from recycled material.

Stanislaus County plans to accomplish our diversion goals through public education and outreach, and promoting the program within the park. Also by purchasing additional recycling containers to conveniently and effectively serve the park visitors and maintaining the enthusiasm of staff to ensure the programs success.

There is an estimated 25% of solid waste to be recycled.

Please refer to the Stanislaus County Web site www.co.stanislaus.ca.us under Solid Waste Management to find "The Stanislaus County Recycling Guide" translated in both spanish and english.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	50% or better	(10 points)
X	25% or better	(2 points)
	24% or less	(no score)
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings 2 Concur.

b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.

Signs made from 100 % recycled plastic include a message center to display park maps, hours and location of recycling containers. Large single sided message board is made from 1600 plastic milk jugs.

Benches made 100% from recycled plastic, 1550 plastic milk jugs are 6 ft. in length and will be positioned in the area's receiving the most weekend and holiday traffic.

Additional recycling containers made from 100 % recycled plastic and a 30% recycled frame are heavy duty, plastic outer slates allow for graffiti to be removed easily and the plastic and steel can withstand the weather and constant use.

Please refer to Barco's Outdoor Products (September 2007 edition - Benches Page 24, Signs page 10)

Please refer to Best of Barco Products (April/May 2006 edition - Recycling containers Page 25)

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

X	100% (5 points)			
	75% (4 points)			
	50% (3 points)			
	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?

X	Yes (5 points)			
	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

Source document title and location: Operation Plan for OHV Parks - F:/data/parks/cathyg/OHV Grant for 2007-2008/operation plan for ohv parks

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	Stanislaus County	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	Stanislaus County La Grange Regional OHV Park Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-03-20-F02

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
	36% - 50% (7 points)		
	26% - 35% (5 points)		
X	10% - 25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3 Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:

In the town of La Grange on J-59 the County owns 150 acres of abandoned dredge area that is dedicated for off road vehicle use. The OHV Park currently includes an overnight use area with a parking lot and restrooms. There are several recommendations for improvements to this area documented in the Stanislaus County Master Plan (August 1999). It is recommended that this overnight use area be improved with metal shade structures, concrete picnic areas, group and individual barbeques grills and undeveloped camping sites. Due to the isolated nature of this site, all site furnishings need to be made of long lasting, vandal resistant materials. On page 77 of the Parks Master Plan you can view a map of the park, moto-cross track and suggested amenities.

There are several ways that the County maintains specific barriers at the park. We have barbwire fencing on the property borders and we will be adding no climb fencing to the already present structure. We maintain a barrier around the intermediate track and entrance roadways. There is a sturdy cable fencing that we maintain around the actual racetrack. There is one other chain link fence that is maintained around the well sites.

Signage at the park is important to the success of this park. Like I stated earlier, this park is in a remote area and because there is not someone on site 24 hours a day the signage helps to keep riders aware of the rules and regulations of the park. Signage at the park includes a park entrance sign with the OHV sticker and logo attached. There are traffic control signs along the entrance roadways, stop signs, stop ahead, pedestrian crossing, and yield signs. At the entrance station we have the fee schedule posted and a Ride At Your Own Risk sign. There are also numerous No Trespassing signs posted to protect private landowners from intrusion onto their property. On the actual track we have directional signage and a No 4WD beyond this point.

To reduce litter, the County has maintenance workers pick up litter twice a week. There are trash receptacles available near the track, bathrooms and picnic areas. We also maintain a 30-yard garbage bin. To step up efforts we have began a recycling program and have situated recycle bins in areas at the park. Again, due to the remote location of this park, we are not sure how successful this program will be.

Our team at the park is also engaged in maintaining erosion and controlling sediment. There has been a culvert installed on the east side of the track. A berm was also implemented on the south side of the culvert to divert water to prevent erosion. As a maintenance issue, the team backfills eroded areas with local soil and river rock.

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)

X	Maintain barriers			
	Maintain education kiosks			
X	Maintain signing			
X	Reduce litter			
X	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:

The staff dedicated for our Facility Operations & Maintenance Project is one full time Park Maintenance Worker II and a seasonal Park Aid during the winter months. Full time and seasonal staff also assist with projects at the site as needed throughout the year. In the office, we have a Director of Parks, Deputy Director, Manager, Supervisor, Staff Services Coordinator and an Administrative Assistant.

The Supervisor is responsible for overseeing the projects, grant responsibilities and goals for the La Grange OHV Park.

The Park Maintenance Worker II is the advanced skill level classification in the series. The Level II performs specialized maintenance and repairs and leads a maintenance crew performing varied skilled and semi-skilled maintenance activities at La Grange.

The Park Aide is a seasonal position. The Park Aide performs a variety of routine and semi-skilled park, public facility and ground maintenance work. This individual operates power equipment, assists in the repair, maintenance and construction at the La Grange OHV site.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
X	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Recycling

a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:

La Grange Off-Highway Vehicle Park is located at 2315 South Old La Grange Road, LaGrange, California (approximately 18 miles east of Waterford, 30 miles northeast of Merced, and 30 miles southwest of Jamestown on Route J59). This park offers undeveloped camping, restrooms, a parking lot, and approximately 150 acres of land open to recreational riding.

In accordance with AB 75 and AB 939, Stanislaus County's plan to increase diversion from the landfill includes a progressive recycling plan and a greenwaste recycling program. The first part of implementation includes the placement of commingled recycling bins at the campground for the collection of beverage containers, glass bottles and jars, plastic containers, milk jugs, tin, paper and cardboard packaging. Recycling containers conveniently placed around the campsites (near the bathrooms) makes it very easy for campers to participate in the recycling program. Recycling containers for beverage containers have been, and will continue to be placed near trashcans in the higher traffic areas and day use areas of the park such as parking areas.

The second component of our diversion/recycling plan involves diverting the park's greenwaste from the landfill. Greenwaste generated and/or accumulated at the park is taken to a composting facility. Separating and diverting the greenwaste from the solid waste going to the landfill for disposal, will greatly increase the overall percentage of diversion.

In a continuing effort to increase diversion, and promote and encourage recycling, additional containers are needed in the picnic areas and outlying parking areas to better meet the needs of our park visitors. Future plans also include creating a pamphlet that will be used for outreach, education and the promoting of recycling and specifically the recycling efforts within the park. The contents of the pamphlet would include a brief description of the program, the "do's and don'ts," how to identify the recycling containers and their locations within the park. The recycling containers currently in place and the containers to be purchased in the future are made from recycled material.

Stanislaus County plans to accomplish our diversion goals through public education and outreach, and promoting the program within the park. Also by purchasing additional recycling containers to conveniently and effectively serve the park visitors and maintaining the enthusiasm of staff to ensure the programs success.

There is an estimated 25% of solid waste to be recycled.

Please refer to the Stanislaus County Web site www.co.stanislaus.ca.us under Solid Waste Management to find "The Stanislaus County Recycling Guide" translated in both spanish and english.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	50% or better (10 points)		
X	25% or better (2 points)		
	24% or less (no score)		
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2 Concur.

b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.

Signs made from 100 % recycled plastic include a message center to display park maps, hours and location of recycling containers. Large single sided message board is made from 1600 plastic milk jugs.

Benches made 100% from recycled plastic, 1550 plastic milk jugs are 6 ft. in length and will be positioned in the area's receiving the most weekend and holiday traffic.

Additional recycling containers made from 100 % recycled plastic and a 30% recycled frame are heavy duty, plastic outer slates allow for graffiti to be removed easily and the plastic and steel can withstand the weather and constant use.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	100% (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	75% (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	50% (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?

Yes (5 points)

Source document title and location: F:/data/parks/cathyg/ohv grant for 2007-2008/ operation plan fo ohv parks

<input type="checkbox"/>	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	Tulare Recreation, Parks and Library Department	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	Tulare Recreation, Parks and Library Department Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-03-04-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more (10 points)			
	36% - 50% (7 points)			
	26% - 35% (5 points)			
X	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:				
The Tulare Cycle Park provides the opportunity to continue to meet the needs of OHV patrons. The Tulare Cycle Park provides the opportunity for riders to ride in one of the premiere parks in the tri-county area on a safe and well maintained track which helps eliminate illegal riding. Thus far riders have opted to utilize this facility which is used only for OHV activity thereby steering away from riding on canal banks, private property, dirt fields and trespassing in unsafe areas. This project protects resources by being open to the public and giving them the opportunity to ride in a safe park.				
Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)				
X	Maintain barriers			
	Maintain education kiosks			
X	Maintain signing			
X	Reduce litter			
X	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:
The City of Tulare, Recreation, Parks and Library Department has a Park Manager to oversee the day to day operations of practice events and race events. The city also has a Supervisor who oversees the budget and expenditures of the Tulare Cycle Park. Rick Hollenbeck who is the Park Manager has been a avid racer and rider for over 30 years. He also has been in the motorcycle business in one form or another for over 20 years. Rick has been a parts manager for a local dealership, a mechanic for a Harley Davidson dealership, sales rep for White Brothers and most recently the marketing director for CMA- California Motorcycle Association. This line of work has connected him with a lot of aftermarket companies and race promoters at the local and national level.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
X	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Recycling
a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:
During the course of the year the Tulare Cycle Park collects many cans and plastic bottles. These products are put in recycle containers and picked up by a recycling company Community Services Employment Training out of Visalia, CA. every Monday. These bottles and cans make up 50% of our solid waste.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
X	50% or better (10 points)			
	25% or better (2 points)			
	24% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.

b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.
The Tulare Cycle Park uses recycled tires as barriers along all three tracks. These tires act as a guideline for riders so they do not go off course. These tires are a very important tool for the safety of the participants.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	100% (5 points)			
	75% (4 points)			
X	50% (3 points)			
	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?				
Yes (5 points)				
Source document title and location:				
X No (no score)				
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	USFS Cleveland National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Cleveland National Forest Corral Canyon - Bear Valley OHV Area Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-02-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	51% or more (10 points)
X	36% - 50% (7 points)
	26% - 35% (5 points)
	10% - 25% (3 points)

Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.

Applicant Score	7	Division Findings	7	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:

The proposed project allows for sufficient staff and resources to maintain developed facilities, provide visitor services, and prevent damage to natural and cultural resources. Maintenance of barriers and fencing at campgrounds and staging areas is critical to prevent illegal riding activity within sensitive riparian and meadow habitats adjacent to these facilities as well as within identified (and un-identified) cultural resources sites located in the Corral Canyon and Bear Valley areas. Maintenance of information kiosks, regulatory and informational signs educates and informs users of legal riding routes and greatly helps to avoid misunderstandings over what riding opportunities are available. Regular litter pick-up and the regular presence of staff on site help keep the areas neat and clean. Experience has shown that accumulation of litter and absence of maintenance personnel leads to an escalating litter problem and vandalism of facilities. Regular road maintenance corrects erosion problems before substantial soil damage occurs in areas adjacent to the road bed. Reference attached photo page for support of these statements.

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)

X	Maintain barriers			
X	Maintain education kiosks			
X	Maintain signing			
X	Reduce litter			
X	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:				
A full-time and year-round OHV technician is proposed dedicated to the management, operation and maintenance of OHV facilities and opportunities. In addition to the year-round OHV technician, a full-time seasonal OHV assistant would be dedicated to operation and maintenance activities for several months of the year. Personnel with part-time OHV duties and agency contributed salaries include a Fire Prevention Technician, District Receptionist, Developed Recreation Manager, District Recreation Officer, Regional Cartographer, and a 17 person CDC crew. Volunteer personnel are critical to project management, but require oversight by paid staff. We plan to increase our newly initiated campground host program at Corral Canyon and Bobcat Meadow campgrounds with help from this grant. Last year we had over 700 hours of contributed volunteer time for the OHV program.				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
4. Recycling				
a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:				
Currently most of our solid waste disposal is taken to the local landfill. Cans and bottles are recycled when ever possible. Containers for recycled materials will be purchased with the funding from this grant.				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	50% or better (10 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% or better (2 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	24% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.				
The Forest is purchasing recycled signs whenever possible, such as the new adopt a trail signs (see photos).				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	100% (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	75% (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	50% (3 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.

5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?				
X	Yes (5 points)			
	Source document title and location: <u>Cleaning Recreation Sites - Technical guide</u> <u>Trail Management Plan</u> <u>Soil Report 2006</u> <u>In-Depth Design and Maintenance Manual for Vault Toilets</u>			
	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	USFS Cleveland National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Cleveland National Forest Wildomar OHV Area Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-02-F02

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	10% - 25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.			
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10 Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:

Implementing this project will have no negative impacts on natural or cultural resources. On July 24, 2000, the District Ranger signed a letter that documented the NEPA findings for the Annual Maintenance of the Wildomar Off-Highway Vehicle (OHV) trails system and Wildomar Campground. The letter stated "A Biological Evaluation/Assessment for Wildomar and the OHV routes was conducted in July 2000. It determined that this action would have no effect on threatened and endangered species. It states there will be "no effect on the Least Bell's vireo and southwestern willow flycatcher due to lack of habitat in the immediate are of the OHV route." This action falls under the current Terms and Conditions of the Biological Opinion for Riparian Obligate Species (1-6-99-F-21); there will be no additional effects to the southwestern arroyo toad. This proposal will not lead to the listing of any sensitive species. This action will have no effect on cultural or historic properties. I find that this action will have no adverse effects relative to any extraordinary circumstances."

This project will enhance natural and cultural resource protection in six primary areas.

- Maintaining existing barriers in the campground, staging area/trailhead, and along the periphery of the OHV Area, will give added protection to resources by ensuring that OHVs stay in the areas designed for them. It will keep them out of riparian areas that have fragile ecosystems and will greatly reduce the risk of exposing or disturbing cultural resources.
- By maintaining and enhancing educational signboards, we are able to convey key messages to the public regarding regulations, responsible OHV use, and resource protection. Without signboards, information is limited to infrequent personal contacts.
- The OHV Technician would maintain directional and regulatory signing, both of which are used to protect the natural/cultural resources.
- Litter clean-up is one of the primary functions of the OHV Technician. Due to heavy use, litter, graffiti, and vandalism tend to be some of the biggest problems at Wildomar. Since Wildomar is within the San Mateo Creek Watershed, it is important that litter, debris, and hazmat do not get into creek beds. The OHV Technician, and volunteers, would empty garbage cans, pick-up litter, repair vandalized facilities, report and coordinate hazmat clean-up, and clean the restrooms. Maintaining clean restrooms is crucial to resource protection because when restrooms are dirty, those in need look for concealed natural areas to use. When this happens, they often create new foot trails and leave an unsanitary mess that can contaminate water sources.
- An OHV Technician would ensure that erosion and sediment control features, within the campground and staging area, are adequately monitored, cleaned out, and reshaped. The Technician would also ensure that adequate barriers and signage are maintained in order to keep riders from using siltation catchment basins.
- Personal public contact is one of the most effective ways to educate users regarding natural resource protection. The OHV Technician funded by this grant, would train and coordinate volunteers, to effectively make non-enforcement contacts regarding resource protection.

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)

X	Maintain barriers			
X	Maintain education kiosks			
X	Maintain signing			
X	Reduce litter			
X	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

<p>3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:</p> <p>Although funding constrains do not allow us to have staff that are fully committed for OHV purposes, the following personnel contribute to, and make possible, the Wildomar OHV Area Program.</p> <p>Recreation Technicians (3) – Performs O&M tasks, oversee the Area, and makes public contacts.</p> <p>Wilderness/Trails Manager/District Volunteer Coordinator 1) - Recruits volunteers and assists in coordinating small volunteer projects, but does not have the training background or availability to coordinate complex training or projects for OHV uses.</p> <p>Fire Prevention Technicians (3) – Makes public contacts and assists with minor projects.</p> <p>Office Automation Clerks (2) – Provides visitor information services to those inquiring about OHV use.</p> <p>District Recreation and Lands Staff Officer (1) – Provides management oversight for OHV Area. Performs planning, inspections, and NEPA tasks. Prepares and coordinates contracts, work-orders, and special projects.</p>
--

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
X	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

<p>4. Recycling</p> <p>a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:</p> <p>No program</p>
--

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	50% or better (10 points)			
	25% or better (2 points)			
X	24% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.

<p>b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.</p> <p>Recycled program not currently active</p>

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	100% (5 points)			
	75% (4 points)			
	50% (3 points)			
X	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.

5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?				
X	Yes (5 points)			
	Source document title and location: <u>Cleaning Recreation Sites - Forest Service Technical brochure</u>			
	<u>Trail Maintenance Plan</u>			
	<u>Soil Condition Plan</u>			
	<u>In-Depth Design and Maintenance Manual for Vault Toilets</u>			
	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Eldorado National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Eldorado National Forest Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-03-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of theProject would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:

This project would provide for the protection of natural and cultural resources by providing the public with information on how to responsibly use their OHVs on National Forest System lands. The project also provides protection of natural resources by providing garbage receptacles and clean toilets for people to use. and by providing barriers and fences to keep people from riding off the system routes.

People who stop by or call the Forest Supervisor’s Office or the Ranger District Offices will receive information from well trained personnel. They will be provided with useful information on the types of OHV opportunities offered on the Eldorado National Forest. They will receive information on planning a safe trip by, for example, knowing what items they should have to deal with problems that may arise on the routes they ride. Brochures from Tread Lightly, Recreation Opportunity Guides and color maps are available for the public to learn about where they can go for responsible OHV use.

Information would also be provided at kiosks, sign boards and signs. This project protects resources by providing the visitors with clear and concise information for the proper use of their OHVs. The information presented would include staying on the designated routes to protect vegetation and reduce erosion. Posters explaining the use of National Forest lands to graze livestock would emphasize that cattle are not an animal to chase but a valuable property with a legitimate use of the Forest. The kiosk, sign boards and signs will be well maintained to reinforce the message that the Forest Service is a steward of the land while providing for the recreation needs of the people.

This project would provide for fencing or barrier rocks to keep people from traveling off of designated routes (see photos). The fencing and barriers would reduce compaction of the soil, avoid soil erosion caused by vehicle travel and protect vegetation and wildlife habitat.

This project would provide for toilet cleaning, maintenance and pumping at Elkins Flat Trailhead on the Placerville Ranger District. This would reduce the sanitation problems and health hazards that result when toilet facilities are avoided because of a lack of proper maintenance.

This project would provide additional portable vault toilets at Mace Mill and Bald Mountain Staging Areas on the Georgetown Ranger District. Currently, only one portable toilet is provided at the Mace Mill Staging Area. During the fall and spring, this single toilet is not enough to meet the needs of the large number of people using Mace Mill. The two additional toilets would provide needed sanitation facilities at Bald Mountain and compensate for the additional trail use by people during moist, cool conditions.

The project would also provide dumpsters and trash collection to help reduce the amount of littering. This protects wildlife by not exposing them to the hazards of human garbage.

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)

X	Maintain barriers			
X	Maintain education kiosks			
X	Maintain signing			
X	Reduce litter			
	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:

The Eldorado National Forest has experienced staff for managing the OHV program. Each Ranger District has a manager, who provides supervision and coordination of recreation and maintenance staff to complete the facilities operation and maintenance projects and supports the OHV program on the Forest. The Forest Supervisor's Office has the Forest Recreation Officer to provide leadership, guidance, advice and acts as a liaison with the Regional Office and the OHV Division. The Forest Supervisor's Office has two landscape architects to provide designs for kiosks and site plans for the trailhead and staging area facilities.

On the Amador Ranger District, the OHV Manager and temporary OHV Patroller are solely dedicated to the OHV program for trail maintenance and law enforcement in the OHV and OSV programs.

On the Georgetown Ranger District, the District Resource Officer and recreation technicians are also dedicated to developed recreation, non-motorized dispersed recreation and other National Forest programs in addition to the OHV program.

On the Pacific Ranger District, the District Resource Officer, District Recreation Officer, District Recreation Manager, maintenance personnel and recreation technicians work on other projects in addition to the OHV program. The Rubicon Rangers are solely dedicated to the OHV program.

On the Placerville Ranger District, the District Resource Officer, Recreation Officers and recreation technicians work on other projects in addition to the OHV program. This District has a Forest Protection Officer solely dedicated to the OHV program.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
X	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Recycling

a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:

According to the Integrated Waste Management Board's Website for Jurisdiction Diversion Rate Summary (Results) for 2004, all of the unincorporated areas of counties (Amador, El Dorado and Placer) contained in the Eldorado National Forest boundary meet or exceed 50% diversion rate with the exception of Alpine County. For Alpine County, the Integrated Waste Management Board approved the reduced diversion requirement: 25%. In 2004, Alpine County had a diversion rate of 44%. (Reference <http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/Igtools/mars/drmcmmainin.asp?ju>)

The Georgetown Ranger District has recycling containers for plastic, glass and aluminum at the Big Meadows, Hell Hole and Middle Meadows Group Campgrounds, Hell Hole Boat Ramp and the Parking Lot. The Forest Service collects the recyclable materials on a weekly basis and turns in the material to the recycling center in Georgetown, CA.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

X	50% or better (10 points)			
	25% or better (2 points)			
	24% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	0	The narrative does not describe the Applicant's efforts, it only describes the County's efforts.

b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.

This project would make use of recycled materials where available. For example, any printed information handouts and postings will be printed and/or copied on recycled paper. Plastic signs and fiberglass sign posts will be purchased from suppliers that used recycled materials. For example, the Carsonite Corporation makes a “greenline” series of marker posts that are manufactured with post-consumer recycled plastic. Other suppliers have similar products. Where signs and posts that are made from recycled plastics are available and meet needed specifications, then those types of signs and posts will always be used in lieu of products that do not use recycled materials.

If recycled lumber can be located and meets quality requirements, it would be used for fencing repairs and replacement work.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	100% (5 points)			
X	75% (4 points)			
	50% (3 points)			
	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.

5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?				
X	Yes (5 points)			
	<p>Source document title and location: <u>Ranger District Maintenance Management Plan, available at respective Ranger District offices</u></p>			
	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	USFS Lassen National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Lassen National Forest High Lakes, Front Country and Potato Buttes Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-08-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
X	51% or more (10 points)			
	36% - 50% (7 points)			
	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:				
<p>One of the main objectives of this project is to reduce and avoid ongoing adverse impacts to forest resources from OHV use at these three areas. We believe that public awareness and education, and volunteerism, are key to reducing unacceptable impacts to natural and cultural resources. This can be accomplished by increasing visitor contacts and improving and maintaining trailhead kiosks. Through expanded public use monitoring and education, we hope to reduce the most prevalent OHV use impacts to a minimal level within the two year timeframe of this project. This would include eliminating further campsite expansion into riparian areas, closing and rehabilitating undesirable campsites, blocking user-developed OHV trails, reducing illegal trash dumping, and promoting Leave No Trace principles for human sanitation and low impact camping.</p> <p>We plan to engage volunteers from local area OHV Clubs (Friends of The High Lakes, Pair-O-Dice 4 Wheel Drive Club, Feather River Rock Crawlers, Chico ATV Group, North State Crawlers, and volunteers from the local communities of Old Station and Burney) to help spread important resource protection messages and patrol. Volunteer groups will remove campsite and roadside trash, perform site monitoring, clean out sediment control structures and barriers, and remove and restore poorly located dispersed campsites.</p> <p>In separate, but related projects, the Forest Service has recently completed resource inventories for soils, watershed, botany, and heritage resources within the High Lakes, Front Country, and Hat Creek OHV areas. Using this information, environmental analyses and management plans will be prepared for these areas during 2008 and 2009. As a part of this process, the Lassen National Forest intends to conduct an extensive outreach program with interested members of the public as well as State and local agencies. Based on the resource inventories, visitor use patterns, and public input, additional management measures will be considered for these areas.</p>				

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)				
X	Maintain barriers			
X	Maintain education kiosks			
X	Maintain signing			
X	Reduce litter			
X	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:				
<p>This project would be staffed by both permanent and temporary USFS employees of the Lassen National Forest. The permanent staff for each of the two Ranger Districts (Almanor RD and Hat Creek RD) consists of one Recreation Officer/OHV Area Manager, one Recreation Technician and one Fire Prevention Technician (FPT). Additional summer seasonal and temporary employees (Forestry Technicians) are also be employed to assist with patrolling and maintaining these areas. These individuals would be involved in on-the-ground implementation of the project. All of them are experienced employees who are trained as Forest Protection Officers (FPO). They are familiar with "Tread Lightly"/"Leave No Trace" principles, and OHV safety. Forest Service Law Enforcement Officers will provide enforcement throughout the year under an OHV enforcement grant.</p>				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
X	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Recycling				
a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:				
<p>OHV area users are required to remove their own trash from the areas, CFR 261.11 (d). Solid waste disposal is not provided within the OHV areas. Adjacent fee-area campgrounds do provide waste disposal facilities. Visitors to the Hat Creek OHV use areas would be encouraged to deposit trash and wastewater at the RV dump station in Old Station, and to use nearby restroom facilities at the Visitor Information Center or Panoramic Point. In order to reduce the amount of waste entering landfills, recyclable materials are collected at several fee campgrounds and at the RV dump station.</p>				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	50% or better (10 points)			
X	25% or better (2 points)			
	24% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.

b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.

This project does not generate a large amount of tangible products. Renewable resources (wood) would be used to construct/maintain trailhead and information kiosks. Informational brochures would be printed on 30% post consumer waste paper. Naturally occurring native materials (rocks, boulders, wood) would be used to construct barriers where needed.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	100% (5 points)			
X	75% (4 points)			
	50% (3 points)			
	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.

5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?

X Yes (5 points)

Source document title and location: Yes, the Operations and Maintenance Plans for these three OHV areas are on file at the Lassen nainal Forest Headquarters and include the following components:

- Signs and kiosk maintenance at the High Lakes Trailhead
- Trail sign maintenance
- Visitor information (maps, handouts)
- Visitor patrols and education
- Law enforcement patrols
- Volunteer recruitment
- Campsite cleanup
- Monitoring for new trails and campsites and protocols for closing them

	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Lassen National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Lassen National Forest Jonesville and Ashpan OSV Staging Areas Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-08-F02

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10% - 25% (3 points)

Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.

Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:

There are no expected effects to natural or cultural resources as a result of this project. Pavement repairs include diversion of water off the parking lots so it does not pool on the asphalt. The existing footprint of each staging area will remain the same. Paving equipment will be staged adjacent to gravel or paved roads to minimize environmental and ecological impact to surrounding natural environment.

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)

<input type="checkbox"/>	Maintain barriers			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Maintain education kiosks			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Maintain signing			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reduce litter			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	1	Division Findings	1	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:

While the Lassen National Forest has no full time OHV staff, the Agency currently has several recreation specialists on each Ranger District, transportation planners, engineers, resource specialists, and law enforcement personnel working on the OHV program throughout the year. Forest engineers will prepare a drainage plan for each parking lot and administer the contract to repair the damaged sections, seal cracks, and overlay the parking area.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
X	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Recycling				
a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:				
Approximately 90-100% of the existing pavement will be roto-milled (grinding the asphalt) and re-used on this project as sub-base or base material, effectively eliminating waste.				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
X	50% or better (10 points)			
	25% or better (2 points)			
	24% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.

b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.				
Subgrade Approximately 75% of the subgrade will be recycled materials from the roto-milled asphalt. Existing failed subgrade will be remixed with the grindings and used as sub-base or base material.				
Asphalt Pavement At this time no recycled asphalt overlays are available in our area.				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	100% (5 points)			
	75% (4 points)			
X	50% (3 points)			
	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?				
X	Yes (5 points)			
Source document title and location: <u>Upon completion of the project, the Lassen National Forest will include these parking lots in its pavement management plan (on file at the LNF headquarters in Susanville, CA). This plan includes both annual and deferred maintenance actions for extending the life of the facilities.</u>				

	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	USFS Los Padres National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Los Padres National Forest Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-09-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more (10 points)			
	36% - 50% (7 points)			
X	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.				
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:				
<p>Resources will be protected as a result of performing standard regular maintenance on facilities. Employees will be funded to pick up trash, maintain restrooms (i.e. paint, clean, and supply toilet paper), replace parking barriers as necessary to prevent off site impacts, repair fencing to protect riparian areas and archeological sites. Funding will be used to pump toilets located within the OHV areas which will prevent effluent overflow and reduce the human waste and litter scattered throughout the Forest. As a result, there will be positive benefits to water quality, and facility maintenance will positively affect the experience of the Forest visitors which will help sustain OHV recreation into the future by providing a positive and sanitary experience for the OHV user community and general public at staging areas while protecting the natural and cultural resources. The reprinting of OHV guides and information panels on both districts aids in minimizing or avoiding impacts to natural and cultural resource through user education.</p>				

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)				
X	Maintain barriers			
X	Maintain education kiosks			
X	Maintain signing			
X	Reduce litter			
X	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:				
OHV Program Managers are on both the Santa Lucia and Mt Pinos RD. These individuals are solely dedicated to the OHV program. However, numerous other personnel contribute to the program either 100% or partially. Field personnel generally are funded through a variety of funding sources which require a variety of tasks to be accomplished. Season Techs are hired usually with only one funding source - if it is OHV funds - they will be dedicated entirely to the OHV program. If it is with other funds (i.e. rec fee dollars), there will be other obligations. Project workplans with distribution of funding are available for review upon request.				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
4. Recycling				
a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:				
Recycling programs vary across the forest. Currently our best professional estimate at a forest wide program level would be less than 25%. Improvements to the recycling program are needed and will be included in our proposed program - please see project description for FO&M.				
Solid waste, including cans, bottles, and glass collected by employees, is taken back to the District Office and disposed of in the recycling dumpster and the general trash dumpster.				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	50% or better (10 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% or better (2 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	24% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.				
Recycled paper and plastic products are purchased in support of FOM activities. This amount depends upon the cost and availability which is currently limited to approximately 20-40% recycled materials used in the products. Reference purchase documents and catalogs items are purchased from available from District Recreation Office Personnel.				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	100% (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	75% (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	50% (3 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.

5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?				
X	Yes (5 points)			
	Source document title and location: <u>Infra Corporate Database - Developed Recreation Sites Work Tasks; national quality standards for dev rec site management - available at District Ranger Stations - Recreation Department</u>			
	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Mendocino National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Mendocino National Forest Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-10-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	10% - 25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.			
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10 Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:

The Mendocino National Forest (MNF) has continued to demonstrate a strong commitment to natural and cultural resource protection while providing exceptional OHV recreational opportunities that are consistent with the Mendocino NF Land and Resource Management Plan (LRMP) and the vision of the State OHV grants program.

One of the primary goals of the MNF's OHV program is to provide a systematic process for the detection of direct or indirect effects resulting from OHV use on those public lands receiving State off-highway assistance funds. This aggressive monitoring program is designed to identify and correct problems as they occur. Any findings of adverse effects to natural or cultural resources caused by OHV use are documented in the Forest's "Annual Monitoring Report." This listing triggers prioritization of a prescribed action to correct the problem within budget constraints. Corrective actions are designed to maintain and restore biodiversity, improve wildlife habitat and meet State and Federal standards for soil conservation, wildlife habitat protection, water quality objectives and cultural resource protection pursuant to, and in compliance with, the requirements contained in the OHV Grant and Cooperative Agreement Program regulations and consistent with Title 36 Code of Federal Regulations 261.13.

This FO&M request includes environmental documentation in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and Forest Service "Best Management Practices." This project is also supported by biological and cultural resource evaluations and analysis. These analyses have identified T&E habitat, unstable soils, prehistoric and historic sites in those areas receiving State OHV assistance funding. Areas of concern are effectively protected from OHV impacts by seasonal or temporary closures, barriers systems and signing.

FO&M funding assistance will assure a trained, competent staff consisting of technicians able to monitor and identify direct and indirect OHV impacts and prescribe and implement the most effective protective actions. Pro-active preventative measures used on the MNF include:

- Providing a knowledgeable field and office staff to inform and educate OHV users
- Dispensing high quality, up-to-date District OHV Guide Maps
- Providing interpretive and regulatory signage at strategic locations
- Innovations in site protection including no-dig fencing, barriers and gates to protect sensitive natural and cultural resources
- Using natural barriers such as boulders and native vegetation to protect sensitive areas
- Maintaining a strong patrol presence in OHV emphasis areas provides information and education plus a deterrent to illegal impacts from OHVs
- Keeping facilities maintained to standards that reduce theft, vandalism, littering and other illegal acts that increase through neglect and disrepair
- Hardening of campgrounds and stream crossings
- Repairing or replacing damaged or stolen barriers, signs as soon as possible

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)

X	Maintain barriers			
X	Maintain education kiosks			
X	Maintain signing			
X	Reduce litter			
X	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:

Although staffing varies year-to-year contingent on State OHV funding assistance and other partnerships, the Forest has managed to maintain a base-level FO&M workforce dedicated to OHV management. All positions listed below currently exist in the Forest's organizational plan and are funded through a combination of force-account and State OHV funding assistance. If funded to requested levels, staffing would also include some temporary positions intended to ensure the optimal base-level standards of FO&M management are met. Dedicated Forest OHV staff:

GRINDSTONE RANGER DISTRICT

Position: OHV Supervisory Technician

Appointment: Permanent

Duties: Supervision of District OHV staff and program

OHV Experience: 28 years

Position: OHV Lead Technician

Appointment: Permanent

Duties: Implementation of OHV deliverables

OHV Experience: 23 years

Position: OHV Technician

Appointment: Permanent

Duties: FO&M, trail maintenance, volunteer coordination

OHV Experience: 15 years

Position: OHV Technician

Appointment: Permanent

Duties: FO&M, Trail Maintenance, volunteer coordination

OHV Experience: Vacant

UPPER LAKE RANGER DISTRICT

Position: OHV Supervisory Technician

Appointment: Permanent

Duties: Supervision of District OHV staff and program

OHV Experience: 10 years

Position: OHV Lead Technician

Appointment: Permanent

Duties: Implementation of OHV deliverables

OHV Experience: 17 years

Position: OHV Technician

Appointment: Seasonal 13/13

Duties: FO&M, trail maintenance, volunteer coordination

OHV Experience: Vacant

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)		
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5 Concur.

4. Recycling

a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant’s recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:

Fully funding this FO&M request will enable the Forest to continue an aggressive, comprehensive program designed to reduce the generation of solid waste. Due to the size of the OHV program on the MNF, a substantial amount of solid waste is generated in OHV staging areas, campgrounds and trailheads. In spite of heavy visitation to these remote locations, the Forest estimates more than 50% of the solid waste brought in by visitors or generated on-site to support facility operations and maintenance is ultimately recycled, reduced or reused. Expanding use in our area has increased the importance of implementing an effective waste management program to help reduce solid waste and comply with directives in the “Resource Conservation and Recovery Act” and Executive Order 12873: Federal Acquisition, Recycling and Waste Prevention Law.

Goals of our solid waste management program include: 1) reducing landfill stuffing 2) recycling, reusing and composting 3) utilizing reusable, recyclable and recycled products and equipment and 4) encouraging the use of earth-friendly products. The MNF OHV program is committed to reducing solid waste and improving sustainability by utilizing the following waste stream management measures to divert waste from landfill sites:

- Aluminum and plastic recycle bins or containers are strategically located in Grindstone and Upper Lake OHV staging areas, campgrounds and support facilities including visitor reception areas at administrative sites. Ninety-five percent of receipts from recreation area recycling is recovered for facility maintenance by way of the Recreation Enhancement Act (REA) of 2007
- Independent recyclers are encouraged to police roadsides and allowed to remove recyclables from area garbage bins
- Septic waste from vault toilets is removed to approved county facilities for treatment and recycling
- Septic waste from RVs is deposited in a two-lane dump station provided at Fouts Springs. Both black and gray water are processed and recycled through a septic system using leech fields designed to prevent non-point source pollution to surface or groundwater. Ninety-five percent of the dump station fees are returned to maintain the facility under REA
- Bio-based products are used to maintain sanitation under the “Buy Green Program,” providing alternatives to hazardous or toxic chemicals and containers
- Energy and water efficient products are used, including spring loaded hydrants, photovoltaic restroom fans/bubblers and photocell lighting
- Chipped green and wood waste from roadside brushing and utility company tree trimming is composted and used as mulch in OHV restoration and landscaping projects
- Re-usable products are recycled, including flexible fiberglass route delineators, no-dig fencing systems, railroad-ties and treated guard rail barrier material
- All used batteries, oil and tires are recycled
- Deconstruction - we reuse salvageable materials such as sign posts, table tops and benches, fencing components and other recycled materials
- Take-back requirements on computer equipment and two-way radio contracts to assure proper disposal off-site
- An aggressive pack-it-in, pack-it-out program Forest-wide to reduce the need for garbage service

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

X	50% or better	(10 points)		
	25% or better	(2 points)		
	24% or less	(no score)		
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.

b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.				
<p>This project will be implemented in accordance with mandates in the "Federal Acquisition, Recycling and Waste Prevention Law." The MNF will also continue to promote strategic goals contained within the "California Integrated Waste Management Board" recommendations. Percent of recycled materials found in the products listed below is identified in product labeling. Full funding will assist us in continuing and expanding the use of recycled goods and materials as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Use of re-recycled oil in our fleet - Reuse of depleted personal portable radio batteries in lower watt electronic devices - Use of recycled paper products for OHV grants, maps, handouts - Use and distribution of recycled plastic garbage bags - Use of recycled plastic barrier material, sign substrates and posts - Re-use of salvaged railroad ties and used guardrail material for barriers and OHV bridge abutments - Use of rice straw for mulch, hazmat and bale-wall barrier systems - Chipped native vegetation for mulch in restoration projects - Surplus Forest cell phones are donated to the Willows, CA Lions Club to be recycled as emergency phones for the elderly - Use of bio-diesel fuels in heavy equipment used in OHV FO&M and trail maintenance - Use of "Tire-tech," a trail tread "hardening" product manufactured from recycled, shredded tires 				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	100% (5 points)			
	75% (4 points)			
X	50% (3 points)			
	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?				
X	<p>Yes (5 points)</p> <p><u>Source document title and location: The basis for all FO&M on the MNF is the “Recreation Site Facility Master Plan-5 year Proposed Program of Work.” This document is designed to be a living, working road map to guide the Forest in providing a quality, sustainable recreation sites program. It considers existing and projected visitor demands, recreation program niche*, resource conditions, links to the community, program costs and revenue. This Plan is consistent with the Pacific Southwest Region’s “Recreation Strategy” and the Mendocino L&MRP. It displays the proposed tasks needed over the next five years to bring the Forest’s recreation infrastructure into alignment with the resources available to operate and maintain it to standard. The fundamental premise is to create an inventory which is sustainable and flexible enough to be annually adapted to any changes in available resources including outside grants and partnerships.</u></p> <p><u>The INFRA corporate database maintained by the Forest Service includes trail and facility inventories, annual work tasks and condition surveys. This system provides information that allows the Districts to complete annual maintenance management plans. These “Workplans” identify project deliverables and the specific costs needed for personnel, vehicles, contracts/services and materials and supplies.</u></p> <p><u>*Niche: “Come escape to the Mendocino to experience the excitement of our world-class off-highway vehicle routes or the solitude and tranquility of our remote recreation sites.” The MNF "Facility Master Plan" is available at the Forest Supervisor’s Office Willows, CA.</u></p>			
	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	USFS Plumas National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Plumas National Forest Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-13-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more (10 points)			
	36% - 50% (7 points)			
	26% - 35% (5 points)			
X	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:				
<p>For the winter OSV program all snowmobile routes are groomed on designated trails, which are existing roads and trails. The wilderness boundary is heavily signed and patrolled on the staging area side, and also on the east side boundary where the most use occurs. During heavy use weekends extra patrols are added to ensure compliance with wilderness boundary.</p> <p>Barriers, rock and signing are used to prevent intrusion into areas that are closed to OHV use on designated routes and trails.</p> <p>For both winter and summer there are information kiosks that contain information about where to ride and where not to ride.</p> <p>Volunteer groups help reduce litter during the summer and winter seasons and also by self policing the users.</p>				

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)				
X	Maintain barriers			
X	Maintain education kiosks			
X	Maintain signing			
X	Reduce litter			
X	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:

During the summer the Plumas has 3 employees dedicated to the OHV program, two on the Feather River Ranger District, and one that is shared between the Mt. Hough and Beckwourth Ranger Districts. The winter program has 2 employees dedicated to OSV program one on the Feather River Ranger District and one that is shared between the Mt. Hough and Beckwourth Ranger Districts. In addition to these employees all employee that are in the field are encouraged to provide support to the OHV program by patrolling the roads and trails, during the winter employees that are available help with patrolling, signing, and general law enforcement.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program	(5 points)
--	---	-------------------

X	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program	(3 points)
----------	---	-------------------

Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

4. Recycling

a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:

The Plumas NF has installed a combination trash/recycle bins at 2 OHV/OSV staging locations. We plan to install additional bins within the next several years at the remaining trailheads. The objective of these bins will be to collect aluminum cans and plastic bottles that will be re-cycled there diverting them away from landfills. We anticipate that approximately 10% of our waste materials can be re-cycled, with that number rising as we add more bins around the forest.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	50% or better	(10 points)
--	---------------	--------------------

	25% or better	(2 points)
--	---------------	-------------------

X	24% or less	(no score)
----------	-------------	-------------------

Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.

The printing of both our current OHV and winter OSV maps have been printed utilizing recycled paper.

All paper products (hand towels and sanitary) installed in Plumas National Facilities are comprised of 100% re-cycled products.

A majority (80%) of the materials used to accomplish the Plumas' OHV trails Conservation program are comprised of natural materials, i.e. large rock to block OHV access to wet meadows, and lake shores and stone placement used to provide low water crossings and or utilized to protect areas prone to water and soil erosion.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	100% (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	75% (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	50% (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes (5 points) Source document title and location: <u>The Plumas maintains an annual road maintenance plan, titled Most Efficient Organization (MEO) plan that spells out how OHV system road maintenance will be accomplished. This Plan is located at the Supervisor`s Office, Plumas NF, Quincy, CA.</u>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	USFS San Bernardino National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS San Bernardino National Forest Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-14-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:

This Facilities Operations and Maintenance project will protect resources through; maintenance of staging area facilities, signs, barriers and information kiosks, coordination of volunteer patrol, and erosion control within staging area footprints. Activities are described in detail in a `Categorical Exclusion for Off-highway Vehicle Operations and Maintenance`, attached to this application in the CEQA/NEPA section.

Staging, parking and camping areas are maintained by staff with assistance from San Bernardino National Forest Association (SBNFA) OHV Volunteers. Restroom and portable toilet facilities are routinely cleaned and maintained to encourage their use and reduce the introduction of human waste into surrounding areas. Directional, regulatory and informational signs are maintained and routinely updated to ensure accurate visitor information, including any closures and/or restrictions. Maps are posted and maintained at kiosks to inform users of legally designated opportunities. Traffic control barriers are also maintained and repaired to direct users toward legal opportunities while protecting natural resources adjacent to OHV facilities.

OHV Volunteer patrols are coordinated through the forest liaison to provide additional, cost-effective presence throughout the forest. OHV Volunteers are rigorously trained in rules and regulations, emergency response, forest radio communication and customer service. The OHV Volunteer group provides well over 20,000 hours of patrol annually, focusing primarily on user education. Volunteers routinely distribute materials to casual forest users including; rules and regulations, brochures on safe operation of OHVs, `Stay on the Trail` messages describing travel on designated routes only, OHV maps and literature on sound and spark arrestors. Detailed information regarding OHV Volunteer training (including `Introduction to the OHV Volunteer Program`, `OHV Rules and Regulations`, `Patrol Leader Responsibilities` and `Patrol Leader Training`) is available at the Supervisor's Office, Recreation. Volunteer patrols are conducted several days each week across the forest. This level of presence, and distribution of educational materials, encourages casual users to stay on trails, pack out trash, extinguish camping/cooking fires and to comply with forest rules and regulations. Detailed information describing Volunteer activities, hours and accomplishments is available for review at the Big Bear Discovery Center.

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)

X	Maintain barriers			
X	Maintain education kiosks			
X	Maintain signing			
X	Reduce litter			
X	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:

The OHV O&M Program Leader is a Supervisor's Office employee with responsibility for overall management of the forestwide OHV program. The O&M Leader manages the trail maintenance program and soil monitoring program, provides enforcement and also coordinates activities with Adopt-a-Trail and OHV Volunteer groups.

The OHV technician is a Supervisor's Office employee who assists the O&M Leader with management of the program including trail maintenance, WHPP monitoring, soil monitoring, Facilities O&M, enforcement and record keeping.

Each District is also supported by an OHV liaison. The OHV liaison coordinates District activities with the O&M Leader, is responsible for primary law enforcement patrol and the coordinated maintenance and upkeep of all OHV facilities on their respective District.

Annual work plans for all OHV employees are kept in the forest WorkPlan database, and describe, in detail, each employees project responsibilities for the fiscal year. Work plans can be obtained and reviewed at the Supervisor's Office, Recreation. Positions are also described on the forest organizational chart for recreation. Position descriptions are available by request from the Supervisor's Office.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Recycling

a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:

The forest OHV program does not currently have a significant program for the diversion of solid waste.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	50% or better (10 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% or better (2 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	24% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.

b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.

The majority of directional and informational signs supporting the forest OHV program are constructed from metal and/or wood. When a sign is `decommissioned`, those parts of the sign that can be re-used are kept and stored for future use. As an example, Designated Vehicle Route (DVR) signs are primarily constructed with a metal sign face which is then applied with decals to display allowable uses, trail name and number, level of difficulty, etc. When a DVR is relocated or replaced through routine maintenance, the sign face, if not destroyed, is kept, stripped of decals and retained for future use. When possible, sign posts and hardware are also re-used.

Native materials are also recycled on a routine basis during monitoring, conservation and restoration activities. Plant and vegetative debris is routinely collected from surrounding areas during WHPP monitoring and is used as `slash` to disguise off-route impacts and unauthorized use. Standing dead timber, primarily joshua trees, are often relocated and used as `vertical mulch` to disguise restoration sites and discourage use in newly planted/seeded areas.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	100% (5 points)			
X	75% (4 points)			
	50% (3 points)			
	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.

5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?

X Yes (5 points)

Source document title and location: Categorical Exclusion for Off-highway Vehicle Operations and Maintenance

Document is attached to this application in CEQA/NEPA section.

	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	USFS Sequoia National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Sequoia National Forest Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-15-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
X	36% - 50% (7 points)		
	26% - 35% (5 points)		
	10% - 25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.			
Applicant Score	7	Division Findings	7 Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:

The proposed project would further protect cultural resource sites by providing additional portable toilets, servicing existing restrooms, providing trash collection, and other services to ensure the public does not use the forest for human waste and litter. Installation of education kiosks and bulletin boards at the campgrounds & OHV Staging areas would have little effect on the aquatic or riparian species since these sites are not located in riparian habitat. Installation of OHV regulatory, directional, and informational signing would keep the public on designated routes and prevent cross-country travel thus protecting erosion and sediment control features. By maintaining signing, it would keep users better informed on rules, regulations, and trail ethics and would reduce the amount of sediment that is currently being eroded off trails and roads by uninformed users. The installation of more barrier posts would also keep vehicle traffic on designated routes thus protecting cultural and natural resources. The installation of campfire rings would mitigate the effects of visitors building and creating their own rock ring fire pits when parts are missing or deteriorated in existing sites. Project areas have previously been surveyed for historical and cultural resources. This project falls within the guidelines of an exempt undertaking and is in accordance with the Programmatic Agreement for Compliance with Section 106.

On Hume Lake RD, n Natural and cultural resources at Millwood Staging and Quail Flat are protected through a variety of means. Barrier posts and other materials are in place at both locations to protect natural and cultural features. Signs regarding regulations, and protecting resources are posted on the information boards. These are changed seasonally or when a specific need arises, such as fire restrictions change.

Vault toilets were installed at both locations since they are natural congregation areas for forest visitors, and people need restroom facilities to prevent damage to natural resources. When restrooms are cleaned, any trash found in the vicinity is also picked up and removed. There are both a dumpster and recycling bin at Quail Flat and most visitors use these. There are no dumpsters at Millwood Staging so people are encouraged both through signs and by recreation staff to pack out their trash. This area is closed to traffic during the winter to prevent damage to the roadbed or other resources during the wet season.

The recreation staff, other field patrol staff, and the district receptionists have supplies of the Hume Lake Ranger District OHV Map. These are handed out free of charge to any visitor requesting it. The map includes the Tread Lightly information that district personnel discuss with the visitors as needed.

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)

X	Maintain barriers			
X	Maintain education kiosks			
X	Maintain signing			
X	Reduce litter			
X	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:

- GS-11 Provide oversight to toilet pumping service contract
- GS-9 Purchase picnic tables, paint for tables and bulletin boards and other supplies
- GS-7 Purchase fire rings and install
- GS-5 Trash clean-up in campgrounds and OHV Staging Areas

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
X	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Recycling				
<p>a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:</p>				
<p>At all our high country sites mentioned in this grant application, we have implemented a very successful "Pack It In, Pack It Out," program. As a result, we do not provide trash receptacles. By placing waste prevention as a priority, resources are used efficiently and less waste is created that must be disposed of. FS saves money and resources. Any trash left behind by users is picked up and brought to suitable waste refuse facilities off the mountain tops. All our recreation sites and OHV staging areas have portable or vault toilets to ensure the bears and other wildlife are not exposed to any solid waste generated by users. Illegal dumping and littering are a major problem with significant social, environmental, and economic impacts in the forest. Illegal dumping is usually not handled as a solid waste issue, but more often as a nuisance/litter abatement effort. In this effort, FPOs and LEOs issue citations routinely to violators that drop their "household trash" in forest dumpsters or illegally dump in forest areas. As forest visitors travel down from the high country, they have access to recycle bins (aluminum, glass & plastic) installed and managed by Tulare County Conservation Corps. These bins are strategically located for easy access at campground entrances in the Upper Kern Canyon and Lake Isabella.</p> <p>Barrier posts and other materials are in place at both Millwood and Quail Flat Staging Areas to protect natural and cultural features. Each year a number of the wooden barrier posts need to be replaced because of rot or damage. The district has a supply of recycled wood/plastic barrier posts that are available to replace the wooden ones at these staging areas. The toilet paper supplied is made from 50 percent recycled material as well.</p>				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	50% or better (10 points)			
X	25% or better (2 points)			
	24% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.

<p>b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.</p>				
<p>Through this grant, we would purchase recycled-content products to create markets for recycled materials and waste reduction. Specifically, we would purchase (20) 4x4 posts for installation of OHV regulatory and informational signing at campgrounds and OHV staging areas. These posts are made of 100% recycled materials</p>				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	100% (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	75% (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	50% (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes (5 points)			
	Source document title and location: <u>Sequoia National Forest Recreation Facilities Analysis</u>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	USFS Sierra National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Sierra National Forest Bass Lake Ranger District Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-17-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
X	51% or more (10 points)			
	36% - 50% (7 points)			
	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:				
<p>Protection of resources and facilities is an ongoing effort. Different types of barriers (native and manmade materials) have been created and used to prevent access to culturally, wildlife and botanically sensitive areas and have been used to protect riparian areas and sensitive species. Annual maintenance will prevent the loss of or decreased level of recreation opportunities from environmental impacts or health and safety concerns. Maintenance of toilet buildings at popular destinations will prevent public health and safety issues and minimize impacts to the natural resources. Every toilet installed, operated and maintained at OHV staging areas and trailheads are located at areas of high concentrated use to minimize impact to environment through improper disposal of human waste and toilet paper. Repairs are scheduled annually for bulletin boards at trailheads and staging areas. Signing on all bulletin boards has information advising users to stay on designated routes to protect habitat and critical resources. Signs are maintained and upon notification, replaced immediately. Lack of funds for directional signs lead to multiple trails, resource damage, and higher future maintenance costs. Traffic counters allow continued monitoring of where OHV users are going to use funds at priority locations with the greatest impacts. "Pack it Out" and "Tread Lightly" signage and information assists with litter accumulation, wildlife hazards and resource damages due to visitor's litter. Maintaining erosion control features in and around staging areas/dispersed campsites will protect natural and cultural resources. Erosion has the capability to unearth cultural artifacts and degrade the archaeological site. This can make the site obvious and attract illegal digging in the site. Prevention of erosion protects water quality and prevents habitat destruction in sensitive watersheds. Ref: BLRD Draft OHV Sign Plan; FS Handbook 7409.11 Sanitary Engineering and Public Health</p>				

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain barriers			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain education kiosks			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain signing			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reduce litter			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:				
District staff dedicated to the OHV FO&M project includes permanent, full time OHV Specialist with assistance from a seasonal recreation technician, one to two OHV summer student temporary employees. Over 300 volunteers, individuals and representatives from four wheel drive clubs, ATV and MC clubs have contributed over 3500 hours in 2007 for the operation and maintenance of trailheads, bulletin boards and toilet, installation of maintenance of non-LE signs on designated OHV routes and 30 dispersed/staging/off-loading sites and collection of litter. Organization charts, resumes and job descriptions are available at the District Office in North Fork, CA. Ref: BLRD Draft OHV Sign Plan				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Recycling				
a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	50% or better (10 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% or better (2 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	24% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.

b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	100% (5 points)			
	75% (4 points)			
	50% (3 points)			
X	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?				
X	Yes (5 points)			
	Source document title and location: <u>Bass Lake Ranger District Draft OHV Management Plan, Bass Lake Ranger District Headquarters, North Fork, CA</u>			
	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Sierra National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Sierra National Forest High Sierra Ranger District Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-17-F02

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more (10 points)			
	36% - 50% (7 points)			
	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	10% - 25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.				
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:				
<p>Maintenance of directional signs and barriers and management traffic, keeps OHV users on the established routes and staging areas. This will reduce off-trail impacts to cultural sites adjacent to these areas. The Tread Lightly message posted at all bulletin boards promotes a valuable resource protection message through user etiquette. Maintenance of the many favored dispersed campsites will give the public numerous camping options in locations that are impacted but suitable for extended overnight use, thus keeping the impacts concentrated on hardened sites. Having established, favored sites in a well maintained condition encourages use and reduces the possibility of encroaching upon sensitive cultural sites nearby. Maintaining erosion control features in and around staging areas/dispersed campsites will protect natural and cultural resources. Erosion has the capability to unearth cultural artifacts and degrade the archaeological site. This can make the site obvious and attract illegal digging in the site. Prevention of erosion protects water quality and prevents habitat destruction in sensitive watersheds. During all patrols or travels on level 2 roads and established routes, OHV staff consistently removes all garbage, including aluminum cans, bottles and paper/cardboard from the roadsides.</p>				

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain barriers			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain education kiosks			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain signing			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reduce litter			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:				
District staff dedicated to the OHV FO&M project includes permanent, full time OHV Specialist with assistance from a seasonal full-time recreation technician, one OHV temporary employee and temporary employee from visitor services. All employees work 40 hours per week. Over 100 volunteers from four wheel drive clubs along with individual volunteers have contributed over 850 hours in 2007 for construction and maintenance of facilities. The District's volunteers have built 14 pit toilet buildings over the years, with 4 constructed in 2007 and have plans for more major facility projects. Organization charts and job descriptions are available at the District Office in Prather, CA.				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Recycling				
a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	50% or better (10 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% or better (2 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	24% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.

b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.				
We recycle old sheet metal to use to protect the lower walls and doors of pit toilet buildings from marmots.				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	100% (5 points)			
	75% (4 points)			
	50% (3 points)			
X	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?				
X	Yes (5 points)			
	Source document title and location: <u>2007 OHV Operation Plan, High Sierra Ranger District Office, Prather, CA</u>			
	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 5, Facilities Operation and Maintenance (FO&M) Projects)

Applicant:	USFS Tahoe National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Tahoe National Forest Facilities Operation and Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-20-F01

FACILITIES OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
X	36% - 50% (7 points)		
	26% - 35% (5 points)		
	10% - 25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., \$1,000 worth of volunteer hours for painting a building, or cash contribution towards purchase of the paint.			
Applicant Score	7	Division Findings	7 Concur.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. In 500 words or less, describe how the proposed Project would protect resources:

The proposed project provides for barriers to protect the natural and cultural resources. At trailheads and staging areas, barriers are used to protect the surrounding areas. These include actual fences, rock barricades, and gates. The most used OHV trailheads are enclosed or fenced with designated entry and exit points containing the motorized traffic.

With past OHV Cooperative Agreement funding, the Forest has and will continue to install barriers that blend in with the natural environment in vehicle closure areas; these are boulders, tree stumps, and/or felled trees from tree thinning operations and earthen barriers placed back to back to deter entry. Concrete blocks and gabions are used to protect stream crossings.

Erosion and sediment control features at trailhead, staging area, and toilet facilities include rocked and paved parking and pedestrian areas. These features are located Sugar Pine, Parker Flat, China Wall, Indian Springs, Chalk Bluff and Prosser.

Garbage is picked up either once or multiple times depending on the need; bear proof containers are installed at facilities such as Sugar Pine and Prosser. Previous experience has proved that innovative techniques have raised the level of service for the OHV/OSV communities. Examples of techniques or services include the following: unloading ramps to load or unload OHV/OSVs in a safe and timely manner; bulletin boards that inform users of trail locations, regulations and OHV safety information, and modified camp spaces that accommodate changing recreation vehicle size.

On those occasions that uniformed Tahoe NF personnel are on facility sites, Forest Service presence allows for a decrease in vandalism, degradation, and abuse of the facilities such as reckless speed, which creates rutting of the surface of gravel parking areas and graffiti which ruins the surface of buildings and signs. Consistent presence has also had a direct correlation to increased public education on natural and cultural resources and positive interaction between users, volunteers, and Forest Service personnel.

Specific examples of OHV activity and sensitive cultural and biological resources are Randall and Elliott Ranch meadows; these areas were abused by OHV use in the past but have since been fenced (by State grant money in the year 2000). As a side bar, NEPA has been conducted to protect the resources in OHV areas. Through monitoring efforts, resource specialists identify areas of concern that will require attention for future preservation efforts.

No known heritage sites are adversely affected by trail maintenance activities or the use of the routes. Routine trail maintenance projects are screened/exempt undertakings per the programmatic Agreement of October 1996 between the US Forest Service (National Forests of Sierra Nevada), the California State Historic Preservation Officer and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.

Ranger districts in the past have accepted and installed many donated items such as steel and cables for fencing, recycled concrete blocks to support stream crossings and reused sign posts and barriers.

Check all that apply. (Scoring: 1 point each)

X	Maintain barriers			
X	Maintain education kiosks			
X	Maintain signing			
X	Reduce litter			
X	Maintain erosion and sediment control features			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

3. Personnel dedicated to OHV program - Briefly describe the staff for the Applicant's OHV FO&M project:

David E. Michael is the Tahoe NF Trails and OHV Specialist. Mr. Michael is responsible for oversight and training of trails construction techniques, field monitoring compliance, and program and budget manager for the Forest trails program for both motorized and non-motorized users; he is responsible for overseeing the implementation of the deliverables of grants.

Tahoe NF District Recreation and OHV program management report to Mr. Michael and include Joe Chavez, the Resource Officer for the Yuba River Ranger District (Nevada City and Downieville areas) with assistance from Mary Furney, Assistant Recreation Officer, Denise Mazur, Recreation Technician, Bill Bault, Recreation Technician, and Ron Lacey, Fire Protection Officer. Ed Moore, Recreation Officer for the American River Ranger District (Foresthill area) works closely with Mark Lambert, Trails Specialist and Assistant Recreation Officer Jon George. The East Zone has a complement of OHV personnel including Jeff Wiley, Recreation/OHV Officer for the East Zone, OHV Specialist Suzanne Jensen, and Mary Westmoreland and Dean Lutz, Recreation Specialists. These district personnel are responsible on a daily basis to schedule other FS personnel, crews, and volunteers to do trail maintenance, facility operation and maintenance, conservation, restoration projects related to OHV use. These individuals are all qualified and certified to assist with compliance issues. With the exception of a couple of seasonal technicians, all employees responsible for OHV recreation use range from 5 years to 30 years of experience. Ms. Jensen is solely dedicated to the OHV program.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Recycling

a. Solid Waste Disposal - Solid waste generated in the Project Area would be diverted away from landfills or transformation facilities. This could be achieved by using similar methods outlined by the California Integrated Waste management Board guidelines for implementing AB 75. In 500 words or less, describe the Applicant's recycling program including the % of solid waste to be recycled:

Containers specifically marked for recyclables are available for all recreation users, including OHV users, at our developed sites on both the east and west sides of the Forest. At Bassetts Staging Area, we do have recycling bins. We do not have a specific recycling program available at our OHV trailheads and staging areas because most of those facilities are "Pack it in - Pack it out". We do, however, have trash receptacles available at all of our OHV facilities and in some cases, bear-proof containers. Recreation personnel on all of our districts are equipped with garbage bags and in the continuing spirit of agency recycling efforts, we pick up garbage at OHV trailheads and staging areas and do separate out cans and bottles and redeposit them in our agency recycling bins. The transfer station where all the East zone rubbish is taken, separates out all the recyclables. Our volunteer groups responsible for trash pickup do separate out cans and bottles. Without available documentation, the Forest estimates that at least a quarter of its solid waste is eliminated by recycling bottles and cans.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input type="checkbox"/>	50% or better (10 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% or better (2 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	24% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.

b. Recycled Materials - The Project would make use of recycled materials. Briefly describe recycled products to be used and the percent of recycled materials in the products.				
When ordering any supplies, our agency strongly encourages buying products which contain recycled materials. Many of the signs ordered for the East Zone of the Forest are made of 100% post-consumer recycled material (Polydura) from the J. L. Darling Corporation.				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	100% (5 points)			
	75% (4 points)			
	50% (3 points)			
X	49% or less (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
5. Operation Plan - Does the Applicant have a documented Maintenance Management plan or similar document?				
X	<p>Yes (5 points)</p> <p>Source document title and location: <u>“Recreation Facilities Analysis” Five Year Proposed Program of Work Tahoe National Forest August 2007 Draft (currently out for public comment);</u> <u>USDA Forest Service, Tahoe NF, Special Use Permit (SUP) to gravel and maintain a winter parking area for long term parking by permit with Little Truckee Summit Protective LLC. (operating plan is mandatory and part of SUP).</u> <u>Recreation Sites Standards at</u> http://www.fs.fed.us/r3/measures/Stan/Stan_RS.htm <u>Documents are on file Tahoe NF, Supervisor’s Office, Nevada City, CA</u></p>			
	No (no score)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 7, OHV Safety and/or Education Program Projects)

Applicant:	BLM Barstow Field Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM Barstow Field Office OHV Safety and/or Education Program	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-04-S01

OHV SAFETY AND/OR EDUCATION PROGRAM PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
X	36% - 50% (7 points)		
	26% - 35% (5 points)		
	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; (i.e., 100 volunteer hours dedicated to support of the Safety and/or Education Program Project, or cash contribution to the project).			
Applicant Score	7	Division Findings	7 Concur.

2. PAST PERFORMANCE						
Show the Applicant's performance on completion of Grants or Cooperative Agreements for the most recent year of completed Projects. Complete the table below for all Projects funded in that year. Add additional lines, if needed.						
Project Number	Contract Start Date	Project Completion Date	Amount Allocated	Extensions Requested (Y/N)	Reason for Extension Request (if applicable)	% of Funded Deliverables Achieved
OR1CD3 43	01/23/2006	07/23/2007	\$53,350	N		100
Cost of OHV Program for most recent complete fiscal year (not to include cost of indirect overhead):					\$154,000	
% Funded by OHV Trust Fund:					35	

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate for each item a, b and c.)				
a. Funds expended in a timely manner				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No requests for extension other than for acts beyond the Applicant's control (2 points)			
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.
b. Confirmation of Deliverables within the original timeframe or any approved time extensions. Up to 5 points based on % of Deliverables?				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	90% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	80% of Deliverables accomplished (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
c. Agency Contribution: During the most recent complete fiscal year, what percentage of the total cost of managing the OHV program was provided by the OHV Trust Fund?				
<input type="checkbox"/>	No OHV Trust Funds were used (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (2 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (no score)			
Applicant Score	1	Division Findings	1	Concur.
3. Safety - The Project would have personnel trained in First Aid with appropriate First Aid Equipment available. Attach examples of agendas, training notices, evaluation forms etc. to support answers given below.				
a. Level of First Aid/EMS Training - The Project would utilize personnel trained to the following level: (Check the highest level of training that applies.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Emergency Medical Technician level, or higher. (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	First Responder (Emergency Medical Responder) level. (4 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	First Aid and CPR (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
b. First aid Equipment -				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The applicant has first aid Equipment available which is appropriate to the level of scope of practice for staff level of training indicated above. (5 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Quality of Program -				
a. Elements of a well Managed OHV Safety and/or Education Program (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program regularly includes an evaluation process			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes identifiable and measurable objectives			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program addresses specific OHV Safety issues.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component on OHV operation ethics (trail etiquette, respect for private property)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component addressing respect for natural and/or cultural resources (particular to specific concerns for the students and their relevant riding area)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
b. Members of the public served -Type of OHV Recreation addressed or served by program. (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATV		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4X4
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	M.C.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recreation Utility Vehicle
	OSV		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other: <u>school children</u>
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 12 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
c. Frequency of program or service - How many days is the program or service offered each year?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Up to 24 days (1 point)			
	25 to 48 days (2 points)			
	49 to 100 days (3 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	101 days or more (4 points)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
d. Age groups - (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adults (18+)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Juveniles (under 17)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 4 points.				
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
e. Potential number of participants - Enter the number of participants the Applicant anticipates: <u>10000</u>				
Scoring: The number of participants for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
	The top third will receive (6 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The middle third will receive (4 points)			

	The bottom third will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	4	Calculated Score
5. Cost effectiveness - What is the cost per participant hour of the program? [Cost / (number of students x hours of instruction)] <u>15.40</u>				
Scoring: Cost per participant hour for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
	The lowest third (lowest cost per participant hour) will receive (6 points)			
	The middle third will receive (4 points)			
X	The highest third (highest cost per participant hour) will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	2	Calculated Score
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 73				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 7, OHV Safety and/or Education Program Projects)

Applicant:	BLM California State Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM California State Office OHV Safety and/or Education Program	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-07-S01

OHV SAFETY AND/OR EDUCATION PROGRAM PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; (i.e., 100 volunteer hours dedicated to support of the Safety and/or Education Program Project, or cash contribution to the project).			
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10 Concur.

2. PAST PERFORMANCE						
Show the Applicant's performance on completion of Grants or Cooperative Agreements for the most recent year of completed Projects. Complete the table below for all Projects funded in that year. Add additional lines, if needed.						
Project Number	Contract Start Date	Project Completion Date	Amount Allocated	Extensions Requested (Y/N)	Reason for Extension Request (if applicable)	% of Funded Deliverables Achieved
OR-1-SW-33	12/13/04	08/30/07	\$43,000	Y	Late application for second payment, changed from Labor to Contracts, Still waiting for final payment	100
Cost of OHV Program for most recent complete fiscal year (not to include cost of indirect overhead):					\$40,000	
% Funded by OHV Trust Fund:					29%	

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate for each item a, b and c.)				
a. Funds expended in a timely manner				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No requests for extension other than for acts beyond the Applicant's control (2 points)			
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.
b. Confirmation of Deliverables within the original timeframe or any approved time extensions. Up to 5 points based on % of Deliverables?				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	90% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	80% of Deliverables accomplished (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
c. Agency Contribution: During the most recent complete fiscal year, what percentage of the total cost of managing the OHV program was provided by the OHV Trust Fund?				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No OHV Trust Funds were used (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (no score)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	1	The calculation indicates that 29% of the cost of the applicant's OHV program in the most recent complete fiscal year was OHV Trust Funds.
3. Safety - The Project would have personnel trained in First Aid with appropriate First Aid Equipment available. Attach examples of agendas, training notices, evaluation forms etc. to support answers given below.				
a. Level of First Aid/EMS Training - The Project would utilize personnel trained to the following level: (Check the highest level of training that applies.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Emergency Medical Technician level, or higher. (5 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	First Responder (Emergency Medical Responder) level. (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	First Aid and CPR (3 points)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
b. First aid Equipment -				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The applicant has first aid Equipment available which is appropriate to the level of scope of practice for staff level of training indicated above. (5 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Quality of Program -				
a. Elements of a well Managed OHV Safety and/or Education Program (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program regularly includes an evaluation process			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes identifiable and measurable objectives			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program addresses specific OHV Safety issues.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component on OHV operation ethics (trail etiquette, respect for private property)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component addressing respect for natural and/or cultural resources (particular to specific concerns for the students and their relevant riding area)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
b. Members of the public served -Type of OHV Recreation addressed or served by program. (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATV		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4X4
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	M.C.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recreation Utility Vehicle
	OSV		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other: <u>Dune Buggies, Non-motorized recreational</u>
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 12 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
c. Frequency of program or service - How many days is the program or service offered each year?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Up to 24 days (1 point)			
	25 to 48 days (2 points)			
	49 to 100 days (3 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	101 days or more (4 points)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
d. Age groups - (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adults (18+)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Juveniles (under 17)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 4 points.				
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
e. Potential number of participants - Enter the number of participants the Applicant anticipates: <u>1786772</u>				
Scoring: The number of participants for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The top third will receive (6 points)			
	The middle third will receive (4 points)			

	The bottom third will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	6	Calculated Score
5. Cost effectiveness - What is the cost per participant hour of the program? [Cost / (number of students x hours of instruction)] <u>.225</u>				
Scoring: Cost per participant hour for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
X	The lowest third (lowest cost per participant hour) will receive (6 points)			
	The middle third will receive (4 points)			
	The highest third (highest cost per participant hour) will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	6	Calculated Score
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 73				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 7, OHV Safety and/or Education Program Projects)

Applicant:	BLM Hollister Field Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM Hollister Field Office OHV Safety and/or Education Program	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-11-S01

OHV SAFETY AND/OR EDUCATION PROGRAM PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; (i.e., 100 volunteer hours dedicated to support of the Safety and/or Education Program Project, or cash contribution to the project).			
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10 Concur.

2. PAST PERFORMANCE						
Show the Applicant's performance on completion of Grants or Cooperative Agreements for the most recent year of completed Projects. Complete the table below for all Projects funded in that year. Add additional lines, if needed.						
Project Number	Contract Start Date	Project Completion Date	Amount Allocated	Extensions Requested (Y/N)	Reason for Extension Request (if applicable)	% of Funded Deliverables Achieved
OR-1-SW-34	12/03/2004	09/30/2006	\$149,240	N		100
Cost of OHV Program for most recent complete fiscal year (not to include cost of indirect overhead):					\$1,200,000	
% Funded by OHV Trust Fund:					12	

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate for each item a, b and c.)				
a. Funds expended in a timely manner				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No requests for extension other than for acts beyond the Applicant's control (2 points)			
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.
b. Confirmation of Deliverables within the original timeframe or any approved time extensions. Up to 5 points based on % of Deliverables?				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	90% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	80% of Deliverables accomplished (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
c. Agency Contribution: During the most recent complete fiscal year, what percentage of the total cost of managing the OHV program was provided by the OHV Trust Fund?				
<input type="checkbox"/>	No OHV Trust Funds were used (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (no score)			
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.
3. Safety - The Project would have personnel trained in First Aid with appropriate First Aid Equipment available. Attach examples of agendas, training notices, evaluation forms etc. to support answers given below.				
a. Level of First Aid/EMS Training - The Project would utilize personnel trained to the following level: (Check the highest level of training that applies.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Emergency Medical Technician level, or higher. (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	First Responder (Emergency Medical Responder) level. (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	First Aid and CPR (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
b. First aid Equipment -				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The applicant has first aid Equipment available which is appropriate to the level of scope of practice for staff level of training indicated above. (5 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Quality of Program -				
a. Elements of a well Managed OHV Safety and/or Education Program (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program regularly includes an evaluation process			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes identifiable and measurable objectives			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program addresses specific OHV Safety issues.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component on OHV operation ethics (trail etiquette, respect for private property)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component addressing respect for natural and/or cultural resources (particular to specific concerns for the students and their relevant riding area)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
b. Members of the public served -Type of OHV Recreation addressed or served by program. (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATV		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4X4
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	M.C.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recreation Utility Vehicle
	OSV			Other:
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 12 points.				
Applicant Score	8	Division Findings	8	Concur.
c. Frequency of program or service - How many days is the program or service offered each year?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Up to 24 days (1 point)			
	25 to 48 days (2 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	49 to 100 days (3 points)			
	101 days or more (4 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
d. Age groups - (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adults (18+)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Juveniles (under 17)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 4 points.				
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
e. Potential number of participants - Enter the number of participants the Applicant anticipates: <u>4000</u>				
Scoring: The number of participants for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
	The top third will receive (6 points)			
	The middle third will receive (4 points)			

X	The bottom third will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	2	Calculated Score
5. Cost effectiveness - What is the cost per participant hour of the program? [Cost / (number of students x hours of instruction)] <u>20</u>				
Scoring: Cost per participant hour for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
	The lowest third (lowest cost per participant hour) will receive (6 points)			
	The middle third will receive (4 points)			
X	The highest third (highest cost per participant hour) will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	2	Calculated Score
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 73				

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 7, OHV Safety and/or Education Program Projects)**

Applicant:	BLM Needles Field Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM Needles Field Office OHV Safety and/or Education Program	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-12-S01

OHV SAFETY AND/OR EDUCATION PROGRAM PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; (i.e., 100 volunteer hours dedicated to support of the Safety and/or Education Program Project, or cash contribution to the project).			
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10 Concur.

2. PAST PERFORMANCE						
Show the Applicant's performance on completion of Grants or Cooperative Agreements for the most recent year of completed Projects. Complete the table below for all Projects funded in that year. Add additional lines, if needed.						
Project Number	Contract Start Date	Project Completion Date	Amount Allocated	Extensions Requested (Y/N)	Reason for Extension Request (if applicable)	% of Funded Deliverables Achieved
6935	01/23/2006	07/23/2007	\$14,000	N		100
OR-1-CD-315	01/23/2006	07/23/2007	\$14,000	N		100
Cost of OHV Program for most recent complete fiscal year (not to include cost of indirect overhead):					\$874,079	
% Funded by OHV Trust Fund:					1	

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate for each item a, b and c.)				
a. Funds expended in a timely manner				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No requests for extension other than for acts beyond the Applicant's control (2 points)			
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.
b. Confirmation of Deliverables within the original timeframe or any approved time extensions. Up to 5 points based on % of Deliverables?				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	90% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	80% of Deliverables accomplished (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
c. Agency Contribution: During the most recent complete fiscal year, what percentage of the total cost of managing the OHV program was provided by the OHV Trust Fund?				
<input type="checkbox"/>	No OHV Trust Funds were used (4 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (no score)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
3. Safety - The Project would have personnel trained in First Aid with appropriate First Aid Equipment available. Attach examples of agendas, training notices, evaluation forms etc. to support answers given below.				
a. Level of First Aid/EMS Training - The Project would utilize personnel trained to the following level: (Check the highest level of training that applies.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Emergency Medical Technician level, or higher. (5 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	First Responder (Emergency Medical Responder) level. (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	First Aid and CPR (3 points)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
b. First aid Equipment -				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The applicant has first aid Equipment available which is appropriate to the level of scope of practice for staff level of training indicated above. (5 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Quality of Program -				
a. Elements of a well Managed OHV Safety and/or Education Program (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program regularly includes an evaluation process			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes identifiable and measurable objectives			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program addresses specific OHV Safety issues.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component on OHV operation ethics (trail etiquette, respect for private property)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component addressing respect for natural and/or cultural resources (particular to specific concerns for the students and their relevant riding area)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
b. Members of the public served -Type of OHV Recreation addressed or served by program. (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATV	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4X4	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	M.C.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recreation Utility Vehicle	
	OSV	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other: <u>Sand Rails and Mini-Buggies</u>	
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 12 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
c. Frequency of program or service - How many days is the program or service offered each year?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Up to 24 days (1 point)			
	25 to 48 days (2 points)			
	49 to 100 days (3 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	101 days or more (4 points)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
d. Age groups - (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adults (18+)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Juveniles (under 17)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 4 points.				
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
e. Potential number of participants - Enter the number of participants the Applicant anticipates: <u>2500</u>				
Scoring: The number of participants for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
	The top third will receive (6 points)			
	The middle third will receive (4 points)			

X	The bottom third will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	2	Calculated Score
5. Cost effectiveness - What is the cost per participant hour of the program? [Cost / (number of students x hours of instruction)] <u>.23</u>				
Scoring: Cost per participant hour for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
X	The lowest third (lowest cost per participant hour) will receive (6 points)			
	The middle third will receive (4 points)			
	The highest third (highest cost per participant hour) will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	6	Calculated Score
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 73				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 7, OHV Safety and/or Education Program Projects)

Applicant:	BLM Palm Springs South Coast Field Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM Palm Springs South Coast Field Office OHV Safety and/or Education Program	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-13-S01

OHV SAFETY AND/OR EDUCATION PROGRAM PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
	36% - 50% (7 points)		
X	26% - 35% (5 points)		
	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; (i.e., 100 volunteer hours dedicated to support of the Safety and/or Education Program Project, or cash contribution to the project).			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5 Concur.

2. PAST PERFORMANCE						
Show the Applicant's performance on completion of Grants or Cooperative Agreements for the most recent year of completed Projects. Complete the table below for all Projects funded in that year. Add additional lines, if needed.						
Project Number	Contract Start Date	Project Completion Date	Amount Allocated	Extensions Requested (Y/N)	Reason for Extension Request (if applicable)	% of Funded Deliverables Achieved
OC-1-CD-284	10/1/2003	6/30/2005	\$75,000	N		100
OR-1-CD-304	12/3/2004	2/28/2006	\$80,000	N		100
Cost of OHV Program for most recent complete fiscal year (not to include cost of indirect overhead):					\$2,100,000	
% Funded by OHV Trust Fund:					10	

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate for each item a, b and c.)				
a. Funds expended in a timely manner				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No requests for extension other than for acts beyond the Applicant's control (2 points)			
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.
b. Confirmation of Deliverables within the original timeframe or any approved time extensions. Up to 5 points based on % of Deliverables?				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	90% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	80% of Deliverables accomplished (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
c. Agency Contribution: During the most recent complete fiscal year, what percentage of the total cost of managing the OHV program was provided by the OHV Trust Fund?				
<input type="checkbox"/>	No OHV Trust Funds were used (4 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (no score)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
3. Safety - The Project would have personnel trained in First Aid with appropriate First Aid Equipment available. Attach examples of agendas, training notices, evaluation forms etc. to support answers given below.				
a. Level of First Aid/EMS Training - The Project would utilize personnel trained to the following level: (Check the highest level of training that applies.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Emergency Medical Technician level, or higher. (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	First Responder (Emergency Medical Responder) level. (4 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	First Aid and CPR (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
b. First aid Equipment -				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The applicant has first aid Equipment available which is appropriate to the level of scope of practice for staff level of training indicated above. (5 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Quality of Program -				
a. Elements of a well Managed OHV Safety and/or Education Program (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program regularly includes an evaluation process			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes identifiable and measurable objectives			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program addresses specific OHV Safety issues.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component on OHV operation ethics (trail etiquette, respect for private property)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component addressing respect for natural and/or cultural resources (particular to specific concerns for the students and their relevant riding area)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
b. Members of the public served -Type of OHV Recreation addressed or served by program. (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATV		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4X4
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	M.C.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recreation Utility Vehicle
	OSV			Other:
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 12 points.				
Applicant Score	8	Division Findings	8	Concur.
c. Frequency of program or service - How many days is the program or service offered each year?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Up to 24 days (1 point)			
	25 to 48 days (2 points)			
	49 to 100 days (3 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	101 days or more (4 points)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
d. Age groups - (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adults (18+)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Juveniles (under 17)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 4 points.				
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
e. Potential number of participants - Enter the number of participants the Applicant anticipates: <u>10000</u>				
Scoring: The number of participants for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
	The top third will receive (6 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The middle third will receive (4 points)			

	The bottom third will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	4	Calculated Score
5. Cost effectiveness - What is the cost per participant hour of the program? [Cost / (number of students x hours of instruction)] <u>0</u>				
Scoring: Cost per participant hour for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
X	The lowest third (lowest cost per participant hour) will receive (6 points)			
	The middle third will receive (4 points)			
	The highest third (highest cost per participant hour) will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	6	Calculated Score
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 73				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 7, OHV Safety and/or Education Program Projects)

Applicant:	Mammoth Lakes, Town of	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	Mammoth Lakes, Town of OHV Safety and/or Education Program	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-03-23-S01

OHV SAFETY AND/OR EDUCATION PROGRAM PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more	(10 points)	
	36% - 50%	(7 points)	
X	26% - 35%	(5 points)	
	25%	(3 points)	
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; (i.e., 100 volunteer hours dedicated to support of the Safety and/or Education Program Project, or cash contribution to the project).			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5 Concur.

2. PAST PERFORMANCE						
Show the Applicant's performance on completion of Grants or Cooperative Agreements for the most recent year of completed Projects. Complete the table below for all Projects funded in that year. Add additional lines, if needed.						
Project Number	Contract Start Date	Project Completion Date	Amount Allocated	Extensions Requested (Y/N)	Reason for Extension Request (if applicable)	% of Funded Deliverables Achieved
OR-746	1/23/2006	07/23/2007	\$6,000	N		80
Cost of OHV Program for most recent complete fiscal year (not to include cost of indirect overhead):					\$6,000	
% Funded by OHV Trust Fund:					75%	

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate for each item a, b and c.)				
a. Funds expended in a timely manner				
X	No requests for extension other than for acts beyond the Applicant's control (2 points)			
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.
b. Confirmation of Deliverables within the original timeframe or any approved time extensions. Up to 5 points based on % of Deliverables?				
X	100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)			
	90% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)			
	80% of Deliverables accomplished (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
c. Agency Contribution: During the most recent complete fiscal year, what percentage of the total cost of managing the OHV program was provided by the OHV Trust Fund?				
	No OHV Trust Funds were used (4 points)			
	10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)			
	11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (2 points)			
	26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)			
X	More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
3. Safety - The Project would have personnel trained in First Aid with appropriate First Aid Equipment available. Attach examples of agendas, training notices, evaluation forms etc. to support answers given below.				
a. Level of First Aid/EMS Training - The Project would utilize personnel trained to the following level: (Check the highest level of training that applies.)				
	Emergency Medical Technician level, or higher. (5 points)			
	First Responder (Emergency Medical Responder) level. (4 points)			
	First Aid and CPR (3 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
b. First aid Equipment -				
	The applicant has first aid Equipment available which is appropriate to the level of scope of practice for staff level of training indicated above. (5 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.

4. Quality of Program -				
a. Elements of a well Managed OHV Safety and/or Education Program (Check all that apply.)				
	The program regularly includes an evaluation process			
	The program includes identifiable and measurable objectives			
X	The program addresses specific OHV Safety issues.			
X	The program includes a component on OHV operation ethics (trail etiquette, respect for private property)			
	The program includes a component addressing respect for natural and/or cultural resources (particular to specific concerns for the students and their relevant riding area)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
b. Members of the public served -Type of OHV Recreation addressed or served by program. (Check all that apply.)				
X	ATV	X	4X4	
X	M.C.	X	Recreation Utility Vehicle	
X	OSV		Other:	
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 12 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
c. Frequency of program or service - How many days is the program or service offered each year?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Up to 24 days (1 point)			
	25 to 48 days (2 points)			
	49 to 100 days (3 points)			
X	101 days or more (4 points)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
d. Age groups - (Check all that apply.)				
X	Adults (18+)			
X	Juveniles (under 17)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 4 points.				
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
e. Potential number of participants - Enter the number of participants the Applicant anticipates: <u>40000</u>				
Scoring: The number of participants for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
X	The top third will receive (6 points)			
	The middle third will receive (4 points)			

	The bottom third will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	6	Calculated Score
5. Cost effectiveness - What is the cost per participant hour of the program? [Cost / (number of students x hours of instruction)] <u>0.00</u>				
Scoring: Cost per participant hour for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
X	The lowest third (lowest cost per participant hour) will receive (6 points)			
	The middle third will receive (4 points)			
	The highest third (highest cost per participant hour) will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	6	Calculated Score
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 73				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 7, OHV Safety and/or Education Program Projects)

Applicant:	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	Riverside County Sheriff's Department OHV Safety and/or Education Program	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-03-14-S01

OHV SAFETY AND/OR EDUCATION PROGRAM PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; (i.e., 100 volunteer hours dedicated to support of the Safety and/or Education Program Project, or cash contribution to the project).			
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10 Concur.

2. PAST PERFORMANCE						
Show the Applicant's performance on completion of Grants or Cooperative Agreements for the most recent year of completed Projects. Complete the table below for all Projects funded in that year. Add additional lines, if needed.						
Project Number	Contract Start Date	Project Completion Date	Amount Allocated	Extensions Requested (Y/N)	Reason for Extension Request (if applicable)	% of Funded Deliverables Achieved
OR-713	12/03/2004	06/30/2006	\$100,000	Y	Six month delay in award notification resulted in no OHV deployments for the first half of the grant period.	100
Cost of OHV Program for most recent complete fiscal year (not to include cost of indirect overhead):					\$115,000	
% Funded by OHV Trust Fund:					87	

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate for each item a, b and c.)				
a. Funds expended in a timely manner				
X	No requests for extension other than for acts beyond the Applicant's control (2 points)			
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.
b. Confirmation of Deliverables within the original timeframe or any approved time extensions. Up to 5 points based on % of Deliverables?				
X	100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)			
	90% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)			
	80% of Deliverables accomplished (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
c. Agency Contribution: During the most recent complete fiscal year, what percentage of the total cost of managing the OHV program was provided by the OHV Trust Fund?				
	No OHV Trust Funds were used (4 points)			
	10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)			
	11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (2 points)			
	26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)			
X	More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (no score)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
3. Safety - The Project would have personnel trained in First Aid with appropriate First Aid Equipment available. Attach examples of agendas, training notices, evaluation forms etc. to support answers given below.				
a. Level of First Aid/EMS Training - The Project would utilize personnel trained to the following level: (Check the highest level of training that applies.)				
X	Emergency Medical Technician level, or higher. (5 points)			
	First Responder (Emergency Medical Responder) level. (4 points)			
	First Aid and CPR (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
b. First aid Equipment -				
X	The applicant has first aid Equipment available which is appropriate to the level of scope of practice for staff level of training indicated above. (5 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Quality of Program -				
a. Elements of a well Managed OHV Safety and/or Education Program (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program regularly includes an evaluation process			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes identifiable and measurable objectives			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program addresses specific OHV Safety issues.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component on OHV operation ethics (trail etiquette, respect for private property)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component addressing respect for natural and/or cultural resources (particular to specific concerns for the students and their relevant riding area)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
b. Members of the public served -Type of OHV Recreation addressed or served by program. (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATV		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4X4
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	M.C.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recreation Utility Vehicle
	OSV		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other: <u>Dune buggies</u>
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 12 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
c. Frequency of program or service - How many days is the program or service offered each year?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Up to 24 days (1 point)			
	25 to 48 days (2 points)			
	49 to 100 days (3 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	101 days or more (4 points)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
d. Age groups - (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adults (18+)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Juveniles (under 17)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 4 points.				
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
e. Potential number of participants - Enter the number of participants the Applicant anticipates: <u>1500</u>				
Scoring: The number of participants for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
	The top third will receive (6 points)			
	The middle third will receive (4 points)			

X	The bottom third will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	2	Calculated Score
5. Cost effectiveness - What is the cost per participant hour of the program? [Cost / (number of students x hours of instruction)] 0.78				
Scoring: Cost per participant hour for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
	The lowest third (lowest cost per participant hour) will receive (6 points)			
X	The middle third will receive (4 points)			
	The highest third (highest cost per participant hour) will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	4	Calculated Score
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 73				

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 7, OHV Safety and/or Education Program Projects)**

Applicant:	San Bernardino National Forest Association	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	San Bernardino National Forest Association OHV Safety and/or Education Program	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-04-02-S01

OHV SAFETY AND/OR EDUCATION PROGRAM PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; (i.e., 100 volunteer hours dedicated to support of the Safety and/or Education Program Project, or cash contribution to the project).			
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10 Concur.

2. PAST PERFORMANCE						
Show the Applicant's performance on completion of Grants or Cooperative Agreements for the most recent year of completed Projects. Complete the table below for all Projects funded in that year. Add additional lines, if needed.						
Project Number	Contract Start Date	Project Completion Date	Amount Allocated	Extensions Requested (Y/N)	Reason for Extension Request (if applicable)	% of Funded Deliverables Achieved
1	01/01/2006	12/31/2006	\$93,300	N		100
R278939	8/25/02	8/25/03	\$19,666	N		100
Cost of OHV Program for most recent complete fiscal year (not to include cost of indirect overhead):					\$595,865	
% Funded by OHV Trust Fund:					16%	

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate for each item a, b and c.)				
a. Funds expended in a timely manner				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No requests for extension other than for acts beyond the Applicant's control (2 points)			
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.
b. Confirmation of Deliverables within the original timeframe or any approved time extensions. Up to 5 points based on % of Deliverables?				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	90% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	80% of Deliverables accomplished (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
c. Agency Contribution: During the most recent complete fiscal year, what percentage of the total cost of managing the OHV program was provided by the OHV Trust Fund?				
<input type="checkbox"/>	No OHV Trust Funds were used (4 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (no score)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
3. Safety - The Project would have personnel trained in First Aid with appropriate First Aid Equipment available. Attach examples of agendas, training notices, evaluation forms etc. to support answers given below.				
a. Level of First Aid/EMS Training - The Project would utilize personnel trained to the following level: (Check the highest level of training that applies.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Emergency Medical Technician level, or higher. (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	First Responder (Emergency Medical Responder) level. (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	First Aid and CPR (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
b. First aid Equipment -				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The applicant has first aid Equipment available which is appropriate to the level of scope of practice for staff level of training indicated above. (5 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Quality of Program -				
a. Elements of a well Managed OHV Safety and/or Education Program (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program regularly includes an evaluation process			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes identifiable and measurable objectives			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program addresses specific OHV Safety issues.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component on OHV operation ethics (trail etiquette, respect for private property)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component addressing respect for natural and/or cultural resources (particular to specific concerns for the students and their relevant riding area)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
b. Members of the public served -Type of OHV Recreation addressed or served by program. (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATV		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4X4
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	M.C.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recreation Utility Vehicle
	OSV		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other: <u>Dune buggies, side-by-sides</u>
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 12 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
c. Frequency of program or service - How many days is the program or service offered each year?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Up to 24 days (1 point)			
	25 to 48 days (2 points)			
	49 to 100 days (3 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	101 days or more (4 points)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
d. Age groups - (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adults (18+)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Juveniles (under 17)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 4 points.				
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
e. Potential number of participants - Enter the number of participants the Applicant anticipates: <u>170000</u>				
Scoring: The number of participants for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The top third will receive (6 points)			
	The middle third will receive (4 points)			

	The bottom third will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	6	Calculated Score
5. Cost effectiveness - What is the cost per participant hour of the program? [Cost / (number of students x hours of instruction)] <u>1.63</u>				
Scoring: Cost per participant hour for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
	The lowest third (lowest cost per participant hour) will receive (6 points)			
X	The middle third will receive (4 points)			
	The highest third (highest cost per participant hour) will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	4	Calculated Score
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 73				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 7, OHV Safety and/or Education Program Projects)

Applicant:	USFS Los Padres National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Los Padres National Forest OHV Safety and/or Education Program	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-09-S01

OHV SAFETY AND/OR EDUCATION PROGRAM PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
X	36% - 50% (7 points)		
	26% - 35% (5 points)		
	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; (i.e., 100 volunteer hours dedicated to support of the Safety and/or Education Program Project, or cash contribution to the project).			
Applicant Score	7	Division Findings	7 Concur.

2. PAST PERFORMANCE

Show the Applicant's performance on completion of Grants or Cooperative Agreements for the most recent year of completed Projects. Complete the table below for all Projects funded in that year. Add additional lines, if needed.

Project Number	Contract Start Date	Project Completion Date	Amount Allocated	Extensions Requested (Y/N)	Reason for Extension Request (if applicable)	% of Funded Deliverables Achieved
OR-2-LP-84	10/01/2001	02/28/2005	\$666,000	Y	Extension and rescope requests to allow expenditures by PSW Regional Coordinator and LPNF. Very complicated file history - please see grant file for details. Forest file is at Supervisors Office in Goleta, CA.	100
OR-2-LP-90	10/01/2003	06/30/2005	\$100,000	N		100
OR-2-LP-91	10/01/2003	06/30/2005	\$116,000	N		100
Cost of OHV Program for most recent complete fiscal year (not to include cost of indirect overhead):					\$497,619	
% Funded by OHV Trust Fund:					35	

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate for each item a, b and c.)

a. Funds expended in a timely manner

No requests for extension other than for acts beyond the Applicant's control **(2 points)**

Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

b. Confirmation of Deliverables within the original timeframe or any approved time extensions. Up to 5 points based on % of Deliverables?

100% of Deliverable accomplished **(5 points)**

90% of Deliverables accomplished **(3 points)**

80% of Deliverables accomplished **(1 point)**

Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

c. Agency Contribution: During the most recent complete fiscal year, what percentage of the total cost of managing the OHV program was provided by the OHV Trust Fund?

No OHV Trust Funds were used **(4 points)**

10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund **(3 points)**

11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund **(2 points)**

26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund **(1 point)**

More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund **(no score)**

Applicant Score	1	Division Findings	1	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

3. Safety - The Project would have personnel trained in First Aid with appropriate First Aid Equipment available. Attach examples of agendas, training notices, evaluation forms etc. to support answers given below.				
a. Level of First Aid/EMS Training - The Project would utilize personnel trained to the following level: (Check the highest level of training that applies.)				
	Emergency Medical Technician level, or higher. (5 points)			
	First Responder (Emergency Medical Responder) level. (4 points)			
X	First Aid and CPR (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
b. First aid Equipment -				
X	The applicant has first aid Equipment available which is appropriate to the level of scope of practice for staff level of training indicated above. (5 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Quality of Program -				
a. Elements of a well Managed OHV Safety and/or Education Program (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program regularly includes an evaluation process			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes identifiable and measurable objectives			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program addresses specific OHV Safety issues.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component on OHV operation ethics (trail etiquette, respect for private property)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component addressing respect for natural and/or cultural resources (particular to specific concerns for the students and their relevant riding area)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
b. Members of the public served -Type of OHV Recreation addressed or served by program. (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATV		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4X4
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	M.C.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recreation Utility Vehicle
	OSV		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other: General Public - family vehicles not 4x4 who use
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 12 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
c. Frequency of program or service - How many days is the program or service offered each year?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Up to 24 days (1 point)			
	25 to 48 days (2 points)			
	49 to 100 days (3 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	101 days or more (4 points)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
d. Age groups - (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adults (18+)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Juveniles (under 17)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 4 points.				
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
e. Potential number of participants - Enter the number of participants the Applicant anticipates: <u>5000</u>				
Scoring: The number of participants for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
	The top third will receive (6 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The middle third will receive (4 points)			

	The bottom third will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	4	Calculated Score
5. Cost effectiveness - What is the cost per participant hour of the program? [Cost / (number of students x hours of instruction)] <u>16.60</u>				
Scoring: Cost per participant hour for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
	The lowest third (lowest cost per participant hour) will receive (6 points)			
	The middle third will receive (4 points)			
X	The highest third (highest cost per participant hour) will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	2	Calculated Score
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 73				

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 7, OHV Safety and/or Education Program Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Sequoia National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Sequoia National Forest OHV Safety and/or Education Program	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-15-S01

OHV SAFETY AND/OR EDUCATION PROGRAM PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution - As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
	36% - 50% (7 points)		
	26% - 35% (5 points)		
	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; (i.e., 100 volunteer hours dedicated to support of the Safety and/or Education Program Project, or cash contribution to the project).			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0 Concur.

2. PAST PERFORMANCE						
Show the Applicant's performance on completion of Grants or Cooperative Agreements for the most recent year of completed Projects. Complete the table below for all Projects funded in that year. Add additional lines, if needed.						
Project Number	Contract Start Date	Project Completion Date	Amount Allocated	Extensions Requested (Y/N)	Reason for Extension Request (if applicable)	% of Funded Deliverables Achieved
OR-2-SE-63	01/23/07	7/23/07	\$100	N		100
CO4V009	11/01/04	8/15/06	\$283,234	N		100
Cost of OHV Program for most recent complete fiscal year (not to include cost of indirect overhead):					\$171,925	
% Funded by OHV Trust Fund:					29	

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate for each item a, b and c.)				
a. Funds expended in a timely manner				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No requests for extension other than for acts beyond the Applicant's control (2 points)			
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.
b. Confirmation of Deliverables within the original timeframe or any approved time extensions. Up to 5 points based on % of Deliverables?				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	90% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	80% of Deliverables accomplished (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
c. Agency Contribution: During the most recent complete fiscal year, what percentage of the total cost of managing the OHV program was provided by the OHV Trust Fund?				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No OHV Trust Funds were used (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (no score)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	1	The calculation indicates that 29% of the cost of the applicant's OHV program in the most recent complete fiscal year was OHV Trust Funds.
3. Safety - The Project would have personnel trained in First Aid with appropriate First Aid Equipment available. Attach examples of agendas, training notices, evaluation forms etc. to support answers given below.				
a. Level of First Aid/EMS Training - The Project would utilize personnel trained to the following level: (Check the highest level of training that applies.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Emergency Medical Technician level, or higher. (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	First Responder (Emergency Medical Responder) level. (4 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	First Aid and CPR (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
b. First aid Equipment -				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The applicant has first aid Equipment available which is appropriate to the level of scope of practice for staff level of training indicated above. (5 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Quality of Program -				
a. Elements of a well Managed OHV Safety and/or Education Program (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program regularly includes an evaluation process			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes identifiable and measurable objectives			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program addresses specific OHV Safety issues.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component on OHV operation ethics (trail etiquette, respect for private property)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The program includes a component addressing respect for natural and/or cultural resources (particular to specific concerns for the students and their relevant riding area)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
b. Members of the public served -Type of OHV Recreation addressed or served by program. (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATV		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4X4
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	M.C.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recreation Utility Vehicle
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	OSV		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other: <u>Dunebuggy/Sandrail</u>
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 12 points.				
Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
c. Frequency of program or service - How many days is the program or service offered each year?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Up to 24 days (1 point)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	25 to 48 days (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	49 to 100 days (3 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	101 days or more (4 points)			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
d. Age groups - (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adults (18+)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Juveniles (under 17)			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 4 points.				
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
e. Potential number of participants - Enter the number of participants the Applicant anticipates: <u>41000</u>				
Scoring: The number of participants for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The top third will receive (6 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	The middle third will receive (4 points)			

	The bottom third will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	6	Calculated Score
5. Cost effectiveness - What is the cost per participant hour of the program? [Cost / (number of students x hours of instruction)] <u>2.54</u>				
Scoring: Cost per participant hour for OHV Safety and/or Education Program Applicants will be sorted into thirds.				
	The lowest third (lowest cost per participant hour) will receive (6 points)			
X	The middle third will receive (4 points)			
	The highest third (highest cost per participant hour) will receive (2 points)			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	4	Calculated Score
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 73				

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 8, Planning Projects)**

Applicant:	BLM California Desert District Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM California Desert District Office Planning	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-06-P01

PLANNING PROJECT CRITERIA

If Project is for Scientific Research, omit item 2. Other Planning Projects omit item 6.			
1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
X	36% - 50% (7 points)		
	26% - 35% (5 points)		
	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of planting native plants at the restoration site, or cash contribution to purchase of the native plants.			
Applicant Score	7	Division Findings	7 Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and Cultural Resources should be avoided or minimized. The Planning Project would address the following potential impacts: (Check all that apply.) If the Applicant's Planning Project is Scientific Research, skip this question.			
X	Soils		
X	Water quality		
X	Special-Status Species habitat		
X	Cultural resources		
Scoring: 3 points each for a maximum of 12 points.			

In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each item checked above.

This grant project will analyze and evaluate current designated open routes outside of Desert Wildlife Management Areas in the California Desert Conservation Area. Area management plans, such as the Jawbone-Butterbrecht Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) Management Plan. Minor designated route alignment and designated route implementation may prevent increased damage to cultural and biological resources.

This project has the potential to reduce negative environmental and cultural impacts resulting from OHV recreation. The current designated route network in Jawbone-Butterbrecht ACEC is based on pre-1980 information and mapping technology. This project would begin the process of reanalyzing the existing route network for negative impacts to cultural and biological resources and make recommendations to BLM management to implement minor route alignments or correct faulty GIS data.

This project would potentially improve current OHV traffic patterns, closed trail trespass, and other inappropriate uses in the Jawbone-Butterbrecht ACEC. Correcting existing open route inventories with more accurate depictions of actual on-the-ground networks would allow for more accurate maps to be made available, leading to greater OHV user compliance. Redundant routes with a higher recreational value could replace designated open routes that may have higher cultural or biological value. Changes to create a seamless and enjoyable recreation experience will lead to more OHV users remaining on route and respecting OHV rules and regulations.

This pilot project to evaluate the current designated route system would lay the groundwork for possible future minor route alignment and designated route maintenance and implementation throughout the CDD, leading to more pleasurable and responsible recreational opportunities. The initial planning for this project will incorporate information from each resource area in the CDD and combine efforts with the California State Office, CA State Parks OHMVR Division, and Field Office staff to plan for inventorying and evaluation of designated routes outside of Desert Wildlife Management Areas.

The CA Desert District Office coordinates with the CDD Field Offices in developing a CDD-wide recreation OHV strategy as well as other recreational uses. The CDD works in coordination with the BLM State Office and Arizona and California Field Offices in the preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements. CDDO staff coordinate with field office staff in necessary training of employees and volunteers.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	3	Narrative addresses only Cultural resources.
-----------------	----	-------------------	---	--

3. OHV impacts or potential impacts to be addressed -				
The Planning Project would address the following: <i>(Check all that apply)</i>				
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on natural or cultural resources.			
	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses.			
	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands.			
	Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents.			
	Toxic or hazardous materials within a Project area or adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation.			
X	Trail issues such as traffic patterns, trails closures, appropriate uses, etc.			
<p>Briefly explain how the Project would address each item checked above:</p> <p>This project has the potential to reduce negative environmental and cultural impacts resulting from OHV recreation. The current designated route network in Jawbone-Butterbred ACEC is based on pre-1980 information and mapping technology. This project would begin the process of reanalyzing the existing route network for negative impacts to cultural and biological resources and make recommendations to BLM management to implement minor route alignments or correct faulty GIS data.</p> <p>This project would potentially improve current OHV traffic patterns, closed trail trespass, and other inappropriate uses in the Jawbone-Butterbred ACEC. Correcting existing open route inventories with more accurate depictions of actual on-the-ground networks would allow for more accurate maps to be made available, leading to greater OHV user compliance. Redundant routes with a higher recreational value could replace designated open routes that may have higher cultural or biological value. Changes to create a seamless and enjoyable recreation experience will lead to more OHV users remaining on route and respecting OHV rules and regulations.</p>				
Scoring: 1 point each for a maximum of 6 points.				
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.

4. Integration of Planning into OHV program management -				
Project would result in coordination of OHV program management activities in the following ways: (Check all that apply.)				
X		Ensuring that different organizational units are consistent in program implementation.		
X		Applicant would act as Liaison between the Division and regional or state offices and their organizational subdivisions.		
X		Applicant provides responsibility for an organization's OHV strategic plan.		
X		Applicant ensures the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements for their agency.		
X		Applicant coordinates agency employee and volunteer training.		
In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each of the above.				
<p>This pilot project to evaluate the current designated route system would lay the groundwork for possible future minor route alignment and designated route maintenance and implementation throughout the CDD, leading to more pleasurable and responsible recreational opportunities. The initial planning for this project will incorporate information from each resource area in the CDD and combine efforts with the California State Office, CA State Parks OHMVR Division, and Field Office staff to plan for inventorying and evaluation of designated routes outside of Desert Wildlife Management Areas.</p> <p>The CA Desert District Office coordinates with the CDD Field Offices in developing a CDD-wide recreation OHV strategy as well as other recreational uses. The CDD works in coordination with the BLM State Office and Arizona and California Field Offices in the preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements. CDDO staff coordinate with field office staff in necessary training of employees and volunteers.</p>				
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
5. Funding Source for Operational costs as a result of plan implementation -				
In 500 words or less, describe how future operational costs will be funded.				
Future operational costs associated with the CDD Planning projects will be a combination of OHV Trust Funds and BLM operational budgets. OHV trust funds are not the major financial support for OHV-related planning in the Desert District. Without this funding, designated route monitoring will continue, but not to the extent as with the support of CA State Parks. In the implementation of the projects, BLM staff dedicate a percentage of their time and resources to the success of the project.				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
		Applicant's operational budget (5 points)		
		Volunteer support and/or donations (3 points)		
X		Combination of any of these (3 points)		
		Other Grant funding (2 points)		
		Funding would come entirely from future OHV Trust Funds (no points)		
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

6. Scientific Research Planning Projects ONLY - Project would help to determine management goals or provide guidance for decision making in the following areas: (Check all that apply.)			
	OHV Program Management plan.		
	Maintenance practices.		
	Conservation practices.		
	Site specific Project planning to promote sustainable use.		
Scoring: 3 points each.			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0 Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 43 for Scientific Research; 43 for other Planning.			

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 8, Planning Projects)**

Applicant:	BLM California State Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM California State Office Planning	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-07-P01

PLANNING PROJECT CRITERIA

If Project is for Scientific Research, omit item 2. Other Planning Projects omit item 6.			
1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
X	36% - 50% (7 points)		
	26% - 35% (5 points)		
	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of planting native plants at the restoration site, or cash contribution to purchase of the native plants.			
Applicant Score	7	Division Findings	7 Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and Cultural Resources should be avoided or minimized. The Planning Project would address the following potential impacts: (Check all that apply.) If the Applicant's Planning Project is Scientific Research, skip this question.			
X	Soils		
X	Water quality		
X	Special-Status Species habitat		
X	Cultural resources		
Scoring: 3 points each for a maximum of 12 points.			

In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each item checked above.

Most of the work performed by the OHV Coordinator and the State Ecologist is focused on improved OHV management that will reduce detrimental effects to natural and cultural resources.

The OHV Coordinator deliverables 4 and 5 involve support planning, route designation and other projects that will support resource protection. All of the Ecologist deliverables (5-10) are directly resource protection.

Additional projects in the State Office Grant Application are focused the resource protection, including Archeology Site Stewardship, Desert Tortoise Education, Soil Monitoring, Bird Monitoring, and Bat Protection. Additional documentation is available in these applications. See Appendix A for additional documentation on documentation for resource protection.

Soils

This planning grant commits work on the part of the BLM Ecologist to assist in acquiring funding new or updated soil surveys for important OHV areas that do not presently have adequate soils information and giving field support to NRCS soil scientists with soil survey work on vegetation/soil correlations and ecological site descriptions

The State Ecologist has worked with contractors and OHMVR Staff to develop the OHMVR Statewide Soils Conservation project, and will assure its implementation statewide. See Appendix C in this grant application, for a Soil Conservation Plan and D for a Set of BMPs that the State Ecologist developed for soil related projects on BLM lands. See the Soil Project narratives, and Soil Conservation Program documents for additional documentation.

Water Quality

The BLM Ecologist will work with BLM Field Office that currently have 303(d) impaired waterways - principally in the BLM Hollister and Ukiah field offices areas - in important OHV recreation areas. The Ecologist will work with the staffs of the California Regional Water Quality Control Boards to plan actions to maintain TMDL loads below maximum allowable amounts.

Where sediment is threatening water quality from OHV recreation or trails, the Ecologist will work on designing a management and engineering prescriptions that will alleviate abnormal sediment delivery to impaired streams in important OHV areas such as in desert riparian zones

Special Status Species

The BLM Ecologist will be focusing effort on planning desert wash ecosystem restoration projects with staffs at the Palm Springs, Lake Havasu, and Yuma field offices; and planning desert riparian ecosystem restoration projects with route engineering at the Barstow Field Office. These habitats are important for the following T&E species: Desert Tortoise, Bell's Vireo, Southwestern Willow Flycatcher, Gila Woodpecker and sensitive wildlife species:

See the Appendix D for one set of BMP's that the Ecologist has developed as a statewide protocol for protection of Special Status species. See the WHPP in this document for additional documentation of the level effort that BLM makes to protect Special Status Species statewide.

Cultural Resources

The BLM OHV Program Coordinator and the Ecologist engage archaeologists for all restoration project, recreation development, and encourage OHV riders' participation in the Archaeological Site Stewardship Program which BLM and the OHMVR Division have sponsored since 1999. See additional material in the Archeology Site Stewardship Project for more discussions.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	----	-------------------	----	---------

3. OHV impacts or potential impacts to be addressed -

The Planning Project would address the following: **(Check all that apply)**

X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on natural or cultural resources.
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses.
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands.
X	Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents.
X	Toxic or hazardous materials within a Project area or adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation.
X	Trail issues such as traffic patterns, trails closures, appropriate uses, etc.

Briefly explain how the Project would address each item checked above:

Natural and Cultural Resources

BLM OHV staff at the California State Office maintain close working relations with the BLM State Archaeologist to ensure that BLM Field Office recreation staff is following the terms of the State Historical Preservation Office's MOU with BLM in California for the preservation of cultural and historical resources in the vicinity of OHV trails and in or near OHV recreation areas. The Coordinator has been and will continue to advocate the BLM Site Stewardship Program with OHV recreational riders and the general public.

Other Recreation Uses

Indirectly, properly managed OHV use, is actually an important component of many non-motorized recreational activities. On BLM lands, with relatively undeveloped route networks, many non-motorized activities require access from motorized vehicles, which are classified as OHV.

See the narrative in question 5 of the Form K, which discusses the prevention of OHV intrusion.

Ongoing activities to reduce impacts to adjacent lands include:

OHV patrols by BLM rangers and other staff

Improved signing and other forms of user education

Cooperative Patrols with other agency staffs

Restoration of unauthorized trails

See previous narrative

The ecologist works closely with several projects that are specifically involved with toxic and hazardous materials, Abandoned Mine Land Specialists, Geologists, and Hydrologists to disclose to the nature and scope of toxic and hazardous materials in and near OHV Project Areas.

Work with US EPA, US Geological Survey, and Occupational Safety and Health Administration to protect both BLM employees and the OHV recreation public for hazardous materials. Emphasis at present is on arsenic, mercury, and asbestos in the California Desert District and the Interior Coast Range

See appendix D for BMPs designed for soil protocols specifically designed for asbestos and mercury rich soils in the Clear Creek Management area.

The State Coordinator works with BLM field office staffs on considerations and designs for optimizing quality of motorized recreation on transportation networks in BLM landscapes. In addition, he works with a team of BLM State leads to develop policy and share resources. See Appendix B for some of the measures that BLM is working on to promote more sustainable trails.

Scoring: 1 point each for a maximum of 6 points.

Applicant Score	6	Division Findings	6	Concur.
-----------------	---	-------------------	---	---------

4. Integration of Planning into OHV program management -	
Project would result in coordination of OHV program management activities in the following ways: <i>(Check all that apply.)</i>	
X	Ensuring that different organizational units are consistent in program implementation.
X	Applicant would act as Liaison between the Division and regional or state offices and their organizational subdivisions.
X	Applicant provides responsibility for an organization's OHV strategic plan.
X	Applicant ensures the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements for their agency.
X	Applicant coordinates agency employee and volunteer training.
In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each of the above.	
<p>Program Implementation Consistency The OHV Coordinator shares information between BLM offices throughout California, and information obtained from other OHV programs. He periodically holds statewide conference calls, and travels to each office as schedule and travel funding allows.</p> <p>The BLM Ecologist works with soil scientists, recreation planners, and soil scientists to ensure that the OHMVR standards for trail surveys and maintenance improve the quality of trail riding and erosion reduction. Technical consistency is met through field work with individual field offices to ensure that the soil loss protocol and other protocols used in WHHP/HMP monitoring are consistently applied so that local, regional, and statewide could be analyzed for trends and scale effects.</p> <p>Liaison/OHMVR Division and BLM Units Both the Coordinator and Ecologist work as liaisons with OHMVR and field units. In the past year the Coordinator has worked with the Division to develop new regulations and new legislation for the OHMVR program reauthorization.</p> <p>The Ecologist has worked with OHMVR to develop policy on Desert Riparian, and has served as a two-way conduit between the Division and the BLM field.</p> <p>BLM OHV Strategic Plan The BLM Statewide OHV Coordinator oversees the development of the BLM Strategic Plan for OHV Recreation in California on a continuous basis to respond to emerging issues and new consideration for sustaining OHV recreation, travel, and access on healthy BLM public lands.</p> <p>The matching side of the sustaining OHV recreation is sustaining the natural environments where OHV recreation occurs. The BLM Ecologist is responsible for strategic planning for sustainability in the following areas: managing offsets, remediation, and restoration for adverse impacts appear on public lands as a consequence of OHVs; researching, acquiring, and diffusing information about new technologies and methods for more efficient restoration practices, monitoring, and analyses.</p> <p>Grant Preparation/Implementation The BLM Statewide OHV Coordinator and Ecologist advise their colleagues at BLM field offices on project design, grant writing and proofing, map preparation, budget development, and other tasks as needed. During grant preparation, both host a statewide conference call to share information.</p> <p>The Statewide Coordinator is the principal BLM employee tasked with ensuring that grant implementation proceeds by expected schedule and that BLM staff follow regulations and stipulations in regard to cooperative agreements. The Coordinator also ensures that expenditures and their coding to correct activities follow requirements in all agreements.</p> <p>Employee and Volunteer Training The BLM Ecologists work directly in the field in training wildlife biologists in bird monitoring techniques and plant survey methods that will fulfill the tasks in the WHHP/HMPs for each field office.</p>	

These survey methods include: woodland and forest tree monitoring, ecological site description, riparian and wash woodland delineation, and bird point counts. Of particular importance is development of a training curriculum for volunteers who are undertaking restoration on BLM public lands. Other opportunities provided indirectly through contract providers arranged by the Ecologist include: bat survey methods and OHV hazard evaluation at abandoned mine sites.

Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.

Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
-----------------	----	-------------------	----	---------

5. Funding Source for Operational costs as a result of plan implementation -

In 500 words or less, describe how future operational costs will be funded.

Operational costs resulting from implementing these planning efforts would come from a combination of diverse sources that respond to the present-day necessity of BLM to rely on more than the funds that come from the BLM operations budgets that the US Congress approves annually. The OHV Coordinator participates with the State Recreation lead to help develop a fee program for popular recreation destinations, to help develop user-paid resources to help reduce the need for grant funds.

Deliverables 1 through 5 relating to statewide OHV coordination: Funding for this work will come from Congressional appropriations to BLM and from the OHV Trust Funds. The OHV Trust Funds would be funding work that supports the joint missions of the BLM and the OHMVR Division to ensure sustainable OHV recreation, travel, and access on BLM lands across California.

For Deliverable 6: The planning effort for restoration in impaired watersheds would result in projects that rely on Congressional appropriations for the Clear Water Act, on funding from competitive grants from the Department of Water Resources and the State Water Quality Control Board, from grants and volunteer hours donated from OHV recreation groups, and from Trail Maintenance grants, competitively awarded from the OHV Trust Funds.

For Deliverable 7: Inventory efforts would rely on the Congressionally appropriated funds for wildlife biologists, botanists, and restoration ecologists, and secondarily from grants obtained from diverse sources such as NGO foundations and government agencies, and volunteers from the Chicago Botanical Garden and native plant organizations.

Deliverable 8: Funding to start up or update soil surveys on important OHV recreation areas on BLM lands will come from Congressionally appropriated dollars either directly to BLM or indirectly through the Department of Homeland Security and the USDI Bureau of Reclamation, and through contributed services from the USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service.

Deliverable 9: Funding for implementing monitoring and habitat management at BLM field offices for WHHPs/HMPs comes mostly (90%) from BLM Congressionally appropriate funds for wildlife biologists, botanists, and restoration ecologists. Competitive grants from BLM and US Fish and Wildlife funds, donations from private NGOs and foundations, and volunteers make up the remaining costs for WHHP / HMP work at BLM field offices.

Deliverables 10/11: Funding for this work, in support of the joint BLM-OHMVR Mission, is supported by BLM appropriations in part, but in the main by the OHV Trust Funds.

Deliverables 12 and 13 detail some of the costs of managing OHV recreation with program support at the BLM State Office.

Also see the discussion in Appendix B- BLM National OHV Strategy (section on Fees and Funding Page 2)

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Applicant's operational budget (5 points)			
	Volunteer support and/or donations (3 points)			
X	Combination of any of these (3 points)			
	Other Grant funding (2 points)			
	Funding would come entirely from future OHV Trust Funds (no points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

6. Scientific Research Planning Projects ONLY - Project would help to determine management goals or provide guidance for decision making in the following areas: (Check all that apply.)				
	OHV Program Management plan.			
	Maintenance practices.			
	Conservation practices.			
	Site specific Project planning to promote sustainable use.			
Scoring: 3 points each.				
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 43 for Scientific Research; 43 for other Planning.				

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 8, Planning Projects)**

Applicant:	BLM Palm Springs South Coast Field Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM Palm Springs South Coast Field Office Blythe - Colorado River Corridor Planning	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-13-P01

PLANNING PROJECT CRITERIA

If Project is for Scientific Research, omit item 2. Other Planning Projects omit item 6.			
1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
	36% - 50% (7 points)		
X	26% - 35% (5 points)		
	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of planting native plants at the restoration site, or cash contribution to purchase of the native plants.			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5 Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and Cultural Resources should be avoided or minimized. The Planning Project would address the following potential impacts: (Check all that apply.) If the Applicant's Planning Project is Scientific Research, skip this question.			
X	Soils		
X	Water quality		
X	Special-Status Species habitat		
X	Cultural resources		
Scoring: 3 points each for a maximum of 12 points.			

In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each item checked above.

Soils

The planning project will help establish clear route designations encouraging OHV users to remain on designated trails thus complying with BLM soil conservation standards. Eliminating vehicle traffic on unauthorized routes will reduce soil compaction, soil erosion, and other impacts which could potentially affect water quality and sensitive habitats.

Water Quality

In order to improve water quality in the local watershed and the Colorado River Corridor, it is essential to limit surface disturbance upstream. The Colorado River provides both drinking and agricultural water supply to the downstream communities via the Colorado Aqueduct and the Coachella Valley Canal. The NECO plan states that closure of routes within one-quarter mile of a natural or artificial water source will have a positive benefit to water quality by reducing soil erosion, soil loss, and sedimentation contamination. This planning project should reduce OHV damage on closed or otherwise unauthorized routes thereby contributing to improved water quality.

Special-status habitat

OHV travel off authorized routes crushes and destroys vegetation and creates soil compaction. These factors can cause a loss of habitat and habitat fragmentation. The resulting reduction in available food resources, vegetative cover, and impaired water quality can lead to population decreases for all species, including Threatened and Endangered Species such as the desert tortoise (*Gopherus agassizii*). By establishing clearly marked and defined routes, areas damaged by unauthorized OHV use have the opportunity to increase vegetative cover thus reducing potential habitat fragmentation.

Cultural

the project area contains a large number of recorded archaeological sites-both historic and prehistoric. Prehistoric archaeological sites include trails, geoglyphs and intaglios, lithic scatters, pottery scatters, temporary camps, and cairns. The majority of sites date to the Late Prehistoric Period, based on the presence of pottery and other diagnostic artifacts. Historic archaeological sites include mining claim cairns, trash deposits, prospects, and the mines themselves. Only a small portion of the planning area has been inventoried for cultural resources, so it is likely that there are additional, unrecorded resources present. The planning area also falls within the traditional use areas Mojave, Chemehuevi, Halchidoma and Quechan tribal groups. Native American coordination and consultation will be conducted prior to project implementation. Due to the high number of culturally significant resources within the project area, it is expected that the project will result in a positive impact to cultural concerns by protecting sites from the effects of vehicle traffic. Specific actions within the project would be designed to avoid impacts to significant cultural resources.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	----	-------------------	----	---------

3. OHV impacts or potential impacts to be addressed -	
The Planning Project would address the following: <i>(Check all that apply)</i>	
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on natural or cultural resources.
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses.
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands.
X	Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents.
X	Toxic or hazardous materials within a Project area or adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation.
X	Trail issues such as traffic patterns, trails closures, appropriate uses, etc.
<p>Briefly explain how the Project would address each item checked above:</p> <p>OHV recreation on unauthorized routes has the potential to destroy both cultural and natural resources. Unauthorized OHV use has already damaged some pre-historic intaglios and threatens to disturb other sites in the area. In addition, the formation of illegal routes off of authorized ones contributes to habitat fragmentation which is a major contributing factor to population declines in many sensitive species such as the federally threatened desert tortoise (<i>Gopherus agassizii</i>). OHV recreation users are more likely to remain on designated routes of travel if those routes are clearly signed, properly maintained and continuously available for use. By reducing the chances that users will stray off of designated routes, the project will lead to a reduction of environmental damage and will potentially reduce the need to take action in the future to close routes or areas to OHV use.</p> <p>The project site and surrounding areas provide a variety of recreational opportunities including hiking, camping, mountain biking, horseback riding, rock hounding, and geocaching. Often, there are user conflicts between these activities and unauthorized OHV use. This is because unauthorized OHV use damages the environment and consequently, the natural experience desired by other recreational users. The proposed plan would establish clear route designations for OHV users to mitigate any potential negative effects illegal OHV activity has on non-motorized activities. In addition, the plan would also provide information to help land managers determine strategies address user conflicts.</p> <p>The Colorado Corridor and areas adjacent to Highway 95 includes local resorts, utility and transmission sites, mining activity, private property owners, and multiple agency boundaries. This area exhibits an especially high concentration of OHV activity affecting BLM (both Palm Springs and Yuma Field Offices) and Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) managed lands west of the Colorado River corridor. OHV use stemming from adjacent lands is creating unauthorized OHV routes on BLM property. These routes are damaging the environment, including status species habitat and cultural sites. Some of these unauthorized routes lead into wilderness. Congress designated the wilderness closed to motorized vehicles. Unauthorized OHV use in wilderness affects wilderness values of solitude, primitiveness, and other special features. Given the topography, many of the unauthorized routes do not permit the OHV user to turn around safely prior to entering wilderness. This planning project would alleviate this problem by re-evaluating route options and determining the best locations for sign and barrier placement.</p> <p>Tourism, especially OHV recreation, is an important part of the economy in Blythe and other communities along the Colorado River. The Palm Springs-South Coast Field Office has received letters in support of BLM-implemented OHV projects from local groups such as the City of Blythe, Blythe Area Chamber of Commerce and Tourist Information Center, the Blythe Police Activities League, and OHV groups such as the Alliance for Responsible Recreation and the California Off Road Vehicle Association, Inc. Implementation of this planning project would sustain positive working relationships with these groups as well as local Native American groups with cultural concerns in the area.</p> <p>Several routes leading to abandoned mines have been closed through the wilderness designation. However, OHV users continue to venture into wilderness, which contains some potentially hazardous abandoned mine sites. Abandoned mine sites have the potential to contain toxic or hazardous materials and other hazards, including deep mine shafts. By entering wilderness and</p>	

using unauthorized routes, these OHV users are unknowingly putting themselves at risk. Planning would include clear route designations to deter OHV users from entering these areas.

Currently, the proposed planning area contains numerous unauthorized OHV routes such as user-created hill climbs and parallel routes that stem from authorized routes. Because of this, the area is in critical need for improved travel management. The lack of consistency in designated route information and the absence of adequate signage produces an atmosphere in which OHV users are largely unaware of legal authorized routes and closure areas. This current situation has led to the proliferation of an entire network of unauthorized OHV routes. Clearly signed, consistent routes will enhance public awareness and recreational opportunities thereby increasing OHV compliance

Scoring: 1 point each for a maximum of 6 points.

Applicant Score	6	Division Findings	6	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

4. Integration of Planning into OHV program management -	
Project would result in coordination of OHV program management activities in the following ways: <i>(Check all that apply.)</i>	
X	Ensuring that different organizational units are consistent in program implementation.
X	Applicant would act as Liaison between the Division and regional or state offices and their organizational subdivisions.
X	Applicant provides responsibility for an organization's OHV strategic plan.
X	Applicant ensures the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements for their agency.
X	Applicant coordinates agency employee and volunteer training.
In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each of the above.	
<p>Ensuring that different organizational units are consistent in program implementation</p> <p>The Palm Springs-South Coast Field Office (PSSC FO) holds internal OHV travel management meetings with input from multi-disciplinary team members such as management, law enforcement, resource, and recreation staff. Members present OHV concerns and discuss OHV plans and projects to ensure their consistency with public reviewed and BLM approved land use plans.</p> <p>Applicant would act as Liaison between the Division and regional or state offices and their organizational subunits</p> <p>The PSSC FO acts as liaison between the Division and other regional and state offices regarding OHV issues in a few ways. First, the proposed planning project will be a collective effort by the Colorado River Working Group (CRWG), an organization created following the Winter 2006 OHMVR grant hearings. The CRWG initiates partnership projects between three California Desert District (CDD) offices (El Centro, Needles, Palm Springs) and two Arizona offices which manage California lands on the western side of the Colorado River (Yuma and Lake Havasu). The purpose of the CRWG is to exchange OHV concerns, which affect both sides of the Colorado River such as the differences between Arizona and California state OHV laws and how these variations are addressed by BLM law enforcement. The CRWG would work with other agencies and groups such as the Bureau of Reclamation and the Palo Verde Irrigation District in order to implement this planning project.</p> <p>Additionally, PSSC FO management and recreation staff members participate in quarterly BLM OHV leadership meetings, involving all field offices of the California Desert District (Barstow, El Centro, Needles, Palm Springs, and Ridgecrest) and the California State coordinator.</p> <p>Applicant provides responsibility for an organization's OHV strategic plan</p> <p>The 2001 BLM National OHV strategy addresses the management of OHVs on public lands, and gives guidance regarding such OHV related issues as the process for designating routes, the designation of open and closed areas, coordination with interested parties, and vehicles leaving designated trails for other recreational activities. This strategy relied on in past planning, will be utilized again to review this project is also in compliance.</p> <p>Applicant ensures the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements for their agency</p> <p>The PSSC FO OHV Coordinator makes certain the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements occurs and ensures compliance with OHMVR regulations by maintaining, monitoring, and auditing all internal OHV contracts and agreements. As such, the OHV Coordinator will work closely with the all members of this planning project to ensure OHV grants and agreements are implemented in an efficient and timely manner.</p>	

Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
5. Funding Source for Operational costs as a result of plan implementation - In 500 words or less, describe how future operational costs will be funded.				
<p>Federal lands provide Americans and visitors from around the world special places for recreation, education, reflection, and solace. Public lands managed by the Department of the Interior hosted over 370 million recreation visits in 2005. Ensuring that the federal lands continue to play this important role in American life and culture requires that we maintain visitor facilities and services and enhance visitor opportunities. Such efforts require a source of funding with which we can quickly respond in increases in visitor demand. Recreation fee revenues are a critical source of such supplemental funding that significantly enhance our efforts to address the deferred maintenance backlog and better manage federal lands.</p> <p>Congress recognized the responsibility of visitors to contribute a greater portion of this funding when it established broad fee authority over forty years ago, in 1965, under the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act (LWCF). Congress subsequently enacted the Fee Demonstration Program in 1996, and the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act (Public Law 108-447) (REA) in 2004. The rationale is that those who use specific services and facilities should pay for a larger portion of the costs, rather than require taxpayers who never use the amenities to assume the entire cost.</p> <p>Combinations of funding sources are: appropriated funds, fee site areas (campgrounds, regulated open areas, etc...), other grant funding programs, and support through friend groups.</p>				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Applicant's operational budget (5 points)			
	Volunteer support and/or donations (3 points)			
X	Combination of any of these (3 points)			
	Other Grant funding (2 points)			
	Funding would come entirely from future OHV Trust Funds (no points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
6. Scientific Research Planning Projects ONLY - Project would help to determine management goals or provide guidance for decision making in the following areas: (Check all that apply.)				
	OHV Program Management plan.			
	Maintenance practices.			
	Conservation practices.			
	Site specific Project planning to promote sustainable use.			
Scoring: 3 points each.				
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 43 for Scientific Research; 43 for other Planning.				

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 8, Planning Projects)**

Applicant:	BLM Palm Springs South Coast Field Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM Palm Springs South Coast Field Office South Coast - Border Mountains Planning	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-13-P02

PLANNING PROJECT CRITERIA

If Project is for Scientific Research, omit item 2. Other Planning Projects omit item 6.			
1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
	36% - 50% (7 points)		
X	26% - 35% (5 points)		
	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of planting native plants at the restoration site, or cash contribution to purchase of the native plants.			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5 Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and Cultural Resources should be avoided or minimized. The Planning Project would address the following potential impacts: (Check all that apply.) If the Applicant's Planning Project is Scientific Research, skip this question.			
X	Soils		
X	Water quality		
X	Special-Status Species habitat		
X	Cultural resources		
Scoring: 3 points each for a maximum of 12 points.			

In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each item checked above.

Soils

The planning project will help implement clear route designations encouraging OHV users to remain on designated trails thus complying with BLM soil conservation standards. Eliminating vehicle traffic on unauthorized routes will reduce soil compaction, soil erosion, and other impacts which could potentially affect water quality and sensitive habitats.

Water Quality

In order to improve water quality in the Otay and Tijuana River watersheds, it is essential to limit surface disturbance to surrounding tributaries. These watersheds provide drinking and agricultural water supply to metropolitan San Diego and surrounding areas. This planning project implements route designation decisions, and should reduce OHV damage on closed or otherwise unauthorized routes thereby contributing to improved water quality.

Special Status Habitat

The density of special status species in San Diego County is the highest in the nation. OHV travel off authorized routes crushes and destroys vegetation and creates soil compaction. These factors can cause a loss of habitat and habitat fragmentation. The resulting reduction in available food resources, vegetative cover, and impaired water quality can lead to population decreases for all species, including Threatened and Endangered Species. By establishing clearly marked and defined routes, and taking steps to obtain a high rate of user compliance, areas damaged by unauthorized OHV use have the opportunity to increase vegetative cover thus reducing potential habitat fragmentation.

Cultural

The project area contains a large number of recorded archaeological sites, both historic and prehistoric. Prehistoric archaeological sites include trails, rock art, lithic scatters, pottery scatters, and village sites. Historic archaeological sites include structures, trails, Spanish period adobes, trash deposits, and mines. Only a small portion of the planning area has been inventoried for cultural resources, so it is likely that there are additional, unrecorded resources present. The planning area also falls within the traditional use areas of the Tipai and Ipai tribal groups, ancestors of the modern Kummeyaay people. Native American coordination and consultation will be conducted prior to project implementation. Due to the high number of culturally significant resources within the project area, it is expected that the project will result in a positive impact to cultural concerns by protecting sites from the effects of vehicle traffic. Specific actions within the project would be designed to avoid impacts to significant cultural resources.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	----	-------------------	----	---------

3. OHV impacts or potential impacts to be addressed -	
The Planning Project would address the following: <i>(Check all that apply)</i>	
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on natural or cultural resources.
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses.
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands.
X	Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents.
X	Toxic or hazardous materials within a Project area or adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation.
X	Trail issues such as traffic patterns, trails closures, appropriate uses, etc.
<p>Briefly explain how the Project would address each item checked above:</p> <p>OHV recreation on unauthorized routes has the potential to destroy both cultural and natural resources. Unauthorized OHV use has already damaged some sites in the area. In addition, the formation of illegal routes off of authorized ones contributes to habitat fragmentation which is a major contributing factor to population declines. OHV recreation users are more likely to remain on designated routes of travel if those routes are clearly signed, properly maintained and continuously available for use. By reducing the chances that users will stray off of designated routes, the project will lead to a reduction of environmental damage and will potentially reduce the need to take action in the future to close routes or areas to OHV use.</p> <p>The project site and surrounding areas provide a variety of recreational opportunities including hiking, camping, mountain biking, horseback riding, rock hounding, and geocaching. Often, there are user conflicts between these activities and unauthorized OHV use. This is because unauthorized OHV use damages the environment and consequently, the natural experience desired by other recreational users. The proposed plan would establish clear route designations for OHV users to mitigate any potential negative effects illegal OHV activity has on non-motorized activities. In addition, the plan would also provide information to help land managers determine strategies to address user conflicts.</p> <p>The Border Mountains and areas adjacent to Highway 94 include utility and transmission sites, private property, and multiple agency boundaries. Public lands in the area are in relatively small parcels intermixed with state, local, private, and other federal ownerships. Unauthorized routes originating on private land and entering public lands are damaging the environment, including special status species habitat and cultural sites, which are present on both BLM and other lands. Some of these unauthorized routes lead into wilderness. Unauthorized OHV use in wilderness affects wilderness values of solitude, primitiveness, and other special features. Given the topography, many of the unauthorized routes do not permit the OHV user to turn around safely prior to entering wilderness. This planning project would alleviate this problem by re-evaluating route options and determining the best locations for sign and barrier placement</p> <p>Many local residents have expressed to BLM a desire to see OHV use in the area carefully managed and controlled. This is based on negative impacts such as noise, dust, and aforementioned cultural and natural resource impacts. There have also been communications with the Palm Springs-South Coast Field Office from groups wishing to maximize motorized access to public lands, particularly for hunting. Implementation of this planning project would sustain positive working relationships with these groups by encouraging their participation in all aspects of planning and decision making. Local Native American groups with cultural concerns will also be consulted as per federal requirements.</p> <p>Several routes leading to abandoned mines have been closed through the wilderness designation. However, OHV users continue to venture into wilderness, which contains some potentially hazardous abandoned mine sites. Abandoned mine sites have the potential to contain toxic or hazardous materials and other hazards, including deep mine shafts. By entering wilderness and using unauthorized routes, these OHV users are unknowingly putting themselves at risk. Planning would include clear route designations to deter OHV users from entering these areas.</p>	

Currently, the proposed planning area contains unauthorized OHV routes such as user-created hill climbs and parallel routes that stem from authorized routes. Because of this, the area is in critical need for improved travel management. The lack of consistency across jurisdictional boundaries and the absence of adequate signage create an atmosphere in which OHV users are largely unaware of legal authorized routes and closure areas. This current situation has led to the proliferation of unauthorized OHV routes. Clearly signed, consistent routes will enhance public awareness and recreational opportunities thereby increasing OHV compliance.

Scoring: 1 point each for a maximum of 6 points.

Applicant Score	6	Division Findings	6	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

4. Integration of Planning into OHV program management -			
Project would result in coordination of OHV program management activities in the following ways: <i>(Check all that apply.)</i>			
X	Ensuring that different organizational units are consistent in program implementation.		
X	Applicant would act as Liaison between the Division and regional or state offices and their organizational subdivisions.		
X	Applicant provides responsibility for an organization's OHV strategic plan.		
X	Applicant ensures the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements for their agency.		
X	Applicant coordinates agency employee and volunteer training.		
In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each of the above.			
<p>Ensuring that different organizational units are consistent in program implementation</p> <p>The Palm Springs-South Coast Field Office holds internal OHV travel management meetings with input from multi-disciplinary team members such as management, law enforcement, resource, and recreation staff. Members present OHV concerns and discuss OHV plans and projects to ensure their consistency with public reviewed and BLM approved land use plans.</p> <p>Applicant would act as Liaison between the Division and regional or state offices and their organizational subunits.</p> <p>Opportunities for coordination with the Division and the potential for future grant applications are discussed annually by field office staff and management. BLM Field Office staff has also hosted field trips to showcase OHV issues and solutions with members of the Commission and Staff. These field trips have been well received by participants and are expected to continue for this project.</p> <p>Applicant provides responsibility for an organization's OHV strategic plan</p> <p>The 2001 BLM National OHV strategy addresses the management of OHV's on public lands, and gives guidance regarding such OHV related issues as the process for designating routes, the designation of open and closed areas, coordination with interested parties, and vehicles leaving designated trails for other recreational activities. This and future projects will continue conform to this strategy.</p> <p>Applicant ensures the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements for their agency</p> <p>The PSSC FO OHV Coordinator ensures the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements. This person ensures compliance with OHMVR regulations by maintaining, monitoring, and auditing all internal OHV contracts and agreements. As such, the OHV Coordinator will work closely with the all members of this planning project to ensure OHV grants and agreements are implemented in an efficient and timely matter.</p> <p>Applicant coordinates agency employee and volunteer training</p> <p>The Palm Springs-South Coast Field office will hire BLM Term/Temporary Employees with educational backgrounds related to the required disciplines outlined in the grant proposal. These employees and any volunteers utilized will receive in-depth lectures and training in desert survival, safety and first aid, desert plants and animals including sensitive species, archeological awareness, safe driving in the field, and radio operations.</p>			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.			
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10 Concur.

5. Funding Source for Operational costs as a result of plan implementation -

In 500 words or less, describe how future operational costs will be funded.

Federal lands provide Americans and visitors from around the world special places for recreation, education, reflection, and solace. Public lands managed by the Department of the Interior hosted over 370 million recreation visits in 2005. Ensuring that the federal lands continue to play this important role in American life and culture requires that we maintain visitor facilities and services and enhance visitor opportunities. Such efforts require a source of funding with which we can quickly respond in increases in visitor demand. Recreation fee revenues are a critical source of such supplemental funding that significantly enhance our efforts to address the deferred maintenance backlog and better manage federal lands.

Congress recognized the responsibility of visitors to contribute a greater portion of this funding when it established broad fee authority over forty years ago, in 1965, under the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act (LWCF). Congress subsequently enacted the Fee Demonstration Program in 1996, and the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act (Public Law 108-447) (REA) in 2004. The rationale is that those who use specific services and facilities should pay for a larger portion of the costs, rather than require taxpayers who never use the amenities to assume the entire cost.

Combinations of funding sources are:

- a) Appropriated funds from Congress (recreational, wilderness sub-activities)
- b) Fee Site Area (campgrounds, regulated open areas, etc...)
- c) Other grant funding programs (NFWF grants for Mecca aster restoration and tamarisk eradication.
- d) Support through Friend groups (development of educational programs)

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	Applicant's operational budget (5 points)			
	Volunteer support and/or donations (3 points)			
X	Combination of any of these (3 points)			
	Other Grant funding (2 points)			
	Funding would come entirely from future OHV Trust Funds (no points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

6. Scientific Research Planning Projects ONLY - Project would help to determine management goals or provide guidance for decision making in the following areas:

(Check all that apply.)

	OHV Program Management plan.		
	Maintenance practices.		
	Conservation practices.		
	Site specific Project planning to promote sustainable use.		

Scoring: 3 points each.

Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
-----------------	---	-------------------	---	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 43 for Scientific Research; 43 for other Planning.

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 8, Planning Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Lassen National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Lassen National Forest Forest-wide Route Designation Planning	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-08-P01

PLANNING PROJECT CRITERIA

If Project is for Scientific Research, omit item 2. Other Planning Projects omit item 6.			
1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
X	36% - 50% (7 points)		
	26% - 35% (5 points)		
	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of planting native plants at the restoration site, or cash contribution to purchase of the native plants.			
Applicant Score	7	Division Findings	7 Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and Cultural Resources should be avoided or minimized. The Planning Project would address the following potential impacts: (Check all that apply.) If the Applicant's Planning Project is Scientific Research, skip this question.			
X	Soils		
X	Water quality		
X	Special-Status Species habitat		
X	Cultural resources		
Scoring: 3 points each for a maximum of 12 points.			

In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each item checked above.

Soils - The planning objective is to provide a sustainable and manageable trail system that minimizes soil loss and adverse effects on water quality. Designated routes will meet State Soil Conservation Standards. Various strategies to achieve this will be outlined and integrated into the planning process. Mitigation measures to reduce soil loss and a monitoring plan will be identified in the EIS.

Water Quality - Water quality impacts will be analyzed and measures or strategies to mitigate or prevent adverse effects will be developed consistent with "Water Quality Management for Forest System Lands in California - Best Management Practices." The objective is to ensure protection of water quality and compliance with all statutory requirements. Road density, number of stream crossings, road gradient, and erosive soils are the primary indicators that will be evaluated in the EIS.

Special Status Species habitat - Impacts on Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive plants as well as terrestrial and aquatic wildlife species and their habitat from route designation will be analyzed. Management indicator species will also be addressed. Mitigation measures such as seasonal restrictions on OHV use will be evaluated. Indicators to monitor species disturbance and/or degradation of habitat will be included in the EIS.

Cultural resources - The designation of wheeled motorized vehicle use on routes not currently designated for that purpose triggers evaluation under the 1966 National Historic Preservation Act (as amended) and applicable State programmatic agreements. Cultural properties considered at risk from OHV travel are ones within close proximity of a proposed route or OHV riding area. Site survey data will be analyzed to determine the number of properties and degree of risk associated with them. Mitigation measures will be described in the EIS and monitored.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	----	-------------------	----	---------

3. OHV impacts or potential impacts to be addressed -

The Planning Project would address the following: ***(Check all that apply)***

X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on natural or cultural resources.
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses.
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands.
X	Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents.
X	Toxic or hazardous materials within a Project area or adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation.
X	Trail issues such as traffic patterns, trails closures, appropriate uses, etc.

Briefly explain how the Project would address each item checked above:

Potential effects of OHV Recreation on natural or cultural resources - Currently, unmanaged OHV travel on the Forest is negatively impacting cultural, soil and water resources in some areas (see photos). Use in the early to late spring when roads and trails are frequently wet are susceptible to rutting and soil erosion with an increased likelihood of sediment entering streams and lakes. The proliferation of new trails, dispersed campsites, and mud bogs in wet meadows increases soil, vegetative, wildlife, cultural, and water-related impacts.

Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses –OHV riders are traveling on user created routes inside the Ishi Wilderness, riding on the Pacific Crest National Scenic Trail, pushing existing trails further into semi-primitive non-motorized and proposed wilderness areas, riding on trails that were designed for non-motorized use or single track, and constructing trails adjacent to developed recreation sites where the noise and dust is not welcomed. All this adversely impacts other recreational users and generates a backlash against the sport of OHV riding. The EIS to designate routes (along with an extensive public education campaign to stay on them) will minimize conflicts with other recreational users on the Lassen.

Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands – As mentioned above, unmanaged OHV recreation on the forest has resulted in many user created trails, which cross all ownerships. Under the July 12, 2007 Temporary Forest Order, no routes are displayed on the Order's maps if the Forest Service does not have a legal right-of-way or public easement. The forest does not propose to designate routes that invite trespass onto non-NFS land unless the landowner is willing to grant us an easement.

Potential impacts to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents - There is considerable interest in designating OHV trails from residential areas and communities. To the extent we can do this, the Lassen would like to provide public access from communities. This also includes proposals for counties to consider mixed use on some of their low use, gravel roads that link communities to the FS road system. Impacts from noise, dust, and visual quality will be evaluated to minimize conflicts with other nearby residents and non-motorized recreationists.

Toxic or hazardous materials – Some open riding areas in sand and cinder pits are proposed for designation in the EIS. Effects from dust on human health (if assumptions can be made for limited riding periods) will be considered.

Trail issues such as traffic patterns, trail closures, appropriate uses, etc. – The EIS will designate trails by vehicle class. Several routes proposed for mixed use will be designated contingent upon the completion of an "engineering analysis" that will address the safety factors associated with combining non-highway and highway legal vehicle use on the same roads. All non-designated routes will be considered closed to wheeled motorized vehicles. Some will be signed to prevent riding in sensitive or non-motorized land allocations in the 1993 Forest Land and Resource Management Plan. Monitoring and law enforcement strategies to ensure compliance will be addressed.

Scoring: 1 point each for a maximum of 6 points.				
Applicant Score	6	Division Findings	6	Concur.
4. Integration of Planning into OHV program management -				
Project would result in coordination of OHV program management activities in the following ways: (Check all that apply.)				
X	Ensuring that different organizational units are consistent in program implementation.			
X	Applicant would act as Liaison between the Division and regional or state offices and their organizational subdivisions.			
X	Applicant provides responsibility for an organization's OHV strategic plan.			
X	Applicant ensures the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements for their agency.			
X	Applicant coordinates agency employee and volunteer training.			
In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each of the above.				
<p>Ensuring that different organizational units are consistent in program implementation - Completion of the Forest's Travel Management Plan and EIS through this grant will provide more understanding of our OHV program and greater consistency between adjacent agencies.</p> <p>Applicant would act as Liaison between the Division and regional or state offices - The Forest Service would act as liaison for this project</p> <p>Applicant provides responsibility for an organization's OHV strategic plan. - When completed, the Record of Decision for the EIS will adopt the Forest's travel management plan (strategic plan).</p> <p>Applicant ensures the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements for their Agency - The Lassen National Forest will be the responsible agency for ensuring appropriate preparation and implementation for the agreement for this project. Authorized personnel will be specifically delegated responsibility for managing this project.</p> <p>Applicant coordinates agency employee and volunteer training. - The Forest has an active volunteer program including hosts for campgrounds, and volunteers for trail maintenance and construction projects. Currently, we are developing partnerships with numerous OHV groups for patrols in our more popular High Lakes and Front Country OHV Areas. There is considerable potential to expand our volunteer program across the forest.</p>				
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
5. Funding Source for Operational costs as a result of plan implementation -				
In 500 words or less, describe how future operational costs will be funded.				
The Forest Service will continue to support the Lassen's OHV program along with the contributions of many dedicated volunteers. Approximately \$170,000 in FS program funds is spent each year to operate and maintain our wheeled motorized vehicle program on NFS roads and trails. This includes road maintenance, signing, enforcement patrols, care and policing of dispersed campsites, trash removal, restoration of user-created trails, rider education and media releases, and coordination with volunteers.				

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Applicant's operational budget (5 points)			
X	Volunteer support and/or donations (3 points)			
	Combination of any of these (3 points)			
	Other Grant funding (2 points)			
	Funding would come entirely from future OHV Trust Funds (no points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

6. Scientific Research Planning Projects ONLY - Project would help to determine management goals or provide guidance for decision making in the following areas: (Check all that apply.)				
	OHV Program Management plan.			
	Maintenance practices.			
	Conservation practices.			
	Site specific Project planning to promote sustainable use.			
Scoring: 3 points each.				
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 43 for Scientific Research; 43 for other Planning.				

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 8, Planning Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Lassen National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Lassen National Forest Front Country OHV Area Planning	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-08-P02

PLANNING PROJECT CRITERIA

If Project is for Scientific Research, omit item 2. Other Planning Projects omit item 6.			
1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
	36% - 50% (7 points)		
	26% - 35% (5 points)		
X	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of planting native plants at the restoration site, or cash contribution to purchase of the native plants.			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3 Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and Cultural Resources should be avoided or minimized. The Planning Project would address the following potential impacts: (Check all that apply.) If the Applicant's Planning Project is Scientific Research, skip this question.			
X	Soils		
X	Water quality		
X	Special-Status Species habitat		
X	Cultural resources		
Scoring: 3 points each for a maximum of 12 points.			

In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each item checked above.

Soils - Based on the results of soil loss information collected during phase I, phase II would integrate this with other resource data to evaluate the need for additional maintenance and restoration opportunities. The objective would be to reduce the soil loss resulting from OHV use to meet State Soil Conservation Standards. Various strategies would be outlined and integrated into the planning process. One of the objectives of this project is to determine where loss of the soil resource is occurring as a result of OHV use and to design mitigation measures to reduce chronic sources of erosion.

Water Quality - Current and future water quality impacts would be assessed and measures or strategies developed to mitigate or prevent adverse effects. This information would also be used to develop appropriate management actions based on localized beneficial uses and values at risk. Alternatives to ensure protection of water quality and compliance with all statutory requirements will be developed during the planning process.

Special Status Species Habitat - The project area provides habitat for numerous special status species. These include management indicator species black bear, hairy woodpecker, mule deer, peregrine falcon, pileated woodpecker, western gray squirrel and rainbow trout; Forest Service sensitive species California spotted owl, northern goshawk, pallid bat and foothill yellow legged frog; and federally listed Central Valley steelhead and Spring-run Chinook salmon. One objective of the grant is to consider the habitat needs of these and other species found in the project area during planning, and develop a plan that provides adequate protection for the species and their habitats.

Cultural resources - This area is rich with historic and pre-historic cultural resources. Information collected during phase I would be used to determine management strategies that will prevent damage to cultural resources resulting from OHV use. Various strategies would be outlined and integrated into the planning process.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	----	-------------------	----	---------

3. OHV impacts or potential impacts to be addressed -				
The Planning Project would address the following: <i>(Check all that apply)</i>				
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on natural or cultural resources.			
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses.			
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands.			
X	Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents.			
X	Toxic or hazardous materials within a Project area or adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation.			
X	Trail issues such as traffic patterns, trails closures, appropriate uses, etc.			
<p>Briefly explain how the Project would address each item checked above:</p> <p>Currently, OHV use in this area is negatively impacting soil and water resources. Use in this area primarily occurs in fall and early to late spring. During this time the routes are frequently wet and susceptible to increased soil erosion and resultant water quality impacts. In addition, braiding and an increase in unauthorized user created routes further increases resource risk. Increasing use in this area by OHV's has a large potential to further degrade habitat and water quality. Both current and potential impacts would be addressed and incorporated into phase II.</p> <p>Currently OHV vehicles are traveling on user created routes outside of the designated Front Country OHV area. This vehicle traffic causes intrusion into the Ishi Wilderness. These trails not only violate the wilderness law but also cause erosion on steep slopes into Mill Creek (an anadromous fisheries). Evaluating these routes for permanent closure is high priority. Signing of these areas to prevent future intrusion is needed to education the public on wilderness values.</p> <p>As stated above unrestricted OHV use in the entire Front Country area has resulted in user created trails which cross all ownerships in the Front Country. Generally the topography in the Front Country is rocky and brushy with openings making cross country travel more accessible and challenging than in other area of the forest.</p> <p>There are a small community (Boondocks) with full time residents and a few isolated private parcels with cabins used seasonally in the vicinity of the Front Country. The Forest Service has not received reports of conflicts between OHV users and these residents. Generally these properties are heavily posted as private property, keep out, and have locked gates and fences blocking access to vehicles from the established road systems. The public involvement effort for this project would surface any potential conflicts and through the management plan look for solutions to address potential issues.</p> <p>There is an ongoing dumping problem at Black Oak Grove (dispersed camping site) in the Front Country. Annually trash from hunting season is left; including batteries, oil, and gasoline. In years past the district has removed refrigerators with Freon from the site. The management plan and subsequent NEPA would formulate alternatives to prevent further occurrences of this problem.</p> <p>The established trail system for the Front Country OHV area is very challenging. Annually the signs identifying these established routes are removed, and new trails are developed in areas that are less challenging and/or that offer more looping opportunities. Evaluating some of these loop trail for inclusion into the established system would be considered, while eliminating the trails that cause erosion or disturb known heritage sites.</p>				
Scoring: 1 point each for a maximum of 6 points.				
Applicant Score	6	Division Findings	6	Concur.

4. Integration of Planning into OHV program management -				
Project would result in coordination of OHV program management activities in the following ways: (Check all that apply.)				
X	Ensuring that different organizational units are consistent in program implementation.			
X	Applicant would act as Liaison between the Division and regional or state offices and their organizational subdivisions.			
X	Applicant provides responsibility for an organization's OHV strategic plan.			
X	Applicant ensures the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements for their agency.			
X	Applicant coordinates agency employee and volunteer training.			
In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each of the above.				
<p>Both the Forest Service and the adjacent Tehama Wildlife Area provide trails within the Front Country OHV area, Completion of a management plan through this grant will provide more consistency between the two entities in terms of signage, patrol, administration, and season of use.</p> <p>The Forest Service would act as liason for this project.</p> <p>The Lassen National Forest is responsible for strategic planning and operations for the Front Country OHV Area.</p> <p>The Lassen National Forest will be the responsible agency for ensuring appropriate preparation and implementation for the agreement for this project. Authorized personnel will ne specifically delegated responsibility for managing this project.</p>				
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
5. Funding Source for Operational costs as a result of plan implementation -				
In 500 words or less, describe how future operational costs will be funded.				
The Forest has an active volunteer program including hosts for campgrounds, and volunteers for trail maintenance and construction projects. Currently we are developing partnerships with numerous OHV groups for patrol of the High Lakes OHV area. These same groups will be recruited for the Front Country as well.				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
X	Applicant's operational budget (5 points)			
	Volunteer support and/or donations (3 points)			
	Combination of any of these (3 points)			
	Other Grant funding (2 points)			
	Funding would come entirely from future OHV Trust Funds (no points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	3	Narrative indicates volunteer support only.

6. Scientific Research Planning Projects ONLY - Project would help to determine management goals or provide guidance for decision making in the following areas: (Check all that apply.)			
	OHV Program Management plan.		
	Maintenance practices.		
	Conservation practices.		
	Site specific Project planning to promote sustainable use.		
Scoring: 3 points each.			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0 Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 43 for Scientific Research; 43 for other Planning.			

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 8, Planning Projects)

Applicant:	USFS Los Padres National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Los Padres National Forest Planning	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-09-P01

PLANNING PROJECT CRITERIA

If Project is for Scientific Research, omit item 2. Other Planning Projects omit item 6.			
1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
	36% - 50% (7 points)		
X	26% - 35% (5 points)		
	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of planting native plants at the restoration site, or cash contribution to purchase of the native plants.			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5 Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and Cultural Resources should be avoided or minimized. The Planning Project would address the following potential impacts: (Check all that apply.) If the Applicant's Planning Project is Scientific Research, skip this question.			
X	Soils		
X	Water quality		
X	Special-Status Species habitat		
X	Cultural resources		
Scoring: 3 points each for a maximum of 12 points.			
In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each item checked above.			
The project is designed to determine necessary mitigations relative to soils, water quality, special status species habitat, and cultural resources. It will incorporate barriers to protect the stream bed, oak trees, and adjacent cultural sites in the project area. Traffic into the staging area will be limited to one direction; barriers will be used to reduce the speed of OHV riders, and novices and children will be given a safe place to ride.			
The project will provide for funds to complete biological surveys, resource inventories, and environmental assessments of potential impacts in the project area.			
Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12 Concur.

3. OHV impacts or potential impacts to be addressed -				
The Planning Project would address the following: <i>(Check all that apply)</i>				
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on natural or cultural resources.			
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses.			
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands.			
X	Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents.			
X	Toxic or hazardous materials within a Project area or adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation.			
X	Trail issues such as traffic patterns, trails closures, appropriate uses, etc.			
<p>Briefly explain how the Project would address each item checked above:</p> <p>As part of the NEPA process, the planning project will analyze cumulative effects associated with the proposed action and any connected actions that may result from the proposed action. Resource specialists include botanist, biologist, and archeologist and they will conduct surveys, inventories, assessments, and analyses necessary to prepare biological evaluations.</p> <p>The cumulative effects analysis conducted by the specialists will include past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future effects of the proposed action and will analyze the direct and indirect effects. This will include effects on existing and potential mountain biking use, hiking and hunting use. This will also include effects to ecological effects, historic, economic, and social effects.</p> <p>Additionally, the cumulative effects analysis will address those beneficial and/or detrimental effects the proposed action may have on adjacent lands. Adjacent landowners, permittees, and interest groups will be included in the environmental analysis process and identified issues may be incorporated into alternative development and proposal modification.</p> <p>See previous response regarding potential effects on adjacent lands.</p> <p>The analysis area will be analyzed and evaluated and appropriate mitigation measures will be incorporated into the proposed action. The project will comply with the Los Padres Land and Resource Management Plan (Sept, 2005), Standards and Guidelines (36 CFR 219), and Part 3, Design Criteria for the Southern California National Forest Appendix D, Adaptive Mitigation for Resource Uses (pg 63).</p> <p>See previous responses. As part of the project design, traffic patterns, appropriate recreation uses, resource protection, public health and safety, etc. will be assessed by the ID Team and incorporated into the design of the proposed action and alternatives.</p>				
Scoring: 1 point each for a maximum of 6 points.				
Applicant Score	6	Division Findings	6	Concur.

4. Integration of Planning into OHV program management -	
Project would result in coordination of OHV program management activities in the following ways: (Check all that apply.)	
	Ensuring that different organizational units are consistent in program implementation.
	Applicant would act as Liaison between the Division and regional or state offices and their organizational subdivisions.
X	Applicant provides responsibility for an organization's OHV strategic plan.
X	Applicant ensures the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements for their agency.
X	Applicant coordinates agency employee and volunteer training.
In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each of the above.	
<p>The project would be lead by OHV recreation personnel on the Santa Lucia Ranger District in partnership with the Central Coast Motorcycle Association. This volunteer group helped develop the staging area proposal and in FY07 has volunteered 607 hours to date of their time performing facilities maintenance, trail maintenance, and planning specific to this project. The project will fund district personnel to complete the analysis for an improved OHV staging area while setting the stage for implementation utilizing contracts, force account personnel, and volunteer efforts. The staging area is located within the Pozo/La Panza High Impact Recreation Area which receives heavy use of OHV enthusiasts, campers, hunters, and hikers. There is a need for a well defined staging area that will provide a safe place to ride, camp, and just spend the afternoon recreating. Please see project description for additional information relative to this criteria.</p>	

Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.

Applicant Score	6	Division Findings	2	Narrative only addresses `Applicant coordinates agency employee and volunteer training`.
-----------------	---	-------------------	---	--

5. Funding Source for Operational costs as a result of plan implementation -	
In 500 words or less, describe how future operational costs will be funded.	
<p>Funding sources include agency operational budget to include additional recreation fee funds, volunteer contribution, and state grant funds. The agency provides for maintenance supplies and employee presence in addition to coordination/supervision of an extensive volunteer program including such groups as the Central Coast Motorcycle Association and Cal Poly Penguins. These volunteers will assist with maintenance of the proposed facilities as well as provide education outreach to the OHV riders.</p>	

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	Applicant's operational budget (5 points)			
	Volunteer support and/or donations (3 points)			
X	Combination of any of these (3 points)			
	Other Grant funding (2 points)			
	Funding would come entirely from future OHV Trust Funds (no points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

6. Scientific Research Planning Projects ONLY - Project would help to determine management goals or provide guidance for decision making in the following areas: (Check all that apply.)			
	OHV Program Management plan.		
	Maintenance practices.		
	Conservation practices.		
	Site specific Project planning to promote sustainable use.		
Scoring: 3 points each.			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0 Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 43 for Scientific Research; 43 for other Planning.			

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 8, Planning Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Pacific Southwest Region	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Pacific Southwest Region Planning	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-12-P01

PLANNING PROJECT CRITERIA

If Project is for Scientific Research, omit item 2. Other Planning Projects omit item 6.			
1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
X	36% - 50% (7 points)		
	26% - 35% (5 points)		
	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of planting native plants at the restoration site, or cash contribution to purchase of the native plants.			
Applicant Score	7	Division Findings	7 Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and Cultural Resources should be avoided or minimized. The Planning Project would address the following potential impacts: (Check all that apply.) If the Applicant's Planning Project is Scientific Research, skip this question.			
X	Soils		
X	Water quality		
X	Special-Status Species habitat		
X	Cultural resources		
Scoring: 3 points each for a maximum of 12 points.			

In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each item checked above.

The U.S. Forest Service follows the Endangered Species Act, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, The Noxious Weed Act, The Clean Water Act, The Heritage Preservation Act (see individual acts), the Code of Federal Regulations [(CFR's) Title 36, Parts 212, 219, 241, etc] as well as USFS manual and handbook direction for motorized recreation (see handbooks and manual).

Each National Forest in California has a "Forest Land and Resource Management Plan" (see all R5 Forests FLRMP's) which contain specific standards and guides (S&G's) for the management of that Forest within a given management area. The S&G's contain specific protection measures to maintain natural resources during management activities, including OHV related activities (projects). The types of protections measures are buffers, limiting distances, and limited operating periods (See S&G's)

The Regional Motorized Program Leader has the responsibility to ensure the motorized recreation program is adhering to the above mentioned Law, Regulation, and Policy both nationally and statewide. The program lead works as needed in collaboration with the OHMVR Commission & Division, BLM, Fish & Game, Regional Soil Scientist, Hydrologist (Water Quality), Wildlife Ecologist and Heritage Program Leader to provide field units technical advice and guidance in the form of guidebooks, programmatic agreements, checklists, conference calls, task force, white papers, training (See R5 Marten Study, R5 Sweco Tractor Trail Maintenance Guidelines, USFS Soil Monitoring Program-G-Y-R, Wildlife Monitoring Checklists, Programmatic Agreement w/SHPO, all Forest WHPP's on file, Forest WHPP updates in current application, Forest Soils Programs in current applications, USFS Water Quality BMP's, R5 Route Designation Guidebook, R5 Parking & Dispersed Camping Guidance, CFR's) regarding resource management activities within their OHV management area.

In addition, Periodic site visits and program reviews occur to ensure current direction is being followed. Units are provided with follow-up reports listing accomplishments, areas of compliance and areas needing improvement (See Stanislaus Management Report, Cleveland FAT report).

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	----	-------------------	----	---------

3. OHV impacts or potential impacts to be addressed -				
The Planning Project would address the following: <i>(Check all that apply)</i>				
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on natural or cultural resources.			
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses.			
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands.			
X	Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents.			
X	Toxic or hazardous materials within a Project area or adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation.			
X	Trail issues such as traffic patterns, trails closures, appropriate uses, etc.			
<p>Briefly explain how the Project would address each item checked above:</p> <p>The project would assist in the funding of a program lead and others who have direct responsibility for coordinating with resource specialists and field units. There is currently a programmatic agreement with SHPO that is designed to assist the field units in avoiding cultural sites and provides a frame work for monitoring, survey work and mitigation. (See USFS Programmatic agreement.)</p> <p>The project would assist in funding a program lead and others who work directly with external groups, stakeholders and others to find solutions to issues, attends meetings, tracks trends of non-motorized recreation (See email correspondence w/ IMBA, BCHA, Winter Rec Group) and provides field units with guidance via conference calls, technical advice via email, and reports (see Chavez Mtn. Bike report)</p> <p>This project will assist in the funding of the program lead and others who will continue to guide the national forests on completing the route designation project (see MOI, CFR's , National Rule, R5 & National Guidebooks) as outlined in the referenced documents. The completion and enforcement of these designations will dramatically reduce if not eliminate illegal riding and trespass. In addition, the program lead and others wil continue to work with the OHMVR Commission, Division, stakeholders, USFS and County Law enforcement and other publics to educate recreationists on the effects and impacts of trespass.</p> <p>Please reference narrative for effects on adjacent lands. In addition, the region will continue to foster forest communication with local residents (direct email from public to program lead) and will participate in field visits to discuss issues with local residents (See Judith Spencer, Karen Schambach, Marcus Libkind, attendance @ ENF DEIS Meeting in concord).</p> <p>The RO works with the EPA and the Forests to administer clean-uo activities in a timely manner. RO program lead would work with Hazardous Materials Program lead Dennis Geiser to when and if there were these types of issues.</p> <p>The project would provide funding for the program lead and others to work collaboratively with Forests to address issues. The Regional Program Lead provides technical expertise on technical trails issues and maintains a library of materials (Located at office) and relationships with individuals who are experts in the field of trail building, re-routing, trail design and restoration.</p>				
Scoring: 1 point each for a maximum of 6 points.				
Applicant Score	6	Division Findings	6	Concur.

4. Integration of Planning into OHV program management -				
Project would result in coordination of OHV program management activities in the following ways: (Check all that apply.)				
X	Ensuring that different organizational units are consistent in program implementation.			
X	Applicant would act as Liaison between the Division and regional or state offices and their organizational subdivisions.			
X	Applicant provides responsibility for an organization's OHV strategic plan.			
X	Applicant ensures the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements for their agency.			
X	Applicant coordinates agency employee and volunteer training.			
In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each of the above.				
<p>The project would supplement the Forest Service appropriations and provide needed funding to round out the program and obtain part-time assistance to the program lead to help ensure the region continues to maintain a high level of motorized recreation management. (Please refer to the project description, general and project criteria narratives.)</p> <p>In addition, the project would accomplish the following: Provide the additional funding to allow multiple individuals to provide focus and assistance to the motorized recreation program and spread the workload allowing greater attention to detail, solution of issues, development of new forms of guidance, provide additional travel dollars for field visits, attendance to training and workshops. The additional funding will reduce the program leads workload and allow more time for research, partnership building and taks force building.</p>				
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	8	No mention of employee and volunteer training in narrative. Other items addressed in project description.
5. Funding Source for Operational costs as a result of plan implementation -				
In 500 words or less, describe how future operational costs will be funded.				
<p>The proposed project will provide supplemental funding and allow the program lead additional staff and more time to develop partnerships, search out other grant opportunities (email to R5 employees regarding tread lightly, Polaris, and BRC grant opportunities), develop educational messages, brochures, etc (See all other Criteria narratives.)</p>				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Applicant's operational budget (5 points)			
	Volunteer support and/or donations (3 points)			
X	Combination of any of these (3 points)			
	Other Grant funding (2 points)			
	Funding would come entirely from future OHV Trust Funds (no points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

6. Scientific Research Planning Projects ONLY - Project would help to determine management goals or provide guidance for decision making in the following areas: (Check all that apply.)				
	OHV Program Management plan.			
	Maintenance practices.			
	Conservation practices.			
	Site specific Project planning to promote sustainable use.			
Scoring: 3 points each.				
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 43 for Scientific Research; 43 for other Planning.				

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 8, Planning Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Plumas National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Plumas National Forest Planning	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-13-P01

PLANNING PROJECT CRITERIA

If Project is for Scientific Research, omit item 2. Other Planning Projects omit item 6.			
1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
X	36% - 50% (7 points)		
	26% - 35% (5 points)		
	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of planting native plants at the restoration site, or cash contribution to purchase of the native plants.			
Applicant Score	7	Division Findings	7 Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and Cultural Resources should be avoided or minimized. The Planning Project would address the following potential impacts: (Check all that apply.) If the Applicant's Planning Project is Scientific Research, skip this question.			
X	Soils		
X	Water quality		
X	Special-Status Species habitat		
X	Cultural resources		
Scoring: 3 points each for a maximum of 12 points.			

In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each item checked above.

Soils. Designation of Routes on the Plumas NF will be done in a manner that ensures the protection of the soils resource. This will be accomplished by surveying all proposed routes by soil scientists, for soil erosion potential, i.e. soil type and slope, and percent of rock. An analysis of which routes can be designated the will cause the least impact to soil resources. Routes will not be designated in areas where highly erosive soils on steep slopes exist. Once routes are designated they will be regularly monitored for soil erosion.

Water Quality. Planning for the designation of OHV routes includes a water resources survey by hydrologists, for all proposed routes. Routes will not be proposed that parallel riparian areas or go through meadows or steep areas that have highly erosive soils which could cause damage to water resources.

Special Species Status. No known Special Specie Status exist. Should a species of special status be determined then trail designation will not occur unless mitigation for the species can be developed making designation possible.

Cultural Resources. All proposed routes are being surveyed on the ground by professional archeologists for cultural resources. Information gathered from these surveys will assist us in determining which trails to designate. Trails that cannot be re-routed away form the cultural resource sites will not be designated.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	----	-------------------	----	---------

3. OHV impacts or potential impacts to be addressed -			
The Planning Project would address the following: <i>(Check all that apply)</i>			
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on natural or cultural resources.		
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses.		
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands.		
	Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents.		
X	Toxic or hazardous materials within a Project area or adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation.		
X	Trail issues such as traffic patterns, trails closures, appropriate uses, etc.		
<p>Briefly explain how the Project would address each item checked above:</p> <p>Planning for the designation of OHV routes will take all important natural resources into account prior to designation. Resource surveys are being initiated for soils, water, archeological, botany and wildlife. When all of the survey data has been completed an analysis will be completed to determine which OHV routes can be designated while lessening impacts to the resources. All potential affects and mitigation measures will be documented in an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).</p> <p>During the planning for OHV route designation the potential effects to other recreation users will be taken into consideration. OHV routes are not being planned in the vicinity of developed camping sites, swimming areas, on hiking or equestrian trails or for areas currently closed to motor vehicles.</p> <p>In the planning process for OHV route designation potential effects of conflict with adjacent lands regardless of ownership will be reviewed. The Forest is reviewing where current Rights of Ways (ROW) exist in relationships to other land ownerships as well as noting where complaints of OHV use on National Forest Land is occurring by adjacent landowners and local residents. All of these factors plus input by members of the public both for and against OHV use will be reviewed in this planning effort prior to final designation of OHV routes.</p> <p>No toxic or hazardous materials exist within the project area that would prevent the designation of OHV routes or impact the OHV recreation opportunity that exists.</p> <p>No known trail issues such as traffic patterns, trails closure, etc. exist that would prevent the designation of OHV routes or lessen the OHV opportunity.</p>			
Scoring: 1 point each for a maximum of 6 points.			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	6
Applicant addressed relationship between OHV Recreation and local residents in narrative, therefore 1 point was added.			

4. Integration of Planning into OHV program management -	
Project would result in coordination of OHV program management activities in the following ways: <i>(Check all that apply.)</i>	
X	Ensuring that different organizational units are consistent in program implementation.
X	Applicant would act as Liaison between the Division and regional or state offices and their organizational subdivisions.
X	Applicant provides responsibility for an organization's OHV strategic plan.
X	Applicant ensures the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements for their agency.
X	Applicant coordinates agency employee and volunteer training.
In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each of the above.	
<p>The Plumas National Forest is actively working with the following organizations to ensure that the best possible combination and number and length of routes are designated;</p> <p>Lassen National Forest Tahoe National Forest Blue Ribbon Coalition Sierra Access Coalition Paradise Ridge Runners California Four Wheel Drive Association California/Nevada Snowmobile Association Plumas Co Sheriff Department California Highway Patrol Plumas County Road Department Butte County Road Department California Department of Recreation, OHV Division California Wilderness Coalition</p> <p>We are working closely will the above mentioned organizations as well as numerous members of the public to ensure consistent program implementation. The Plumas NF is working closely with both the Lassen and Tahoe National Forests. Each forest borders the Plumas and has routes that traverse each Forest. We are working with each to ensure that the routes will be open across National Forest boundaries, that they will be similarly signed with similar enforcement regulations.</p> <p>The Plumas National Forest acts as a liaison between the OHV Division, regional and/or state offices and the organizational subdivisions. The Plumas National Forest has resolved winter snow grooming operational issues with PNF snow groomers, and the OHV Division, as well as billing and audit issues between the Department/Division as well as assisting the Division in resolving issues between other National Forests and the USFS Regional Office.</p> <p>The Plumas National Forest, Forest Supervisor, is directly responsible for developing and implementing the OHV Route Designation plan.</p> <p>The Plumas National Forest has enjoyed a very successful relationship with the CA OHV division since 1987. We have successfully prepared and implemented OHV Division Grants of all types during this timeframe.</p> <p>The Plumas NF provides employee training in the following areas, trail maintenance, trail and staging area signing, facility maintenance, reporting, and public education.</p> <p>We also provide training for volunteer groups who accomplish OHV trail maintenance. Specific training includes chainsaw usage, misc. tool usage, map reading, first aid and safety, signing, trail and tread maintenance, building water drainage structures and user education.</p> <p>The Plumas NF coordinates training for two snowmobile clubs who accomplishes the Plumas' snow grooming operations. Specific training includes first aid, trail grooming, signing, user/operator education and chainsaw usage.</p>	

Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
5. Funding Source for Operational costs as a result of plan implementation - In 500 words or less, describe how future operational costs will be funded.				
<p>The Plumas National Forest will fund the management of the OHV Route Designation process through a combination of Federal and State Funding. Approximately \$289,000 total is spent yearly on management of the Plumas' summer OHV program. This includes all OHV road and trail maintenance, signing, education, and patrols. The State of California through the OHV grant program has provided approximately \$55,000 yearly, or approximately 20%. Once Route Designation is completed and due to the anticipated increase in the number of miles of routes (+300 miles) and users we estimate the cost of managing the program to be approximately \$400,000 yearly. The Plumas NF is anticipating a continued relationship with the OHV Division.</p> <p>The Plumas enjoys many hours of volunteer labor. The volunteers assist with trail maintenance, trash pickup, user education and signing of routes. In 2006 volunteers contributed 837 hours to the summer program.</p>				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Applicant's operational budget (5 points)			
	Volunteer support and/or donations (3 points)			
X	Combination of any of these (3 points)			
	Other Grant funding (2 points)			
	Funding would come entirely from future OHV Trust Funds (no points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
6. Scientific Research Planning Projects ONLY - Project would help to determine management goals or provide guidance for decision making in the following areas: (Check all that apply.)				
	OHV Program Management plan.			
	Maintenance practices.			
	Conservation practices.			
	Site specific Project planning to promote sustainable use.			
Scoring: 3 points each.				
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 43 for Scientific Research; 43 for other Planning.				

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 8, Planning Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Sequoia National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Sequoia National Forest Eshom Staging Area Development Planning	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-15-P01

PLANNING PROJECT CRITERIA

If Project is for Scientific Research, omit item 2. Other Planning Projects omit item 6.			
1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
	36% - 50% (7 points)		
X	26% - 35% (5 points)		
	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of planting native plants at the restoration site, or cash contribution to purchase of the native plants.			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5 Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and Cultural Resources should be avoided or minimized. The Planning Project would address the following potential impacts: (Check all that apply.) If the Applicant's Planning Project is Scientific Research, skip this question.			
X	Soils		
X	Water quality		
X	Special-Status Species habitat		
X	Cultural resources		
Scoring: 3 points each for a maximum of 12 points.			
In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each item checked above.			
<p>The Eshom area has generally highly erosive soils and drains into Eshom or Pierce Creek. The soil and water quality are very important to maintain within this portion of the Giant Sequoia National Monument. Stream condition inventory plots have been established on both Eshom and Pierce Creeks. Only the Pierce Creek location would be down stream of the initial proposed staging area site. The SCI plots will continue to be monitored, as applicable, to determine whether there are effects to soil and water quality after project implementation. There are known Forest Service Sensitive species in the general vicinity including the Western pond turtle, Pacific fisher, California spotted owls and Northern goshawk. There are no known botanical species of concern. The proposed staging area would be in the vicinity of a number of historic small lumber milling sites. There are also a number of prehistoric and other historic sites known in the general area as well. The cultural resources will need to be evaluated and protected. This project would design a staging area to better protect the natural and cultural resources in the general Eshom area, while creating a functional camp and gathering area for OHV users and other recreationists based on current and expected use.</p>			

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
3. OHV impacts or potential impacts to be addressed -				
The Planning Project would address the following: <i>(Check all that apply)</i>				
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on natural or cultural resources.			
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses.			
	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands.			
X	Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents.			
X	Toxic or hazardous materials within a Project area or adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation.			
X	Trail issues such as traffic patterns, trails closures, appropriate uses, etc.			
<p>Briefly explain how the Project would address each item checked above:</p> <p>Development of Eshom Staging Area would clarify where people can and cannot camp and/or ride their OHVs. The educational materials and personnel would help maintain roadways and restore the riparian areas along Eshom and Pierce Creeks. This would reduce impacts to natural and cultural resources that are being affected by the current use patterns and lack of facilities</p> <p>Planning will also consider existing and expected traffic patterns, need for seasonal closures and other recreation uses of the staging area (i.e. hunters and picnicking). The general Eshom area is also used for hunting and fishing by local residents. The staging area development will also include design features for accessibility to meet Americans with Disabilities Act standards.</p> <p>Project planning will also include educational opportunities regarding Tread Lightly OHV ethics, and protecting the local natural and cultural features. OHV and other recreation use is expected to increase at this site so the staging area will be designed to address this issue as well.</p> <p>A review of these materials will be part of the analysis.</p> <p>Planning will also consider existing and expected traffic patterns, need for seasonal closures and other recreation uses of the staging area (i.e. hunters and picnicking)</p>				
Scoring: 1 point each for a maximum of 6 points.				
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	4	Narrative does not address toxic or hazardous materials.

4. Integration of Planning into OHV program management -				
Project would result in coordination of OHV program management activities in the following ways: (Check all that apply.)				
X	Ensuring that different organizational units are consistent in program implementation.			
X	Applicant would act as Liaison between the Division and regional or state offices and their organizational subdivisions.			
X	Applicant provides responsibility for an organization's OHV strategic plan.			
X	Applicant ensures the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements for their agency.			
X	Applicant coordinates agency employee and volunteer training.			
In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each of the above.				
<p>This planning project for the Eshom Staging Area would update the management program for OHV use. It will provide a functional gathering area with designations for camping and day use, and will better protect the natural and cultural resources in the vicinity. Throughout the project analysis the interdisciplinary team leader would consult with a number of outside individuals and agencies. These include the various OHV groups, including the state OHV Division, and the local individuals, nearby property owners, and groups with whom volunteer agreements exist. Team members would work with state officials as necessary per their specialty including the state Department of Recreation for OHV, State Historic Preservation Office, and Department of Fish and Game. Completion of the planning document would provide a strategic plan to move forward with developing the staging area to meet the needs of current and anticipated use while better protecting the natural and cultural resources in the vicinity. As the project moves toward implementation, there will be opportunities to develop additional grants and cooperative agreements. As with the existing agreements, any volunteers that would help implement the project (i.e. installation) would be trained in tool use and safety.</p>				
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
5. Funding Source for Operational costs as a result of plan implementation -				
In 500 words or less, describe how future operational costs will be funded.				
<p>Future operational costs to maintain the updated Eshom Staging Area would be a mix of funding sources. Currently district appropriated funds and some volunteer efforts are used to monitor impacts and make contact with OHV enthusiasts. Through the planning process it is anticipated that more OHV user groups would become aware and interested in the Eshom Staging Area and associated OHV road system. This should lead to more extensive partnerships and agreements to implement and maintain a new staging area. The limited appropriated funding the Forest Service receives would be used to match grant funds or volunteer services to ensure the project is accomplished. If necessary, the staging area could be entered in the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act program. Under FLREA a use fee would be charged, and 95 percent of the proceeds would be reinvested back into the site for operations and maintenance.</p>				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Applicant's operational budget (5 points)			
	Volunteer support and/or donations (3 points)			
X	Combination of any of these (3 points)			
	Other Grant funding (2 points)			
	Funding would come entirely from future OHV Trust Funds (no points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

6. Scientific Research Planning Projects ONLY - Project would help to determine management goals or provide guidance for decision making in the following areas: (Check all that apply.)			
	OHV Program Management plan.		
	Maintenance practices.		
	Conservation practices.		
	Site specific Project planning to promote sustainable use.		
Scoring: 3 points each.			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0 Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 43 for Scientific Research; 43 for other Planning.			

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 8, Planning Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Sequoia National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Sequoia National Forest Kern River and Hot Springs Ranger Districts Planning	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-15-P02

PLANNING PROJECT CRITERIA

If Project is for Scientific Research, omit item 2. Other Planning Projects omit item 6.			
1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of planting native plants at the restoration site, or cash contribution to purchase of the native plants.			
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10 Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and Cultural Resources should be avoided or minimized. The Planning Project would address the following potential impacts: (Check all that apply.) If the Applicant's Planning Project is Scientific Research, skip this question.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Soils		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Water quality		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Special-Status Species habitat		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Cultural resources		
Scoring: 3 points each for a maximum of 12 points.			

In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each item checked above.

The environmental impact statement document will address all four of the resources mentioned in Step 3. All four resources will be evaluated in order to determine the direct, indirect, and cumulative effects of adding specified user-created routes to the Forest designated route system, and any proposed changes to the type of use of specified roads.

Soils as a resource will be evaluated, including the identification of effects to soil porosity and erosion potential. A soils specialist report will be completed for the EIS, and mitigation measures will be recommended to the decision maker and may be incorporated.

Water quality will be addressed by the interdisciplinary team (IDT) hydrologist. Direct effects, such as those potentially at route stream crossings, will be addressed. Indirect effects associated with sediment resulting from route use and available for transport to nearby channels, will also be reviewed and addressed. Appropriate best management practices will be recommended where appropriate for each alternative (including the proposed action). A specialist report will be developed for the EIS.

Any wildlife species, such as those endangered, threatened, or Forest Service sensitive will be addressed if there is potential for disturbance by the IDT wildlife biologist. Potential effects, such as noise disturbance, habitat degradation, or direct harm from OHV contact will be evaluated. Mitigation measures will be recommended and may be implemented where needed.

Cultural resources will be evaluated and surveyed for by the IDT archeologist in order to determine effects. A specialist report will be developed, and recommendations regarding mitigation measures will be given to the decision memo in order to comply with SHIPO requirements. Survey work would focus on proposed additions of user-created routes.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	----	-------------------	----	---------

3. OHV impacts or potential impacts to be addressed -				
The Planning Project would address the following: <i>(Check all that apply)</i>				
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on natural or cultural resources.			
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses.			
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands.			
X	Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents.			
X	Toxic or hazardous materials within a Project area or adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation.			
X	Trail issues such as traffic patterns, trails closures, appropriate uses, etc.			
<p>Briefly explain how the Project would address each item checked above:</p> <p>The effects associated with existing user-created OHV routes proposed to be added to the existing designated route system will be evaluated in a specialist report, and documented in the EIS for wildlife species and fish (and other aquatic species) and their habitat. Cultural resources will be surveyed for during the process and mitigation will be recommended where needed.</p> <p>As part of the EIS process, conflicts between OHV recreation use (conserning actions proposed) and other recreation uses in the project area will be analyzed.</p> <p>Potential indirect and cumulative effects of OHV recreation activities proposed on adjacent lands will be addressed where needed. Adjacent land includes private property and Bureau of Land Management lands.</p> <p>Changes proposed in the EIS, including the effects of adding user -created routes to the existing route system, can potentially have both beneficial and adverse effects socially to the local residents. These effects would be addressed in the EIS.</p> <p>The project area will be reviewed for toxic and/or hazardous materials. If found, recommendations will be made regarding their disposal in order to avoid harm where needed.</p> <p>As part of the EIS process, issures regarding trails have been brought to the attention of the Forest Service through public participation, as well as issues brought forward by Forest Service staff. These issues will be dressed in the EIS.</p>				
Scoring: 1 point each for a maximum of 6 points.				
Applicant Score	6	Division Findings	6	Concur.

4. Integration of Planning into OHV program management -			
Project would result in coordination of OHV program management activities in the following ways: <i>(Check all that apply.)</i>			
X	Ensuring that different organizational units are consistent in program implementation.		
X	Applicant would act as Liaison between the Division and regional or state offices and their organizational subdivisions.		
X	Applicant provides responsibility for an organization's OHV strategic plan.		
X	Applicant ensures the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements for their agency.		
X	Applicant coordinates agency employee and volunteer training.		
In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each of the above.			
<p>The project area is primarily found on the Kern River Ranger District, with a very small portion on the Hot Springs Ranger District. The Record of Decision will be signed by the Forest Supervisor and any actions that are included in that decision would be coordinated between the Supervisor's office and the affected Ranger Districts, as well as the planning phase. This will help ensure consistency.</p> <p>The Sequoia National Forest would act as the Liaison. The Supervisors Office will be the primary contact.</p> <p>The Sequoia National Forest is responsible for all strategies regarding OHV routes and facilities. Any additions/ changes to the Sequoia National Forest designated route system finalized in the Record of Decision will be implemented solely by the forest.</p> <p>The Sequoia National Forest has a very active OHV user volunteer program. Annual training for volunteers is provided at the district level.</p> <p>Throughout the project analysis the interdisciplinary team leader would consult with a number of outside individuals and agencies. These include the various OHV groups, including the state OHV Division, and the local individuals, nearby property owners, and groups with whom volunteer agreements exist. Team members would work with state officials as necessary per their specialty including the state Department of Recreation for OHV, State Historic Preservation Office, and Department of Fish and Game. Completion of the planning document would provide a strategic plan to move forward with developing the staging area to meet the needs of current and anticipated use while better protecting the natural and cultural resources in the vicinity. As the project moves toward implementation, there will be opportunities to develop additional grants and cooperative agreements. As with the existing agreements, any volunteers that would help implement the project (i.e. installation) would be trained in tool use and safety.</p>			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.			
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10 Concur.

5. Funding Source for Operational costs as a result of plan implementation -

In 500 words or less, describe how future operational costs will be funded.

Historically, funding sources for operational cost associated with designated travel routes has been a combination of Forest Service appropriated dollars and State funding, such as green sticker funds. In recent years, appropriated dollars have been minimal. Trail route maintenance typically is accomplished with a combination of efforts, including work provided by volunteers, appropriated Federal funding, and State funding through grant agreements. Costs associated with implenting changes to road use (as described in the EIS purpose and need and proposed action) will be paid for primarily through Federal appropriated dollars.

The cost of maintaining the routes reviewed in the EIS (including those included in the proposed action and those included in each alternative) will be determined. The EIS Responsible Official (Forest Supervisor) wll consider Maintenance costs when she makes her decision conserning alternatives.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	Applicant's operational budget (5 points)			
	Volunteer support and/or donations (3 points)			
X	Combination of any of these (3 points)			
	Other Grant funding (2 points)			
	Funding would come entirely from future OHV Trust Funds (no points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

6. Scientific Research Planning Projects ONLY - Project would help to determine management goals or provide guidance for decision making in the following areas:

(Check all that apply.)

	OHV Program Management plan.			
	Maintenance practices.			
	Conservation practices.			
	Site specific Project planning to promote sustainable use.			

Scoring: 3 points each.

Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 43 for Scientific Research; 43 for other Planning.

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 8, Planning Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Sequoia National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Sequoia National Forest Millwood Staging Area Redesign Planning	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-15-P03

PLANNING PROJECT CRITERIA

If Project is for Scientific Research, omit item 2. Other Planning Projects omit item 6.			
1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
	36% - 50% (7 points)		
X	26% - 35% (5 points)		
	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of planting native plants at the restoration site, or cash contribution to purchase of the native plants.			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5 Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and Cultural Resources should be avoided or minimized. The Planning Project would address the following potential impacts: (Check all that apply.) If the Applicant's Planning Project is Scientific Research, skip this question.			
X	Soils		
X	Water quality		
X	Special-Status Species habitat		
X	Cultural resources		
Scoring: 3 points each for a maximum of 12 points.			

In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each item checked above.

The Millwood Staging was developed in 1995 under the Mill Timber Sale to reduce recreation impacts to the riparian areas along Mill Flat Creek. Steel barriers were installed to protect stream banks. A restroom and two camp sites were established to also help keep the public from using the willow clumps and stream banks for camping. Much of the riparian vegetation has been restored. However, there are a few areas where increased use of the site has been causing denuded drainages and erosion of soil on the hillsides leading directly into Mill Flat Creek. The Millwood Staging area is on fairly erosive soils and straddles Mill Flat Creek, a Critical Aquatic Refuge (CAR). The soil and water quality are very important to maintain within the CAR. A stream condition inventory plot was established in 2001 down stream of this site. The plot will continue to be monitored to determine whether there are effects to soil and water quality after project implementation. Mill Flat Creek CAR has two Forest Service Sensitive species: the Western pond turtle and the hardhead that need to have habitat protection. There is also habitat for Pacific fisher and potentially for willow flycatchers as well. There are no known botanical species of concern. The staging area also sits on top of the old Millwood lumber town site. This town was abandoned in the early 1900s, materials salvaged for other lumber mills, and then the remains burned in the McGee Fire of 1955. Though the remains were buried in a pit after the fire, there are cultural resources that need to be evaluated and protected.

This project would redesign the staging area to better protect the natural and cultural resources, while creating a functional camp and gathering area for OHV users and other recreationists based on current and expected use.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	----	-------------------	----	---------

3. OHV impacts or potential impacts to be addressed -				
The Planning Project would address the following: <i>(Check all that apply)</i>				
X		Potential effects of OHV Recreation on natural or cultural resources.		
X		Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses.		
		Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands.		
		Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents.		
		Toxic or hazardous materials within a Project area or adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation.		
X		Trail issues such as traffic patterns, trails closures, appropriate uses, etc.		
<p>Briefly explain how the Project would address each item checked above:</p> <p>Maintaining and redesigning portions of Millwood Staging Area would help restore the side drainages leading into Mill Flat Creek, and clarify where people can and cannot camp and/or ride their OHVs. This would reduce impacts to natural and cultural resources that are being affected by the current location of the facilities and use patterns. Design features will be developed to reduce or eliminate negative impacts to soil and water quality, riparian habitat and heritage resources</p> <p>Project planning will also include additional educational opportunities regarding Tread Lightly OHV ethics, and protecting the local natural and cultural features. OHV and other recreation use is expected to increase at this site so the redesign will address this issue as well. The decisions on whether to redesign or maintain portions of the staging area will depend on the need to restore or protect natural or cultural features</p> <p>Planning will also consider existing and expected traffic patterns, need for seasonal closures and other recreation uses of the staging area (i.e. equestrian and picnicking). The Millwood Staging Area is also used for hunting and fishing by local residents and visitors. Improvements to the staging area will also include making it more fully accessible to meet Americans with Disabilities Act standards.</p>				
Scoring: 1 point each for a maximum of 6 points.				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Integration of Planning into OHV program management -				
Project would result in coordination of OHV program management activities in the following ways: (Check all that apply.)				
	Ensuring that different organizational units are consistent in program implementation.			
X	Applicant would act as Liaison between the Division and regional or state offices and their organizational subdivisions.			
X	Applicant provides responsibility for an organization's OHV strategic plan.			
X	Applicant ensures the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements for their agency.			
X	Applicant coordinates agency employee and volunteer training.			
In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each of the above.				
<p>The planning project for the Millwood Staging area would update the management program fo OHV use. It will provide a more functional gathering area with clearer designations for camping and day use, and will better protect the natural and cultural resources in the vicinity. Throughout the project analysis the interdisciplinary team leader would consult with a number of outside individuals and agencies. These includ various OHV groups, including the state OHV Division, and local individuals and groups with whom volunteer agreements exist. Team memmbers would work with state officials as necessary per their socialty including the state department of Recreation for OHV State Histroic Preservation Office, and Department of Fish and Game. Completion of the planning docuement would provide a strategic plan to move forward with upgrading the staging area to meet the needs of current and aticipated use while better protecting the natural and cultural resources in the vicinity. As the prject moves toward implementation, there will be opportunities to develop additional grants and cooperative agreements. As with the existing agreements, any volunteers incloved with implementing the project (i.e.installation) would be trained in tool use and safety.</p>				
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.				
Applicant Score	8	Division Findings	8	Concur.
5. Funding Source for Operational costs as a result of plan implementation -				
In 500 words or less, describe how future operational costs will be funded.				
<p>Future operational costs to maintain the updated Millwood Staging Area would be a mix of funding sources, similar to the current situation. The annual maintenance is currently conducted using district appropriated funds and some volunteer efforts. Through the planning process it is anticipated that more OHV user groups woudl become aware and interested in the Millwood Staging area both to accomp;lish maintenance work, but also to compete for grants for periodic major repairs. The limited appropriated funding the Forest Service receives would be used for the more major problems (such as washouts) wher heavy equipment or speialized expertise is needed. If necessary, the saging area could be entered in the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act program, Under FLREA a use fee woudl be charged, and 95 percent of the proceeds would be reinvested into the site.</p>				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	Applicant's operational budget (5 points)			
	Volunteer support and/or donations (3 points)			
X	Combination of any of these (3 points)			
	Other Grant funding (2 points)			
	Funding would come entirely from future OHV Trust Funds (no points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

6. Scientific Research Planning Projects ONLY - Project would help to determine management goals or provide guidance for decision making in the following areas: (Check all that apply.)				
	OHV Program Management plan.			
	Maintenance practices.			
	Conservation practices.			
	Site specific Project planning to promote sustainable use.			
Scoring: 3 points each.				
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 43 for Scientific Research; 43 for other Planning.				

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 8, Planning Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Sierra National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Sierra National Forest Planning	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-17-P01

PLANNING PROJECT CRITERIA

If Project is for Scientific Research, omit item 2. Other Planning Projects omit item 6.			
1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	51% or more (10 points)		
	36% - 50% (7 points)		
	26% - 35% (5 points)		
	25% (3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of planting native plants at the restoration site, or cash contribution to purchase of the native plants.			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0 Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Impacts to natural and Cultural Resources should be avoided or minimized. The Planning Project would address the following potential impacts: (Check all that apply.) If the Applicant's Planning Project is Scientific Research, skip this question.			
X	Soils		
X	Water quality		
X	Special-Status Species habitat		
X	Cultural resources		
Scoring: 3 points each for a maximum of 12 points.			
In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each item checked above.			
Adverse impacts are occurring as a result of lack of design ad Kamook Staging Area and Lone Sequoia, both located at Miami Motorcycle Area. Soils are moving toward stream courses with special status species habitat and heritage resources are impacted with vehicle use and soil movement. An appropriate design would reduce the size of Kamook Staging area, moving the traffic flow to best utilize space, manage visitors in their choices where relocate around the sensitive cultural resource sites and upgrade the dispersed concentrated use areas in to managed campsites. An appropriate design for Lone Sequoia would manage the visitors to not camp or travel near the stream courses. The designs will be compliant with NEPA and therefore be ready for future submissions as potential development and restoration projects. Our goal is to provide opportunities for good choices for recreation/OHV visitors, setting precedence for a well managed sustainable OHV recreation experience. FS Handbook 7309.11 Buildings and Related Facilities.			
Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12 Concur.

3. OHV impacts or potential impacts to be addressed -			
The Planning Project would address the following: <i>(Check all that apply)</i>			
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on natural or cultural resources.		
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses.		
X	Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands.		
X	Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents.		
	Toxic or hazardous materials within a Project area or adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation.		
	Trail issues such as traffic patterns, trails closures, appropriate uses, etc.		
<p>Briefly explain how the Project would address each item checked above:</p> <p>Current use of Kamook and Loan Sequoia Staging Areas evolved overtime and both are unplanned. Soils are moving toward stream courses and heritage resources are impacted with vehicle use. Reference: BMPs and Water Quality Management for National Forest System Lands in California, September 2000; National Forest Historic Preservation Act, OHV Heritage Programmatic Agreement.</p> <p>This project will address impacts through managing traffic flows, off loading areas and campsites, benefiting other types of recreationist as well.</p> <p>Project is site specific and will protect adjacent private property by establishing designated staging/camping areas prohibiting use in sensitive areas and managing traffic flow will protect residents, private property and adjacent land owners from noise trespass and private property damage. FS Handbook 7309.11, Building and Related Facilities.</p> <p>Project is site specific and will protect adjacent private property by establishing designated staging/camping areas prohibiting use in sensitive areas and managing traffic flow will protect residents, private property and adjacent land owners from noise trespass and private property damage</p>			
Scoring: 1 point each for a maximum of 6 points.			
Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4 Concur.

4. Integration of Planning into OHV program management -			
Project would result in coordination of OHV program management activities in the following ways: (Check all that apply.)			
X	Ensuring that different organizational units are consistent in program implementation.		
X	Applicant would act as Liaison between the Division and regional or state offices and their organizational subdivisions.		
X	Applicant provides responsibility for an organization's OHV strategic plan.		
X	Applicant ensures the appropriate preparation and implementation of Grants and Cooperative Agreements for their agency.		
X	Applicant coordinates agency employee and volunteer training.		
In 500 words or less, explain how the Project would address each of the above.			
<p>This planning project is one phase of the District's OHV program management for areas of concentrated use. SNF has a vast history of experience with project design and completion of complex environmental analysis throughout each phase.</p> <p>Subsequent phases will be included in the District's Designated OHV Route System through the Access Travel Management Strategy (ATM) (a Forest Service OHV strategic plan) on going now. As each phase evolves, the employees will be involved. Forest Service requires appropriate training for successful job performance, public education and health and safety.</p> <p>Funding from allocated Forest Service dollars and other sources (grants, etc.) requires appropriate project preparation and implementation. Agency personnel (OHV Specialists) are responsible for planning, implementation and on the ground quality control. It is the commitment of each specialist to accomplish these responsibilities with a high degree of integrity and respect for the resources. Coordination with different organizations and units, coordination with regional or state officials and through organizational subdivisions, local agencies, and private citizens will be on going, and important to good sustained OHV management. The Bass Lake Ranger District and Sierra NF have been applying and have received many OHV grants since the early 1980s.</p>			
Scoring: 2 points each for a maximum of 10 points.			
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10 Concur.
5. Funding Source for Operational costs as a result of plan implementation -			
In 500 words or less, describe how future operational costs will be funded.			
The results of the project document for this grant request will determine the amount of costs required to implement this project. Allocated Forest Service dollars, OHV State grant requests and other funding sources will be sought to implement this project.			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
	Applicant's operational budget (5 points)		
	Volunteer support and/or donations (3 points)		
X	Combination of any of these (3 points)		
	Other Grant funding (2 points)		
	Funding would come entirely from future OHV Trust Funds (no points)		
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3 Concur.

6. Scientific Research Planning Projects ONLY - Project would help to determine management goals or provide guidance for decision making in the following areas: (Check all that apply.)			
	OHV Program Management plan.		
	Maintenance practices.		
	Conservation practices.		
	Site specific Project planning to promote sustainable use.		
Scoring: 3 points each.			
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0 Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 43 for Scientific Research; 43 for other Planning.			

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)**

Applicant:	BLM Arcata Field Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM Arcata Field Office Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-02-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more (10 points)			
	36% - 50% (7 points)			
	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain erosion control features (1 point)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use (1 point)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes (1 point)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Site design precludes the need for the above measures (3 points)			
Briefly explain each item checked above:				
Road maintenance activities include crowning, inboard ditching, and outlets, all of which reduce erosion. The OHV trails in the dunes have thus far not required erosion control features.				
Post and cable barriers completely surround the endangered plant protection area and wetlands protection area to prevent OHV use in these closed areas. Several short segments (ranging from 20 feet to 100 feet) in the foredunes are prone to being covered by shifting sand, and the posts need to be elevated (averaging twice per year). An average of five times per year a vehicle incidentally knocks over one to five posts and they usually require replacement. Refer to photo section for evidence of barrier maintenance.				
As stated in the Environmental Assessment, if monitoring indicates the presence of any rare, threatened, or endangered plants outside the protected enclosures, the barriers will be immediately relocated to encompass these sensitive resources and the additional site closed to OHV use.				
All sensitive resources have been completely barricaded and closed to OHV use. Annual monitoring results indicate no need to re-route any of the OHV trails.				
Scoring: Maximum of 3 points				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

3. Staff qualifications -

a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:

The BLM Outdoor Recreation Planner will supervision trail maintenance work. He has 25 years of experience in direct supervision of road and trail maintenance activities.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

b. Staff commitment -

Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:

The BLM Outdoor Recreation Planner (ORP) conducts field trips to Samoa Dunes at least twice per week, partially to inspect the trails and adjacent barriers for maintenance needs. The BLM Outdoor Recreation Planner writes contracts for trail maintenance and the BLM Engineer writes contracts for road maintenance. The BLM ORP is on-site as the contract work is being done. The BLM ORP and BLM Maintenance Worker repair and replace barriers as needed. Relevant contract documentation is available at the BLM Arcata Office

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?

<input type="checkbox"/>	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes (Check all that apply.)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Soil composition (2 points)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Erosion control features (2 points)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Signs/barriers (2 points)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Type of use (2 points)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

1. Name: "Trail Maintenance Plan"

Date of Publication: July 21, 2007

A copy of the plan is attached as "OHV Form M"

2. Name: "Samoa OHV Trail Monitoring 2006"

Date: 5/30/06

Plan located at BLM Arcata Field Office

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	-----------	-------------------	-----------	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	100% (5 points)
	75% (4 points)
	50% (3 points)
X	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

No recycled materials are planned to be used for trail maintenance activities.

Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)

Applicant:	BLM Hollister Field Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM Hollister Field Office Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-11-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain erosion control features (1 point)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use (1 point)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes (1 point)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Site design precludes the need for the above measures (3 points)			

Briefly explain each item checked above:

All existing erosion control features are maintained as the routes are graded or maintained in any way. When necessary, culverts and hardened stream crossings will be replaced or upgraded. Water bars and rolling dips will be reconstructed as necessary, either by hand tools on single track trails, or by machine on those routes being maintained by heavy equipment.

Please see Baseline Documents for Project # OR-1-H-25: January 2006 Record of Decision, Section 3 and Appendix D; and Recurring and Corrective Maintenance Protocol and Environmental Assessment for Route Maintenance in the Clear Creek Management Area in its entirety.

Also, please refer to Trail Maintenance General Project Description and PCD for a more in-depth discussion of the project and materials.

Resumes and training certifications are available for staff upon request from BLM Hollister Field Office.

All existing physical barriers are maintained as the routes are graded, worked by hand, or maintained in any way. When necessary, fencing, log structures, camouflaging (vertical and horizontal mulching) and rock structures are placed, replaced or maintained.

Please see Baseline Documents for Project # OR-1-H-25: January 2006 Record of Decision, Section 3 and Appendix D; and Recurring and Corrective Maintenance Protocol and Environmental Assessment for Route Maintenance in the Clear Creek Management Area in its entirety.

Also, please refer to Trail Maintenance General Project Description and PCD for a more in-depth discussion of the project and materials.

Resumes and training certifications are available for staff upon request from BLM Hollister Field Office.

Scoring: Maximum of 3 points

Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.
-----------------	---	-------------------	---	---------

3. Staff qualifications -

a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:

Overall scheduling and coordination for trail maintenance would be handled by the Trails Coordinator, who has over 5 years of trail and road maintenance experience working with volunteers, SWECO trail machine work, brushing and hand tool work and trail design and layout. The Trails Coordinator would be supported in logistics and heavy equipment technical expertise by the Implementation Supervisor, who has over 15 years of trail and road maintenance experience operating heavy equipment.

Resumes and training certifications are available for staff upon request from BLM Hollister Field Office.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

b. Staff commitment -

Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:

Overall scheduling and coordination for trail maintenance would be handled by the Trails Coordinator, who has over 5 years of trail and road maintenance experience. The Trails Coordinator would be supported by logistics and heavy equipment technical expertise by the Implementation Supervisor, who has over 15 years of trail and road maintenance experience.

Three equipment operators will be dedicated to the project for the season, with operations continuing on a bulldozer, grader and SWECO trail machine. The Trails Coordinator will occasionally fill in on the SWECO when necessary. The equipment operators would also lay the gravel.

Laborers and Park Rangers will assist the Trails Coordinator in physical barrier construction, hand work on the single track trails, shuttling materials and equipment, signing, work with volunteers and downed tree and brush removal.

Also, please refer to Trail Maintenance General Project Description, specifically the Timeline For Completion, and PC/D for a more in-depth discussion of the project and materials.

Specific logistics are highly dependant upon adequate soil moisture and an exact day to day work plan this far in advance cannot be anticipated.

Resumes and training certifications are available for staff upon request from BLM Hollister Field Office.

The Park Rangers listed in the PC/D are dedicated solely to the OHV program at Clear Creek Management Area.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?	
	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
X	Yes (Check all that apply.)
X	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
X	Soil composition (2 points)
	Erosion control features (2 points)
	Signs/barriers (2 points)
X	Type of use (2 points)
X	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

Terrain, including slopes: A comprehensive terrain and slope inventory is documented in the "Road Erosion Inventory and Action Plan for the Clear Creek Management Area" (Pacific Watershed Associates, 1995) in Section B as well as Tables 7 and 8, and section VI. This document is available in hard copy form from BLM Hollister Field Office upon request.

Soil Composition: Soil and bedrock geology was analyzed in the document "Road Erosion Inventory and Action Plan for the Clear Creek Management Area" (Pacific Watershed Associates, 1995) in Section II.A and II.B. This document is available in hard copy form from BLM Hollister Field Office upon request. This data is also portrayed in Baseline Document (January 2006 Record of Decision) for Project # OR-1-H-25 in the Appendix A tables.

Type of Use: Type of use was analyzed and assigned under the Route Designation process for the January 2006 Record of Decision which can be found in the Appendix A tables with Baseline Document Project # OR-1-H-25.

Level of Difficulty: The level of difficulty for the designated routes was assigned during the GPS inventory process and is available as part of an integral layer in the GIS Database Attribute Tables. These tables are available at BLM Hollister Field Office upon request.

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	8	Division Findings	8	Concur.
-----------------	---	-------------------	---	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	100% (5 points)
X	75% (4 points)
	50% (3 points)
	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

The majority of the materials requested under this grant is gravel.

The product is a Class 2 Baserock, which consists of 100% recycled concrete and asphalt.

Product will be purchased from Assured Aggregates Recycling Plant at: 3096 Imjin Rd Marina, CA 93933 Tel# (831)884-9207

For the other items, route markers, decals, fence materials and motorcycle safety gear, no sources could be found for recycled versions of the products.

Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
-----------------	---	-------------------	---	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)**

Applicant:	BLM Palm Springs South Coast Field Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM Palm Springs South Coast Field Office Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-13-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more	(10 points)		
X	36% - 50%	(7 points)		
	26% - 35%	(5 points)		
	25%	(3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	7	Division Findings	7	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
X	Maintain erosion control features (1 point)			
X	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use (1 point)			
X	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes (1 point)			
	Site design precludes the need for the above measures (3 points)			
Briefly explain each item checked above:				
<p>On the primary routes, mechanical devices would be used to maintain condition of route surface for improved water drainage and safe passage. Emphasis would be a individual sites along designated routes with intensive damage. Damaged routes and adjacent disturbance may be contoured to match road conditions or the natural contours of the landscape, as needed.</p> <p>To prevent vehicles from leaving designated routes of travel barricades may be installed along designated routes of travel. Barricades may consist of a row of large rocks and boulders to deter use in especially fragile areas. Rocks for barricades would be collected from the local area.</p> <p>Within the NECO plan, a data layer containing a list of closed and open routes exist. If a designated route is impacted by unforeseen events and a re-route is necessary a closed route may be utilized. Prior to this all actions environmental analysis and cultural inventories requirements must be meant in regards to NEPA.</p>				
Scoring: Maximum of 3 points				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

3. Staff qualifications -

a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:

The Trail Maintenance Program within the Palm Springs-South Coast Field Office will be under the supervision of the Lands, Minerals and Recreation Manager. Within this division, there is a Wilderness Coordinator with five years of trail experience, an Off-Highway Vehicle Coordinator with over six years of trail management, and two maintenance personnel with over ten years of heavy equipment operations. Each of these individuals will provide input to the program depending on the level of difficulty. A member of the recreation department will be project lead over seasonal and term positions, interns and volunteers operating within the Trail Maintenance Program.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

X	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

b. Staff commitment -

Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:

Both the Wilderness and Off-Highway Vehicle Coordinator positions play a vital role in the protection of public lands. The PSSC FO manages a network of routes and cherry stem roads, surrounding wilderness units. Through proper signing and monitoring programs, a reduction of illegal intrusions by recreational motor vehicles occurs. The majority of fieldwork is focused at these issues.

Each maintenance operator is dedicated to project areas, but will be assist when road maintenance utilizing heavy equipment is necessary.

Each of the following job positions requires a level of fieldwork vs. office requirements. The majority of work associated with the

Wilderness Coordinator 60/40

Off-Highway Vehicle Coordinator 80/20

Maintenance Operator 10/90

Maintenance Operator 5/95

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

X	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?	
	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
X	Yes (<i>Check all that apply.</i>)
X	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
X	Soil composition (2 points)
	Erosion control features (2 points)
X	Signs/barriers (2 points)
X	Type of use (2 points)
	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

The California Desert Conservation Area Plan Amendment for the Coachella Valley (CDCA-CV) 2002,
<http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/planning.1.html>

The Northern and Eastern Colorado Desert Coordinated Management Plan (NECO) 2002,
<http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/planning.1.html>

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	8	Division Findings	8	Concur.
-----------------	---	-------------------	---	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (*Check the one most appropriate.*)

	100% (5 points)
X	75% (4 points)
	50% (3 points)
	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

More materials are available on the market to replace the use of wood or metal in signing programs. A nationwide program introduced through the BLM National Sign Center has implemented a nationwide program for increasing the use of recycled material. Signs made with this material similar to "Trex" are a unique combination of reclaimed wood, straws and grasses, and plastic. The plastic protects the wood product from moisture, insect damage, rotting and splintering, and the wood protects the plastic from UV damage. The benefit of this product has reduced the overall cost of the signing program; it has also reduced the frequency of replacement of stolen steel and aluminum signs, which have a high recycling value and signs stand up to weather and remain longer in use.

http://www.blm.gov/wy/st/en/Sign_Center.html

Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
-----------------	---	-------------------	---	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)

Applicant:	BLM Redding Field Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM Redding Field Office Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-14-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more (10 points)			
	36% - 50% (7 points)			
	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain erosion control features (1 point)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use (1 point)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes (1 point)			
	Site design precludes the need for the above measures (3 points)			
Briefly explain each item checked above:				
<p>All erosion control features including culverts, rolling dips, grade reversals, and outslopes would be maintained. Any disturbed slopes would be stabilized with geo-netting, straw wattles, straw, and would be planted with native seeds. A more thorough description of equipment and methods used can be found in the Trail Maintenance Project Description.</p> <p>All gates, boulders, fences and other physical barriers used to control OHV use near any sensitive natural or cultural resources would be maintained or improved. New barriers would be constructed if needed.</p> <p>If it is determined that reroutes are needed, prior to construction the areas would be surveyed by staff specialists (botanist, biologist, archaeologists) to ensure that there would be no impacts on natural or cultural resources. Any NEPA documentation required for a reroute would be completed prior to construction.</p>				
Scoring: Maximum of 3 points				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

3. Staff qualifications -

a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:

The Redding Field Office OHV Coordinator will direct all trail maintenance work. This individual has extensive experience in the areas of OHV trail desing, construction and maintenance. Qualifications include Trails Unlimited beggining and advanced trail construction training using mecanized equipment, over 600 combined hours using the SWECO trail tractor and mini-excavator during the maintenance and construction of OHV trails in a wide variety of terrain, supervising 4 and 6 person handcrews in the design and construcion of OHV trail structures including bridges, puncheons, retaining walls, french drains and culverts.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

X	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

b. Staff commitment -

Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:

The field office OHV Coordinator, Park Ranger, and Operations staff members (including equipment operators) would complete the trail maintenance. Duties would include clearing brush from the sides of trails and stabilizing any disturbed slopes with geo-netting, straw wattles, and native seeds. Equipment operators would be responsible for running any equipment needed such as a SWECO, backhoe, excavator, grader, or other equipment as needed. The installation of any barriers such as gates or fences would be a team effort.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
X	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?

	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)			
X	Yes (Check all that apply.)			
	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)			
	Soil composition (2 points)			
	Erosion control features (2 points)			
X	Signs/barriers (2 points)			
X	Type of use (2 points)			
X	Level of difficulty (2 points)			

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

Document Name:

\\blm\dfs\ca\re\pub\gisimage\gis\development\basic\recreation\trails.mdb\OHV_Trails\ohv_trails

Date of Publication: 9/15/2007

Location: BLM Redding Field Office, available upon request

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	6	Division Findings	6	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: **(Check the one most appropriate.)**

	100% (5 points)
	75% (4 points)
	50% (3 points)
X	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

Recycled wood products will be used in the repair and construction of barriers along OHV trails.

Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)

Applicant:	BLM Ridgecrest Field Office	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	BLM Ridgecrest Field Office Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-01-15-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more	(10 points)		
X	36% - 50%	(7 points)		
	26% - 35%	(5 points)		
	25%	(3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	7	Division Findings	7	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
X	Maintain erosion control features (1 point)			
X	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use (1 point)			
X	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes (1 point)			
	Site design precludes the need for the above measures (3 points)			

Briefly explain each item checked above:

BLM policy requires NEPA documentation and inventory for all surface disturbance projects and undertakings prior to the commencement of any and all projects. Ridgecrest Field Office performs all route maintenance and restoration projects under guidance of the Ridgecrest Resource Area-Wide Maintenance & Surface Restoration Environmental Assessment NEPA96-70 and in compliance with the US Fish and Wildlife Service's Biological Opinion for the aforementioned environmental assessment.

All aspects of routine route maintenance includes the installation or maintenance of existing erosion control features to prevent and eliminate the effects of erosion and degradation to all natural, cultural, and historic resources.

Maintaining physical barriers to control OHV encroachment and impacts are also a vital part of routine route maintenance efforts and is used when minimum efforts fail.

All reroutes, new construction and reconstruction of any segment of a route is not covered under the existing programmatic environmental assessment and requires a complete environmental review including cultural (Section 106) and biological resources inventory as well as Fish and Wildlife consultation and concurrence (Section 7) if listed species or habitats exist in the project area. The Ridgecrest Field Office follows these regulations and no new surface disturbance is initiated without this documentation.

A copy of this EA is on file with the CA Department of Parks and Recreation, OHMVR Division and available from the Ridgecrest Field Office.

Scoring: Maximum of 3 points

Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

3. Staff qualifications -

a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:

The Ridgecrest Field Office Operations and Maintenance Lead has extensive training and experience in all aspects of route maintenance and construction and with twenty plus years of implementation experience. His most recent experiences in this field of work include the past nine years working for the BLM here at the Ridgecrest Field Office and prior to this he worked for seven years at the China Lake Naval Weapons Center (Ridgecrest, CA) gaining more operations and maintenance experience. Along with these qualifications he also possesses various certificates of training including, Basic Trail Construction and Design (USFS), Advanced OHV Trail Design (USFS), Advanced Heavy Equipment Operation (USFS), National Trails Association Construction and Design (USPS). Position Description and Resume available from the Ridgecrest Field Office.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

b. Staff commitment -

Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:

We use a large cadre of staff, volunteers and contractors to perform our trail maintenance efforts throughout the year. Most work is performed from October through May. Our full time staff members that work on the trail system include:

Operations and Maintenance Lead: coordinates and schedules efforts, operates heavy equipment, and conducts signing efforts.

Heavy Operator: operates heavy equipment such as dozers and graders, and conducts signing efforts.

Park Rangers: operate Gannon Box scrapers, Rock Rakes, sign routes, and conduct Soil Monitoring.

The contract Trail Maintenance Team consists of two members that work year round. These crew members primarily operate our SWECO 480 trail dozer and rock rake but they can operate all other forms of heavy equipment including dozers, graders, and gannon box scrapers. Additionally, these individuals conduct trail signing efforts as well.

The final group of individuals that works on our trails is a small group of especially hardworking and dedicated volunteers. These volunteers are willing to work on all facets of facility maintenance and especially enjoy installing trail signs and operating heavy equipment to remove whoops and improve the trail tread.

Trail Maintenance Team contract on file at Ridgecrest Office
Position Descriptions are on file at the Ridgecrest Field Office

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
X	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?

	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
X	Yes (Check all that apply.)
X	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
X	Soil composition (2 points)
X	Erosion control features (2 points)
X	Signs/barriers (2 points)
X	Type of use (2 points)
	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

Soil Condition Table (OHV Form G), this document is included with this Grant Proposal.

All Off Highway Vehicle routes to be maintained within the Ridgecrest Field Office Management Area have been inventoried and this data is reflected in the Soil Monitoring records maintained by the Ridgecrest Field Office OHV Program.

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (<i>Check the one most appropriate.</i>)				
	100% (5 points)			
	75% (4 points)			
	50% (3 points)			
X	49% or less (no score)			
<p>Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.</p> <p>In general this project is not able to use recycled materials due to the lack such materials being available for the task at hand and due to the small and remote town of Ridgecrest. We inquired by telephone with our two main suppliers of route markers and they indicated that they did not use recycled materials in the production of their sign post.</p>				
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)**

Applicant:	Santa Clara County Parks and Recreation Department	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	Santa Clara County Parks and Recreation Department Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-03-19-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more	(10 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50%	(7 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35%	(5 points)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	25%	(3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain erosion control features	(1 point)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use	(1 point)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes	(1 point)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Site design precludes the need for the above measures	(3 points)		
Briefly explain each item checked above:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Maintaining 12 sediment control basins and continued implementation of the erosion and sedimentation control plan that are part of the Motorcycle Park Interim Natural Resource Management Plan prepared by Departmental biologists and on file at the Department Administrative Offices. Continued implementation of the Departmental Trail Maintenance Manual prepared by Departmental Natural Resources Management staff and on file at the Department Administrative Offices. · Maintaining physical barriers to volunteer trails or areas with sensitive resources and/or habits as delineated in the Motorcycle Park Interim Natural Resource Management Plan prepared by Departmental biologists and on file at the Department Administrative Offices. · Maintaining existing trail reroutes for protection of areas with sensitive resources as noted in the Motorcycle Park Interim Natural Resource Management Plan prepared by Departmental biologists and on file at the Department Administrative Offices. · Maintaining and fine tuning Park site design so as to minimize the need for barriers, erosion control features, and protection of sensitive resources as noted in the Motorcycle Park Interim Natural Resource Management Plan prepared by Departmental biologists and on file at the Department Administrative Offices. 				

Scoring: Maximum of 3 points				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

3. Staff qualifications -

a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:

The Natural Resource Manager/Program Supervisor and Trail Crew Senior Park Maintenance Worker have completed the State Park trail training (4 sessions/modules). They have also completed fire road/trail maintenance conducted by Wildland Solutions. They have continued their knowledge in trails by attending trails workshops and conferences.

The Natural Resource Manager/Program Supervisor completed West Valley College's Park Management Program, which included trail layout, design, construction and maintenance.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

b. Staff commitment -

Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:

As noted in the Trail Maintenance Manual and the Trail Maintenance Plan for Motorcycle County Park on file at the Department Administration Offices, the following are the scheduling and responsibilities for the Trail Maintenance program:

Single track trails and signs are maintained annually usually in April or May depending on soil moisture conditions. They are maintained by permanent and seasonal paid staff solely dedicated to the park.

Multi-use trails (including the Grand Prix, ATV, TT and Novice Oval) have tread repair monthly. Jump repairs are made monthly or as needed. Trail signs are inspected and repaired or replaced monthly. These trails are maintained by permanent and seasonal staff solely dedicated to the park with the assistance of our Central Yard heavy equipment and operators.

The motocross and mini-motocross tracks have tread repair weekly, tread soil conditioning is done monthly, jump repairs are completed monthly or as needed, soil amendments are added monthly or as needed.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?	
	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
X	Yes (Check all that apply.)
X	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
X	Soil composition (2 points)
X	Erosion control features (2 points)
X	Signs/barriers (2 points)
X	Type of use (2 points)
X	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

Trails Maintenance Manual (completed in 2005), the Trail Maintenance Plan for Motorcycle County Park (completed in 2006), and the Motorcycle Park Interim Natural Resource Management Plan (completed in 2006), all documents available at the Santa Clara County Parks and Recreation Department Administrative Offices.

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	-----------	-------------------	-----------	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (Check the one most appropriate.)

X	100% (5 points)
	75% (4 points)
	50% (3 points)
	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

The Department will use 100% wood products to create chips for soil amendments.

The Department will use soil or silt removed from sediment controlled basins to re-establish the trail tread.

Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Angeles National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Angeles National Forest Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-01-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more (10 points)			
X	36% - 50% (7 points)			
	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	7	Division Findings	7	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
X	Maintain erosion control features (1 point)			
X	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use (1 point)			
X	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes (1 point)			
	Site design precludes the need for the above measures (3 points)			
Briefly explain each item checked above:				
<p>Erosion control structures are surveyed on a regular basis (at least annually). The majority of the structures generally need minor cleanout and some would need rebuilding including hardening to prevent future breakdowns. The maintenance is accomplished during our winter maintenance period when soil moisture content is adequate. Soil loss surveys are on file at the Santa Clara/Mojave Rivers Ranger District office.</p>				
<p>Physical barriers are surveyed on a regular basis (at least annually). If any barriers have been damage or destroyed they are repaired or replaced at that time they are discovered or as soon as practical. In some cases barriers would be added or extended</p>				
<p>Biological, botanical and archeological surveys are conducted annually to monitor the sensitive resources. Recommendations from the specialist would include reroutes, additional barriers or closure if necessary to protect the resources. Any recommendations for biological or botanical from this year's survey are included in the WHH/HMP. The archeological monitoring report is on file at the Santa Clara/Mojave Rivers Ranger District office.</p>				

Scoring: Maximum of 3 points				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
<p>3. Staff qualifications -</p> <p>a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:</p> <p>The supervisor who will be directing trail maintenance activities has been involved with the OHV program since 1984. This person has attended various workshops for trail maintenance and construction, has personally designed, maintained and constructed OHV trails and is certified for ATV, motorcycle and a certified Sweco trail tractor operator.</p>				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
b. Staff commitment -				
<p>Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:</p> <p>The OHV staff consists of 5 permanent full time employees as outlined on Angeles National Forest organization chart. Their responsibility is to maintain the OHV trails on the forest which include trail surveys, brushing, tread repairs, drainage (cleanout, repair, and installation), retaining walls (installation and repair), Signs (installation and repair) and barrier (installation and repair). The staff will follow trail maintenance guidelines as outlined in the Forest Service Trail Construction and Maintenance Notebook and follow the California Soil Conservation Guidelines/Standards. In addition the staff will utilize the BMP's as outlined in the Forest Service Manual 2355. It is also the responsibility of the staff to make contacts with the users to provide information on trail etiquette, safety, resource protection, trail difficulty, trail location and designated routes.</p> <p>The schedule for the staff is usually 5 days a week with 2 days being on weekends for law enforcement purposes. The work schedule is staggered to provide 3 to 4 days during the week for trail maintenance. Trail maintenance activities that require soils disturbance are conducted during the winter months when soil moisture content is adequate. During the summer months other maintenance activities such as repairs to signs and barriers are conducted.</p>				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?	
	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
X	Yes (Check all that apply.)
X	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
X	Soil composition (2 points)
X	Erosion control features (2 points)
X	Signs/barriers (2 points)
X	Type of use (2 points)
X	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

Maps indicating soil type and terrain are kept in the forest data base (7/2001) and copies are on file at the Santa Clara/Mojave Rivers Ranger District office.

Erosion control features, signs/barriers and type of use is kept in the forest INFRA trails data base(8/2000) and a copy is on file at the Santa Clara/Mojave Rivers Ranger District office.

The level of difficulty is located in the forest data base and a copy is on file at the Santa Clara/Mojave Rivers Ranger District office.

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	-----------	-------------------	-----------	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	100% (5 points)
	75% (4 points)
	50% (3 points)
X	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

No recycled materials are being used

Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Cleveland National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Cleveland National Forest Corral Canyon - Bear Valley OHV Area Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-02-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?			
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)			
X	51% or more	(10 points)	
	36% - 50%	(7 points)	
	26% - 35%	(5 points)	
	25%	(3 points)	
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.			
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10 Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)			
X	Maintain erosion control features	(1 point)	
X	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use	(1 point)	
X	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes	(1 point)	
	Site design precludes the need for the above measures	(3 points)	
Briefly explain each item checked above:			
<p>Maintain Erosion Control features: rolling dips to divert water off trails need periodic maintenance. This is a key component of regular biannual maintenance. Trail culverts need to be cleaned out periodically to keep them from clogging and causing erosion problems on the trail or adjacent to it.</p> <p>Maintain physical barriers to OHV use: Several miles of fencing has been installed along OHV designated trails and roads over the years to keep users on the trails and out of undesignated and sensitive resource areas. Some of this was installed after the Horse Fire of 2006 with BAER Funding and over 1800 hours of volunteer work. (BAER project files, volunteer records located at Descanso Ranger District Office, available upon request, see included cd-video of local TV news report). We anticipate a similar amount of volunteer hours for the coming year.</p> <p>Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into re-routes: The Forest has a history of re-routing trails to avoid impacts to sensitive resource areas and will continue this trend whenever a re-route need becomes identified. Trails that we have re-routed in recent years include the Sodbuster Trail and Bronco Peak Trail (Project files are located at Descanso Ranger District Officer). A portion of the Sidewinder trail that is currently closed has been identified for re-routing.</p>			

Scoring: Maximum of 3 points				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
<p>3. Staff qualifications -</p> <p>a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:</p> <p>Trails Unlimited is an internal Forest Service trail specialists contractor who builds and maintains OHV and multi-user trails on National Forests all across the country. Trails Unlimited are widely considered experts in trail design, construction, and maintenance. Trails Unlimited has performed trail maintenance, construction, and re-construction work several times in the past for OHV trails and has done an excellent job. They will be performing tread maintenance work for us under contract, and are self-sufficient in their work. Trail brushing and other maintenance work will be supervised by our OHV technician who has broad experience with OHV and multi-user trails during his career in the Forest Service in several different duty locations.</p>				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
<p>b. Staff commitment -</p> <p>Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:</p> <p>We have a full-time OHV technician assigned to the Corral Canyon / Bear Valley OHV area. Scheduling and facilitating trail maintenance activities with Trails Unlimited, volunteers, and CDC crews is a high priority for this position. Since the sole duties of the OHV technician is operation and maintenance of trails and facilities, conflicting job assignments and priorities are not an issue. (Position description available at Descanso District Office).</p>				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?	
	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
X	Yes (Check all that apply.)
X	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
X	Soil composition (2 points)
X	Erosion control features (2 points)
X	Signs/barriers (2 points)
X	Type of use (2 points)
X	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

Inventory of trails, characteristics, and features is kept in our Infra database, information available upon request. The Corral Canyon OHV map (published 2005) is available to the public free of charge and inventories designated OHV trails and roads, mileage, type of use, and level of difficulty. Several soil condition field surveys have been conducted in recent years, with the latest in 2006, outlining a trail inventory including drainage feature needs. (Copies of these documents are located at the Descanso District Office, available upon request). Additional sign needs have been identified to meet the requirements of the OHV Route Designation process on National Forest System lands and is reflected in the deliverables for this project.

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	-----------	-------------------	-----------	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	100% (5 points)
	75% (4 points)
	50% (3 points)
X	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

Recycled signs are used whenever possible, such as recycled fiber posts and adopt a trail signs

Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)

Applicant:	USFS Cleveland National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Cleveland National Forest Wildomar Area Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-02-T02

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain erosion control features (1 point)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use (1 point)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes (1 point)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Site design precludes the need for the above measures (3 points)			
Briefly explain each item checked above: <p>A primary function of performing both heavy and lighter bi-annual trail maintenance is to create, maintain, and repair erosion control features. Through this project we will perform these tasks. Water bars will be maintained and re-shaped, small siltation basins will be created and maintained, and trail slope will be improved to facilitate proper drainage.</p> <p>The OHV Technician will work with the contractor and volunteers to repair and re-install barriers where needed along the trails. The contractor assists the project by hauling barriers, augers, and other supplies into areas that are difficult to access. The OHV Technician will then perform the required work.</p> <p>The Cleveland National Forest incorporates protection of sensitive resources into re-routes whenever they are performed. For this grant cycle, however, we are not proposing any re-routes because they are not necessary at this time.</p>				
Scoring: Maximum of 3 points				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

3. Staff qualifications -

a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:

Trails Unlimited is an internal Forest Service trail specialists contractor who builds and maintains OHV and multi-user trails on National Forests all across the country. Trails Unlimited are widely considered experts in trail design, construction, and maintenance. Trails Unlimited has performed trail maintenance, construction, and re-construction work several times in the past for OHV trails and has done an excellent job. They will be performing tread maintenance work for us under contract, and are self-sufficient in their work.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

X	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

b. Staff commitment -

Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:

Trail tread work will be coordinated and overseen by the District Recreation and Lands Officer and the OHV Technician. The actual work will likely be performed by Trails Unlimited, who will also provide feedback and suggestions for correcting problem areas. Since Trails Unlimited specializes in this type of work we are confident that the work they perform will be of the highest quality.

Brushing work will be also be coordinated and overseen by the District Recreation and Lands Officer and the OHV Technician. It will be performed by OHV/Recreation Technicians, California Department of Corrections crews, and/or volunteers.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
X	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?

	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)			
X	Yes (Check all that apply.)			
X	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)			
X	Soil composition (2 points)			
X	Erosion control features (2 points)			
	Signs/barriers (2 points)			
X	Type of use (2 points)			
X	Level of difficulty (2 points)			

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

Wildomar OHV Area Field Assessment and OHV System Proposal dated June 24, 2002

Soil Erosion and Trail Condition Survey Report, Wildomar Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area, Cleveland National Forest, California dated May 2002.

Both documents are available at the Corona Ranger Station in the Recreation Office.

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
-----------------	-----------	-------------------	-----------	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: **(Check the one most appropriate.)**

	100% (5 points)
	75% (4 points)
	50% (3 points)
X	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

Signs made from recycled materials or fiber posts made with recycled materials are used whenever available.

Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Eldorado National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Eldorado National Forest Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-03-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more	(10 points)		
	36% - 50%	(7 points)		
X	26% - 35%	(5 points)		
	25%	(3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
X	Maintain erosion control features (1 point)			
X	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use (1 point)			
X	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes (1 point)			
	Site design precludes the need for the above measures (3 points)			
Briefly explain each item checked above:				
<p>This project would maintain, repair and construct drainage and erosion control structures as outlined in the Project Description. There are existing drainage structures in places on OHV routes. Where needed additional drainage control structures will be added or existing structures will be modified.</p> <p>In areas where OHVs have traveled illegally off the designated routes undergoing maintenance in this project, down woody material and logs would be placed to discourage vehicle travel. In areas where there isn't vegetation or debris available for blocking trails, fencing or boulders would be placed to prevent travel off of the designated routes. Additionally, fiberglass posts marked with "No Vehicles" would be placed where needed to help curtail prohibited travel off of the maintained routes. This work has been described in the Project Description.</p> <p>This project will include minor route relocations to protect soil or vegetation or to help curtail erosion. This project will not construct major reroutes as such work is considered to be trail construction that is outside the scope of normal trail maintenance activities. Major reroutes would need additional environmental analysis. The Environmental Review Data Sheet describes how this project and any minor reroutes would be conducted in a manner that would protect sensitive resources.</p>				

Scoring: Maximum of 3 points				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

3. Staff qualifications -

a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:

There will be several Forest Service employees directing the trail maintenance work outlined in this project. On the Amador RD, the current OHV manager has worked for the Forest Service for almost 30 years and has been directly involved with trail and OHV issues on that district for nine years. Additionally on the Amador Ranger District, the Resource Officer had 20 years of experience in directly managing Forest Service trail systems before recently coming to the Amador RD. On the Georgetown RD, The OHV Manager/Resource Officer directs the trail crew and has been directly managing the district trails for 8 years and has been employed by the Forest Service for over 20 years. On the Placerville RD, the trail maintenance crew leader has been building and repairing trails for approximately 12 years on the district. The OHV Manager/Assistant Resource Officer directs the trail crew and has been directly managing the district trails for 14 years and has been employed by the Forest Service for 30 years. These project leaders will utilize the expertise of the Forest's full time trails engineer that works out of the supervisor's office and is responsibility for the trail system across the forest. The trails engineer has been in that position for 25 years.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

b. Staff commitment -

Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:

On the Amador RD, the scheduling of the OHV trail maintenance work and direct supervision of the district's seasonal technicians will be the responsibility of the district's OHV manager. The Amador RD OHV manager is solely dedicated to the OHV program and also is one of the ENF's certified SWECO operators. The Amador RD Resource Officer will also be involved with project layout and design. On the Georgetown RD the scheduling and supervision of the OHV trail maintenance activities will be the responsibility of the District Resource Officer, in coordination with the OHV lead. On the Placerville RD, the scheduling and supervision of OHV trail maintenance activities is performed by the District OHV Manager/Assistant Resource Officer. Work may be coordinated with the Forest Trails Engineer who is solely dedicated to the forest trail system.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?	
	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
X	Yes (<i>Check all that apply.</i>)
X	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
X	Soil composition (2 points)
X	Erosion control features (2 points)
X	Signs/barriers (2 points)
X	Type of use (2 points)
X	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

All of the OHV routes included in this maintenance project have been fully inventoried. INFRA, which is the current Forest Service corporate database, is used to record route name and number, starting and ending locations, route segment lengths, types of use, levels of difficulty, maintenance levels and developed features noted by route milepost. Route features that are normally inventoried include bridges, culverts, puncheons and turnpikes (for trails), switchbacks and signs.

All of the trail routes in this project were also previously inventoried in the Forest Service Meaningful Measures database which has now been largely incorporated into INFRA. Meaningful Measures also inventoried features such as water bars and drain dips although usually by total quantity of those drainage features per trail segment rather than actual milepost location.

Written Trail Logs and Condition Surveys also exist for most all of the trails in this project. Data collected from these trail logs and condition surveys have been incorporated into INFRA although these trail logs and condition surveys often contain more detailed information than can be pulled from the INFRA database. Most of the trail logs include detailed inventories of route features by milepost location.

The Forest Service also has in place a corporate Geographic Information System (GIS). Through the use of GIS, we are able to overlay OHV route maps with our GIS corporate layers for soil composition, vegetation type and slope to determine the physical features at any point along the inventoried OHV routes included in this project.

Trail logs and hard copy inventories are available for review at the Amador, Placerville, and Georgetown Ranger Districts. INFRA can be viewed at the Eldorado National Forest Supervisors Office (ENF SO). Hardcopy printouts of Meaningful Measures can also be viewed at the ENF SO.

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	----	-------------------	----	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (*Check the one most appropriate.*)

X	100% (5 points)
	75% (4 points)
	50% (3 points)
	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

This project does not require that an inordinate amount of materials and supplies be purchased, but whenever recycled materials are available as an option, they will be used 100% of the time. For example, any printed information handouts and postings will be printed and/or copied on recycled paper. Bar oil, used for chain saws, will be obtained from suppliers that use reprocessed recycled oil. Plastic signs and fiberglass sign posts will be purchased from suppliers that use recycled materials. For example, the Carsonite Corporation makes a "greenline" series of marker posts that are manufactured with post-consumer recycled plastic. Other suppliers have similar products. Where signs and posts that are made from recycled plastics are available and meet needed specifications, then those types of signs and posts will always be used in lieu of products that do not use recycled materials.

Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	0	The narrative does not specify that recycled materials will be used for this project.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)

Applicant:	USFS Inyo National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Inyo National Forest Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-05-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more (10 points)			
	36% - 50% (7 points)			
X	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
X	Maintain erosion control features (1 point)			
X	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use (1 point)			
	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes (1 point)			
X	Site design precludes the need for the above measures (3 points)			
Briefly explain each item checked above: The proposed work including tread work would maintain and enhance any erosion control features on the roads and trails, rolling dips and lead off ditches would be maintained and made functional if they are not functioning. The brushing, trail signing and removal of logs would ensure user stay on designed trails. If equipment used to maintain roads and trails disturb current barriers they would be replaced. This is not expected to happen on the Forest as the equipment operators are skilled and will be instructed to not disturb existing barriers. The brushing, trail signing and removal of logs would not disturb existing barriers. The Forest is not proposing any reroutes in this proposal.				
Scoring: Maximum of 3 points				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	2	Narrative supports the first two check boxes.

3. Staff qualifications -

a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:

The permanent OHV technicians and the engineering staff on the Forest have over 10 years of experience in trail maintenance. The OHV technicians would brush, install signs and remove fallen trees. They would identify roads and trails that need maintenance using equipment and direct the equipment operators as to where to work and what work should be performed.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

b. Staff commitment -

Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:

The Forest has 2 full time OHV technicians, and 3 temporary OHV technicians, that perform work such as brushing, trail signing, log removal, maintaining simple erosion control features and trail grooming. (Inyo national Forest Organization Chart, (7/25/06) available upon request). The OHV technicians perform maintenance and trail signage throughout the season. In addition, the Forest has experienced engineers and watershed staff that facilitates the tread work for ease of passage.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?

<input type="checkbox"/>	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes (Check all that apply.)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Soil composition (2 points)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Erosion control features (2 points)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Signs/barriers (2 points)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Type of use (2 points)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

This information is located in a variety of documents including

1. Soil Survey, East Part, Inyo National Forest Area California, September 1994
2. Soil Survey, West Part, Inyo National Forest Area California, June 1995
3. Soil Survey, Sequioa National Forest Area California, 1994

Brochures produced in conjunction with the Town of Mammoth Lakes for OHV and OSV opportunities display type of use and level of difficulty. (brochures available upon request). The Brochure for OHV use was completed in 2006. The brochure for OSV use was completed in 2004

Notebooks maintained by the OHV technicians display signs and erosion control features. In addition, the Forest watershed staff is producing a restoration monitoring document that displays various erosion control features and signs/barriers, this document will also contain a description of soils and terrain. This publication will be available in December of 2007.

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	-----------	-------------------	-----------	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	100% (5 points)
	75% (4 points)
	50% (3 points)
X	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

The Forest uses tools, vehicles and heavy equipment to perform trail maintenance work. There is limited opportunity to use recycled materials.

Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)

Applicant:	USFS Los Padres National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Los Padres National Forest Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-09-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more	(10 points)		
X	36% - 50%	(7 points)		
	26% - 35%	(5 points)		
	25%	(3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	7	Division Findings	7	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
X	Maintain erosion control features	(1 point)		
X	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use	(1 point)		
X	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes	(1 point)		
	Site design precludes the need for the above measures	(3 points)		
Briefly explain each item checked above:				
Erosion control features such as waterbars, rolling dips, and overside drains will be routinely maintained on all OHV routes to minimize impacts to natural resources. Please see Photo 1 for a washout repair project designed to reduce erosion. Please see BMPs listed in Soil Conservation Program for further details.				
Maintenance of physical barriers to control OHV use is an integral part of our trail maintenance program aimed at preventing damage to natural resources and is especially important following the Zaca and Day Fires.				
No reroutes proposed				
Scoring: Maximum of 3 points				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

3. Staff qualifications -

a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:

LPNF trail managers supervising trail maintenance activities average more than 12 years experience with both motorized and non-motorized trail maintenance. Resumes available upon request. Information is personal in nature.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

X	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

b. Staff commitment -

Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:

Trail maintenance managers on two of three districts applying for maintenance funds are dedicated entirely to the OHV program. In addition, their supervisors (Rec Program Mgr) have 15-20 days each dedicated to assisting the trail managers in implementation of the trail maint program. As with the FOM Form K5 item 3 - field personnel are generally funded through a variety of funding sources which require a variety of tasks to be accomplished. Seasonal techs are hired usually with only one funding source - it is OHV funds - they will be dedicated entirely to the OHV program. If it is with other funds (i.e. rec fee dollars), there will be other (perhaps OHV related) obligations. Project workplans with distribution of funding are available for review upon request to show program of work responsibilities and commitment to the OHV trail maint program.

Trail maint mgrs oversee the trail maintenance budget, supervise and line out work to be accomplished, inspect work that has been completed, ensure proper safety procedures are followed, and coordinate monitoring with specialists.

OHV program managers will supervise and participate in trail maintenance activities listed in the deliverables with the equipment operator, volunteers, and OHV technicians. Also, they will coordinate the trail maintenance timeline and will assign tasks to the OHV technicians who will perform as swampers and participate in hand work such as tread work, cleaning waterbars, and brushing of vegetation. As weather permits, the OHV staff will work on trail maintenance to ensure there is proper coverage on the ground and the work is completed while the opportunity is available. Trail maintenance activities will be scheduled on weekdays to minimize disruption of OHV use.

Specialists will monitor trail maintenance to ensure the protection of cultural, wildlife, and botanical resources.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

X	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?	
	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
X	Yes (<i>Check all that apply.</i>)
X	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
X	Soil composition (2 points)
X	Erosion control features (2 points)
X	Signs/barriers (2 points)
X	Type of use (2 points)
X	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

Terrain, soil composition, and erosion control features are documented annually in the Soil Condition Reports. Copies of these reports can be viewed at the appropriate ranger district offices. Levels of difficulty and types of use are depicted on the published OHV Vehicle Guides. All of these items are scheduled to be documented this year in Travel Management Objectives within the corporate infra database for routes.

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	----	-------------------	----	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (*Check the one most appropriate.*)

	100% (5 points)
	75% (4 points)
	50% (3 points)
X	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

Most of the "expendable" materials utilized in trail maintenance are natural materials such as dirt, logs, and rocks. There are few options to utilize recycled materials in the current trail maintenance activities. We are pursuing additional avenues for purchase of such items.

Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
-----------------	---	-------------------	---	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Mendocino National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Mendocino National Forest Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-10-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more (10 points)			
X	36% - 50% (7 points)			
	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	7	Division Findings	7	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
X	Maintain erosion control features (1 point)			
X	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use (1 point)			
X	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes (1 point)			
X	Site design precludes the need for the above measures (3 points)			
Briefly explain each item checked above:				
<p>The use of the Forest's specialized Sweco trail dozers and mini-excavators continue to effectively minimize direct and indirect impacts from erosion. This is accomplished through the equipment's ability to move enough soil to provide proper outsloping, rolling dips and sediment traps.</p> <p>All designated OHV staging areas and routes have been surveyed, analyzed and evaluated for natural and cultural resource values. Where relocation or total avoidance was not possible, measures include the following physical protective devices in order of preference: natural rock, vegetation, downed logs, permanent barriers, ramping and fencing.</p> <p>During OHV trail reroutes, avoidance is used to minimize the possibility of any direct or indirect impacts to sensitive resource values. Examples include routing trails around wet or unstable soils and permanently closing and restoring any detected OHV impacts to cultural resources. Minimizing the number of stream crossings and avoiding meadows and other riparian habitat is also standard practice in reroute location.</p> <p>Proper site design of trails and staging areas is part of the planning process for any new or minor reroute or reconstruction projects.</p>				

Scoring: Maximum of 3 points				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
<p>3. Staff qualifications -</p> <p>a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:</p> <p>The current MNF OHV staff directing trail maintenance work totals 76 years of combined training and experience in OHV trail management. These trail managers include the Grindstone and Upper Lake District Supervisory OHV Technicians and OHV Lead Technicians. They are the people responsible for the planning, implementation and monitoring of all Forest OHV route maintenance. This oversight includes the supervision of experienced force account equipment operators, swampers, hand crews and technicians as well as volunteers engaged in the Forest's seven adopt-a-trail partnership agreements. In addition, these managers plan and supervise the work of area inmate camp crews under existing memorandums of understanding. The effectiveness of the outstanding personnel assigned to OHV management and their ownership in the program can be evidenced by the quality and condition of the MNF's OHV trail system. This dedication to excellence has resulted in numerous requests for these OHV trail managers to host field-trips and workshops designed to instruct other OHV administrators in trail maintenance, conservation and restoration skills.</p>				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

b. Staff commitment -

Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:

Using a combination of Forest Service appropriations, State OHV funding assistance and other grant and partnership contributions, the MNF has been able to dedicate a base-level staff to OHV trail maintenance, conservation and monitoring. Although the permanent staff is trained, experienced and involved in all aspects of OHV management, they remain flexible in their duties and schedules in order to maximize windows of opportunity by being available during optimum trail maintenance conditions. These opportunities include periods when soil moisture and weather conditions are ideal for achieving proper soil compaction using the Forest's mechanized equipment. Seasonal, intermittent or call-when-needed trail maintenance personnel are typically brought on or placed on-call December through May each year. Proper soil moisture is critical to achieving desired results in constructing and maintaining durable water control features in OHV trails. Staff commitment for Forest-wide (Grindstone and Upper Lake Ranger Districts) OHV trail maintenance is as follows:

Position: OHV Supervisory Technicians (2)

Work Schedule: Permanent full-time, committed to trail maintenance Dec. – May.

Responsibilities: Trail maintenance planning and oversight

Position: Lead OHV Technician (2)

Work Schedule: Permanent full-time, committed to trail maintenance Dec. – May.

Responsibilities: Scheduling force account and volunteer trail maintenance, conservation and monitoring activities.

Position: OHV Technician/Forest Protection Officer (2)

Work Schedule: Permanent full-time, committed to trail maintenance Dec. – May.

Responsibilities: Responsible for soil, stream crossing and wildlife habitat monitoring. Also reports and documents OHV related resource damage as it occurs. Responsible for implementing restoration mitigation measures.

Position: OHV Technicians – Swampers (2)

Work Schedule: Permanent full-time, committed to trail maintenance Dec. – May.

Responsibilities: Equipment servicing and safety monitoring for operator and equipment.

Position: Equipment Operators (2)

Work Schedule: Seasonal intermittent, call-when-needed, Dec. – May.

Responsibilities: Operates and maintains District trail dozer and mini-excavator. Also documents completed trail maintenance, reconstruction and construction of designated trails.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?	
	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
X	Yes (<i>Check all that apply.</i>)
X	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
X	Soil composition (2 points)
X	Erosion control features (2 points)
X	Signs/barriers (2 points)
X	Type of use (2 points)
X	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

Forest Service INFRA Corporate Data Base – INFRA NET/I-WEB

Trails Maintenance Plan – Component of this Grant Application

Grindstone and Upper Lake OHV Guide maps – Available free from the Supervisor's Office, District Offices, Work Stations and self-service brochure dispensers.

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	-----------	-------------------	-----------	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (*Check the one most appropriate.*)

	100% (5 points)
	75% (4 points)
X	50% (3 points)
	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

Recycled materials used in trail maintenance and conservation activities include:

- Used RR ties and recycled guardrails for barriers, bridge abutments and shoring
- Use of reusable no-dig barrier and "Quik' Sport" fencing systems
- Soil recovered/recycled and returned to the tread from designed sediment traps
- Flexible water bars made from recycled conveyor belting
- Use of re-recycled oil in equipment and vehicles
- Tiretech - shredded inter-woven recycled tire mats for tread armoring
- Use of rice straw bales for sediment catchments at water control run-outs
- Use of recycled tires for road crossing ramps to prevent dozer damage
- Reuse of Carsonite/Rhino delineators
- Reuse of recycled road culvert sections for OHV trails
- Reuse of aluminum substrate sign blanks
- Use of fuel break understory brush and trees for strategically placed windrow barriers

Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)

Applicant:	USFS Plumas National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Plumas National Forest Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-13-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more (10 points)			
	36% - 50% (7 points)			
X	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
X	Maintain erosion control features (1 point)			
X	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use (1 point)			
X	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes (1 point)			
X	Site design precludes the need for the above measures (3 points)			
Briefly explain each item checked above:				
<p>The primary road/trail and route maintenance objective is that of the establishment of water drainage features. The Plumas NF accomplishes this by either cross drains, outsloping of road and trail slope and rocking of drainage structures on all roads and trails where road maintenance is applied.</p> <p>Where physical barriers have been either moved or removed or destroyed they are replaced. Most of the physical barriers that have been installed have been effective with little to no disturbance or going around or over etc. The Plumas NF primarily places partially buried rock boulders that touch each other effectively blocking entry into sensitive areas. When areas are blocked the Plumas ensures that an alternate route is available for OHV's and signed appropriately.</p> <p>All reroutes prior to approval are surveyed for sensitive resources and an analysis is accomplished to ensure that no sensitive resources are impacted. Should a sensitive resource exist a reroute would not be approved until it is ensured that the resource is protected through barriers or specific placement and location.</p> <p>A majority of the trails on the Plumas NF have been located in a manner that precludes the need for constant maintenance, barriers, or the need for reroutes.</p>				

Scoring: Maximum of 3 points				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

3. Staff qualifications -

a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:

Two primary individuals supervise the trail maintenance, Ms. Corky Lazzarino, Quincy, Eastside Road and Trail Maintenance Resource Team Leader and Mr. Herman Wendall, Westside Road and Trail Maintenance Resource Team Leader. Combined they have over 50 years of road and trail maintenance expertise. Each is highly skilled in analyzing and determining what type of long term fix that is cost efficient will work for specific situations. Each of these individuals has an 8 person crew and light as well as heavy equipment available to accomplish trail maintenance when awarded from this grant. Jim Evans and Gary Rogers both recreation Technicians also accomplish monitoring of trail maintenance needs as well as completing actual trail maintenance.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

b. Staff commitment -

Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:

The commitment of each of the individuals listed above is that trail maintenance funded from this grant application is that the work will be scheduled as a priority and completed within the allotted timeframe. When grant funds are approved by the OHV Commission, these crews are solely dedicated to the OHV program.

Mr. Fred J. Krueger, Public Services Staff Officer for the Plumas National Forest provides the program leadership for the OHV program. He directly supervises these two crews which ensure that the work is scheduled and completed on time and within cost constraints.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?	
	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
X	Yes (Check all that apply.)
X	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
X	Soil composition (2 points)
X	Erosion control features (2 points)
X	Signs/barriers (2 points)
X	Type of use (2 points)
X	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

Soil Condition Reports are prepared by each district and can be viewed at each District and Supervisor's Office, Plumas NF. All 3 of these reports were prepared in the fall of 2006 and are on file.

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	-----------	-------------------	-----------	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (Check the one most appropriate.)

X	100% (5 points)
	75% (4 points)
	50% (3 points)
	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

The printing of both our current OHV and winter OSV maps have been printed utilizing recycled paper.

All paper products (hand towels and sanitary) installed in Plumas National Facilities are comprised of 100% re-cycled products.

A majority (80%) of the materials used to accomplish the Plumas' OHV trails Conservation program are comprised of natural materials, i.e. large rock to block OHV access to wet meadows, and lake shores and stone placement used to provide low water crossings and or utilized to protect areas prone to water and soil erosion.

Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS San Bernardino National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS San Bernardino National Forest Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-14-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain erosion control features (1 point)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use (1 point)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes (1 point)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Site design precludes the need for the above measures (3 points)			
Briefly explain each item checked above:				
<p>Routine annual maintenance of all OHV routes on the forest includes cleaning, maintenance and repair of rolling dips, waterbars, side drains and lead-out ditches. The annual maintenance plan is developed in response to the Soil Condition Rating Table to insure that maintenance is completed where soil loss may be unacceptable. Please see annual maintenance plan and soil condition table attached to this application. The forest trail maintenance and soil conservation plans are also available for review at the Supervisor's Office. Detailed trail maintenance activities are also described in the attached, 'Categorical Exclusion for Off-Highway Vehicle Operations and Maintenance,' included in the CEQA/NEPA section of this application.</p> <p>Routine mechanized maintenance includes maintenance and repair of entrance barriers on primarily more and most difficult trails. The attached project description provides details about this practice. Routine annual maintenance combined with facilities operations and maintenance also includes the maintenance and repair of barriers and traffic control devices in staging areas and trailheads. These practices are described in detail in the forest OHV trail maintenance plan, available for review at the Supervisor's Office, Recreation.</p>				

Scoring: Maximum of 3 points				
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.
<p>3. Staff qualifications -</p> <p>a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:</p> <p>The Forest O&M Leader has 23 years experience in OHV management on the forest, including 23 years in restoration, 21 years in OHV law enforcement, 15 years in soil monitoring, 10 years as maintenance leader for Adopt-a-trail program and 6 years as a SWECO trail tractor operator.</p>				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
X	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
<p>b. Staff commitment -</p> <p>Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:</p> <p>The OHV trail maintenance staff will consist of one full-time OHV O&M leader, one full-time OHV Technician, one part-time equipment operator and one part-time swamper. The O&M leader and technician will work primarily a Thursday through Monday schedule, so they can coordinate and work directly in the field with volunteers who are mostly available on weekends. The equipment operator and swamper will work a varying schedule, dependant upon weather and soil moisture conditions. The equipment operator and swamper will have primary responsibility for maintenance of the 24" and 50" trails, while the O&M Leader and technician will work mostly with OHV Volunteers and Adopt-a-Trail clubs to maintain level-2 4x4 roads and other OHV routes on the forest. Tasks and costs are described in the forest WorkPlan system. Work Plans describing each individual employee and their annual program of work can be obtained by contacting the Supervisor's Office, OHV Recreation.</p>				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
X	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?	
	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
X	Yes (<i>Check all that apply.</i>)
X	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
X	Soil composition (2 points)
X	Erosion control features (2 points)
X	Signs/barriers (2 points)
X	Type of use (2 points)
X	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

Trail inventory is housed in the forest INFRA database. INFRA reports can be obtained by contacting the Supervisor's Office, OHV Recreation. INFRA trails data is collected approximately once every 5 years per trail.

TRACS trail conditions surveys containing detailed information about trail features, signs, water control structures, bridges, etc. can also be reviewed at the Supervisor's Office. Condition surveys are completed approximately once every 5 years per trail.

Soil condition information, with reference to soil type and composition, is contained in soil monitoring results available at the Supervisor's Office, Recreation. Monitoring is conducted quarterly each year.

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	----	-------------------	----	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (*Check the one most appropriate.*)

	100% (5 points)
	75% (4 points)
X	50% (3 points)
	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

The majority of directional and informational signs supporting the forest OHV program are constructed from metal and/or wood. When a sign is `decommissioned`, those parts of the sign that can be re-used are kept and stored for future use. As an example, Designated Vehicle Route (DVR) signs are primarily constructed with a metal sign face which is then applied with decals to display allowable uses, trail name and number, level of difficulty, etc. When a DVR is relocated or replaced through routine maintenance, the sign face, if not destroyed, is kept, stripped of decals and retained for future use. When possible, sign posts and hardware are also re-used.

Native materials are also recycled on a routine basis during monitoring, conservation and restoration activities. Plant and vegetative debris is routinely collected from surrounding areas during WHPP monitoring and is used as `slash` to disguise off-route impacts and unauthorized use. Standing dead timber, primarily joshua trees, are often relocated and used as `vertical mulch` to disguise restoration sites and discourage use in newly planted/seeded areas.

Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40				

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Sequoia National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Sequoia National Forest Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-15-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more (10 points)			
	36% - 50% (7 points)			
	26% - 35% (5 points)			
	25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain erosion control features (1 point)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use (1 point)			
	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes (1 point)			
	Site design precludes the need for the above measures (3 points)			
Briefly explain each item checked above: Maintain erosion control features with mini-excavator and by hand, using hand tools to reshape and rebuild rolling dips. Reconstruct water bars and reshape diversion ditches. Pull in outside berms to out slope trail tread for improved drainage. Maintain and replace physical barriers to control OHV use. This will delineate OHV routes, help prevent off route impacts to aquatic sensitive areas and will minimize impacts to natural and cultural resources. Maintain and replace physical barriers to control OHV use. This will delineate OHV routes, help prevent off route impacts to aquatic sensitive areas and will minimize impacts to natural and cultural resources.				
Scoring: Maximum of 3 points				
Applicant Score	2	Division Findings	2	Concur.

3. Staff qualifications -

a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:

Supervisor has been involved with trail maintenance activities for since 1988 (20 years). He The supervisor will be the District Assistant Recreation Officer . He trial maintenance /construction experience has been gained since 1988. Experience includes trail layout and design, and has attended trail related courses.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

X	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

b. Staff commitment -

Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:

A GS-7 Assistant Recreation Officer (ARO) hires and supervises a seasonal OHV trail crew to complete OHV trail maintenance work. The crew is 100% dedicated to OHV trail maintenance for the Kern River Ranger District. The planning stage of hiring the 4 person OHV trail crew begins in the early spring. The ARO schedules the workload, with the crew typically working 10 hour days, 4 days a week due to the remote location of higher elevation trails. The crew receives new employee orientation, safety training, and is trained in the use of hand tools, chainsaw use (S-212) and other equipment. New employees will go through a 40-hour Bobcat 320 mini-excavator training and safety course. Returning employees go through refresher training and assist in teaching the new employees. The OHV trail crew works from June to October based on seasonal changes in weather and access to the field. The crew works lower elevation OHV trails until the snow melts and ground conditions are suitable for maintenance work. With the onset of snow, the OHV trail crew moves to the southern end of the district at lower elevations. They normally complete 60 miles of OHV trail maintenance each year using a mini-excavator and hand tools. The ARO identifies and prioritizes trail maintenance work based on information compiled in soil condition reports, wildlife monitoring reports, photo point monitoring and visual inspections in the field. The ARO receives a list of trails that have been reviewed for cultural and natural resources and has been approved for trail maintenance work. Trails located at staging areas, campgrounds and other high use areas have a higher priority for trail maintenance due to the high impact use they receive.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

X	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?	
	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
X	Yes (Check all that apply.)
X	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
X	Soil composition (2 points)
X	Erosion control features (2 points)
X	Signs/barriers (2 points)
X	Type of use (2 points)
X	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

1988 Sequoia National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan; Supervisor's Office

1990 Mediated Settlement; Supervisor's Office

9/17/06 "Motorized Vehicle Restrictions," Forest Order; Supervisor's Office

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	-----------	-------------------	-----------	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	100% (5 points)
	75% (4 points)
	50% (3 points)
X	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

Recycled oil is used in trail maintenance machines. Please note that all trail maintenance work is done using natural materials (no artificial waterbars, etc.) such as rocks, downed logs, etc. The District will make it a point to choose tools and supplies that are made from recycled materials where feasible.

Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Sierra National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Sierra National Forest Bass Lake Ranger District Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-17-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain erosion control features (1 point)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use (1 point)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes (1 point)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Site design precludes the need for the above measures (3 points)			
Briefly explain each item checked above:				
<p>Maintenance of erosion control features will be a high priority in the spring when water is on the trails. Work is conducted by volunteers, OHV staff and trail crew members. During spring trail openings, water is channeled off trails to dry the trail and make it ready for use. All trails are monitored for effectiveness of erosion control structures during the summer season and especially at the end of the season. All erosion control features are made ready for use in order to disperse water/runoff when winter rains begin.</p>				
<p>Barriers are used and maintained to keep vehicles on identified routes and out of closed and/or protected areas. This helps prevent soil loss, trail degradation, use of cheater routes around challenging sections, and development of non-system routes. Barriers also help protect cultural resources, natural resources, and sensitive species and their habitats.</p>				
<p>When any type of reroute is considered, surveys are conducted to identify sensitive resources in the surrounding/adjacent areas. Resource specialists are involved to conduct these surveys and give OHV staff guidance to minimize impacts to sensitive resources.</p>				

Scoring: Maximum of 3 points				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
<p>3. Staff qualifications -</p> <p>a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:</p> <p>Full time OHV Specialist is responsible for being involved in trail maintenance, planning and building for over 10 years. Resumes and organizational charts available at the Bass Lake Ranger District Office, North Fork, CA</p>				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
<p>b. Staff commitment -</p> <p>Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:</p> <p>Volunteers work as teams in the spring as snow melts from high country routes. These teams often go to several trailheads at once to efficiently recon and open OHV routes. Much of the initial work involves removing fallen trees from the routes and maintaining erosion control structures to direct runoff from the trail. Through volunteer's observations and patrols by OHV staff, route maintenance is prioritized and scheduled for completion. If funding is available, most heavy maintenance will be completed by the, the trail crew and OHV staff. Volunteers are often involved in special projects as they arise such as major trail signing efforts, repairing washouts or slides and maintenance of log-stringer bridges.</p>				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?	
	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
X	Yes (Check all that apply.)
X	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
X	Soil composition (2 points)
X	Erosion control features (2 points)
X	Signs/barriers (2 points)
X	Type of use (2 points)
X	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

Soil condition table and trail maintenance plan, ROGs for OHV reoutes and opportunities, SNF INFRA database, located at Sierra National Forest headquarters in Clovis, CA and Bass Lake RD, North Fork, CA.

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	-----------	-------------------	-----------	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	100% (5 points)
	75% (4 points)
	50% (3 points)
X	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)

Applicant:	USFS Sierra National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Sierra National Forest High Sierra Ranger District Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-17-T02

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more	(10 points)		
	36% - 50%	(7 points)		
X	26% - 35%	(5 points)		
	25%	(3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
X	Maintain erosion control features	(1 point)		
X	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use	(1 point)		
X	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes	(1 point)		
	Site design precludes the need for the above measures	(3 points)		
Briefly explain each item checked above:				
<p>Maintenance of erosion control features will be a high priority in the spring when water is on the trails. Work is conducted by volunteers, OHV staff and trail crew members. During spring trail openings, water is channeled off trails to dry the trail and make it ready for use. All trails are monitored for effectiveness of erosion control structures during the summer season and especially at the end of the season. All erosion control features are made ready for use in order to disperse water/runoff when winter rains begin.</p>				
<p>Barriers are used and maintained to keep vehicles on identified routes and out of closed and/or protected areas. This helps prevent soil loss, trail degradation, use of cheater routes around challenging sections, and development of non-system routes. Barriers also help protect cultural resources, natural resources, and sensitive species and their habitats.</p>				
<p>When any type of reroute is considered, surveys are conducted to identify sensitive resources in the surrounding/adjacent areas. Resource specialists are involved to conduct these surveys and give OHV staff guidance to minimize impacts to sensitive resources.</p>				

Scoring: Maximum of 3 points				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

3. Staff qualifications -

a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:

Trail maintenance will be directed, supervised and planned by qualified individuals and employees of the USDA Forest Service. The Trail Specialist has been planning, building and maintaining trails on the Sierra NF and throughout the State for over 30 years. This trail specialist, who supervises the Sierra NF Trail Shots, has completed most of the complex or heavy trail maintenance on the Forest, which has proven to be some of the most long lasting trail maintenance and construction ever completed on the Forest. The OHV Specialist has been involved with planning, building and maintaining trails for over 25 years.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

b. Staff commitment -

Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:

Volunteers work as teams in the spring as snow melts from high country routes. These teams often go to several trailheads at once to efficiently recon and open OHV routes. Much of the initial work involves removing fallen trees from the routes and maintaining erosion control structures to direct runoff from the trail. Through volunteer's observations and patrols by OHV staff, route maintenance is prioritized and scheduled for completion. If funding is available, most heavy maintenance will be completed by the trail specialist, the trail crew and OHV staff. Volunteers are often involved in special projects as they arise such as major trail signing efforts, repairing washouts or slides and maintenance of log-stringer bridges.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?	
	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
X	Yes (Check all that apply.)
X	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
X	Soil composition (2 points)
X	Erosion control features (2 points)
X	Signs/barriers (2 points)
X	Type of use (2 points)
X	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

Soil Condition Table and Trail Maintenance (see current reports in this grant 2007/2008 grant package) and Recreation Opportunity Guides (ROG) for individual routes on file at District Office, Prather, CA.

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	-----------	-------------------	-----------	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	100% (5 points)
	75% (4 points)
	50% (3 points)
X	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

Recycled conveyor belt rubber sandwiched between treated planks has been used and will continue to be used as waterbars.

Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Six Rivers National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Six Rivers National Forest Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-18-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
	51% or more	(10 points)		
	36% - 50%	(7 points)		
X	26% - 35%	(5 points)		
	25%	(3 points)		
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
X	Maintain erosion control features (1 point)			
X	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use (1 point)			
X	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes (1 point)			
	Site design precludes the need for the above measures (3 points)			

Briefly explain each item checked above:

The Pilot Creek OHV trail system within the Mad River Ranger District included environmental documentation backed by biological, physical, and cultural resource evaluations and analysis. The completed NEPA document, namely the Pilot Creek Watershed Trail Use Strategy Environmental Assessment (EA), Decision Notice and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is current and on file with the OHMVR Division.

A key attribute of the Pilot Creek watershed is its importance for maintaining anadromous fish stocks. In recognition of this and other requirements, a variety of design features (e.g. installation of water control features, hardening channel crossings, placement of artificial tread) are incorporated into the trail strategy to avoid, minimize, reduce, or eliminate the foreseeable effects of management activities.

The maintenance of these implemented erosion control features is critical and identified as part of the needs of this project.

In order to reduce or eliminate recreational use-related impacts identified in the Pilot Creek Trail Use Strategy, all or portions of several existing trails involving approximately 13.95 miles have been closed, re-routed or not designated for use as part of the trail system. Entry points to these trails have been barricaded as well as new unauthorized exits off of the designated routes. In addition, barriers along certain portions of trails have been installed to keep cattle on the trail and not walking along the trails edge chiseling away the down hill side of the travelway.

In order to reduce the cost of implementation, many of these design features were constructed using on sight materials (e.g. down logs, and rock).

Monitoring has indicated that these features are still in place and functioning as intended.

Minimal maintenance is required for these control features.

In order to further reduce or eliminate recreational use-related impacts re-routes were incorporated by design in the Pilot Creek Trail Use Strategy and were implemented for the protection of sensitive resources (both natural and cultural resources).

There are no reroutes planned or required under this Trail Maintenance Project proposal.

Scoring: Maximum of 3 points

Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

3. Staff qualifications -

a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:

The direction of the trail maintenance work would be performed by the OHV Specialist with assistance from the OHV Technician, both identified in the Project Cost and Deliverables associated with trails maintenance project. The OHV Specialist planned, implemented, and maintained and/or directed the maintenance of the trails within the Pilot Creek area.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

b. Staff commitment -

Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:

The Forest OHV Program Manager/OHV Specialist function is performed by the Mad River Ranger District Recreation Officer. The Forest OHV Program Manager/OHV Specialist's function would be to perform the contract preparation and oversight of contracting out the trail maintenance work. The contract preparation, completed early in the year, and oversight would require three weeks time if funding is received. Assistance with this would be by the District's Assistant Recreation Officer or by the OHV Technician/FPO also requiring three weeks time identified in the Cost and Deliverables section for this project. This function would be to help with and direct the early and late season trail maintenance insuring that it is completed to standard. The early season maintenance would perform clearing and tread work related to safety and ease of passage. The late season would perform maintenance and repair of trail tread and erosion control structures.

As mentioned above, the temporary OHV Technician/FPO position is highly desired. This position spread among this applications conservation, law enforcement, and trail maintenance proposals would be funded through the primary use season to conduct trail maintenance and monitoring within the Pilot Creek area and to patrol across the District thus reducing potential environmental damage and the need for additional conservation and/or restoration measures or closures.

A requirement identified in the Pilot Creek Trail Use Strategy EA is that trail work, excluding 4WD trails, be maintained by hand. The District has, for the most part, desired to use the California Conservation Corps (CCC). By using a highly trained, well-equipped California Conservation Corps (CCC) workforce at least a mile of high quality trail maintenance work can be accomplished in one day. The benefit of their work in the past is evidenced by OHV user-satisfaction and consistent attainment of soil loss management objectives.

In addition to the use of this skilled labor force the CCC provide a 25 percent match within their partnership agreement with the Forest Service to help the District achieve and maintain OHV trail management objectives. From 1999 to the present, the CCCs match to funds provided by the OHMVR Division for work performed in the implementation of the Pilot Creek Trail Strategy would equal approximately \$20,754 per signed agreements located at the Mad River Ranger District.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?	
	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
X	Yes (Check all that apply.)
X	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
X	Soil composition (2 points)
X	Erosion control features (2 points)
X	Signs/barriers (2 points)
X	Type of use (2 points)
X	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

Pilot Creek Watershed Trail Use Strategy Environmental Assessment (EA), Decision Notice and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) signed in 1998 and is current and on file with the OHMVR Division.

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	-----------	-------------------	-----------	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	100% (5 points)
X	75% (4 points)
	50% (3 points)
	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

Litter is customarily removed from along trails, sorted, and taken to a recycling center. A variety of design features (e.g. installation of water control features, hardening channel crossings, retaining walls, barriers, etc.) were incorporated into the Pilot Creek Watershed Trail Use Strategy. In order to reduce the cost of implementation, many of these design features were constructed using on sight materials (recycled materials e.g. down logs, and rock). Another example is the use of forest debris (e.g. down tree limbs) along certain portions of trails to keep cattle on the trail and not walking along the trails edge chiseling away the down hill side of the travelway or used for camouflaging unauthorized routes.

Applicant Score	4	Division Findings	4	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40

**Evaluation Criteria
(OHV Form K, Part 10, Trail Maintenance Projects)**

Applicant:	USFS Tahoe National Forest	Application Year	2007/2008
Project Name	USFS Tahoe National Forest Trail Maintenance	Project Number (Division Use Only)	G07-02-20-T01

TRAIL MAINTENANCE PROJECT CRITERIA

1. Agency Contribution- As calculated on the PC/D (OHV Form J), what percentage of the cost of the Project would be covered by the Applicant?				
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51% or more (10 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	36% - 50% (7 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	26% - 35% (5 points)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	25% (3 points)			
Note: Agency Contribution costs must be specific to work done on the Project; i.e., 100 volunteer hours of Trail Maintenance, or cash contribution to purchase of Trail Maintenance Equipment.				
Applicant Score	10	Division Findings	10	Concur.
2. Natural and Cultural Resource - Impacts to natural and cultural resources should be avoided or minimized. Project would: (Check all that apply.)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain erosion control features (1 point)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Maintain physical barriers to control OHV use (1 point)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Incorporate protection of sensitive resources into Reroutes (1 point)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Site design precludes the need for the above measures (3 points)			
Briefly explain each item checked above: With funding, tractor and hand work will be accomplished to maintain rolling dips, tread maintenance and repair and maintenance of barriers and other traffic control devices on approximately 200 miles of designated OHV trails. Erosion and sediment control features at trailheads, staging areas, and toilet facilities include rocked and paved parking and pedestrian areas Funding will provide for replacement and installation of designated route signs and barriers. Will block off user-created (illegal) trails. Barriers include fencing, boulders, and down trees and in some cases, tree stumps. Specifically at Burlington trails system, minor reroutes have been accomplished to protect resources in riparian areas. Concrete blocks and gabions are used to protect stream crossings				
Scoring: Maximum of 3 points				
Applicant Score	3	Division Findings	3	Concur.

3. Staff qualifications -

a. Supervision - Describe the experience of the person who would direct the trail maintenance work:

David E. Michael, Forest Trails and OHV Coordinator with 30 years of service.

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

X	Average eight or more years in Trail Maintenance work (5 points)			
	Average five or more years in Trail Maintenance work (4 points)			
	Average three or more years in Trail Maintenance work (3 points)			
	Average two or more years in Trail Maintenance work (2 points)			
	Average one or more years in Trail Maintenance work (1 point)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

b. Staff commitment -

Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program:

Briefly describe the scheduling and responsibilities of staff that will work on the Applicant's OHV Trail Maintenance program: David E. Michael is the Tahoe NF Trails and OHV Specialist. Mr. Michael is responsible for oversight and training of trails construction techniques, field monitoring compliance, and program and budget manager for the Forest trails program for both motorized and non-motorized users; he is responsible for overseeing the implementation of the deliverables of grants. Mr. Michael has 30 years of service.

Tahoe NF District Recreation and OHV program management report to Mr. Michael and include Joe Chavez, the Resource Officer for the Yuba River Ranger District (Nevada City and Downieville areas) (14 years) with assistance from Mary Furney, Assistant Recreation Officer, Denise Mazur, Recreation Technician, Bill Bault, Recreation Technician, and Ron Lacey, Fire Protection Officer. Ed Moore, Recreation Officer for the American River Ranger District (Foresthill area) (25 years) works closely with Mark Lambert, Trails Specialist (23 years) and Assistant Recreation Officer Jon George. (5 years) The East Zone has a complement of OHV personnel including Jeff Wiley, Recreation/OHV Officer for the East Zone (15 years), OHV Specialist Suzanne Jensen (8 years), and Mary Westmoreland and Dean Lutz, Recreation Specialists. These district personnel are responsible on a daily basis to schedule other FS personnel, crews, and volunteers to do trail maintenance, facility operation and maintenance, conservation, restoration projects related to OHV use. These individuals are all qualified and certified to assist with compliance issues. With the exception of a couple of seasonal technicians, all employees responsible for OHV recreation use range from 5 years to 30 years of experience. Ms. Jensen is solely dedicated to OHV program

Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)

X	Paid staff is solely dedicated to OHV program (5 points)			
	Paid staff is not solely dedicated to OHV program (3 points)			
Applicant Score	5	Division Findings	5	Concur.

4. Have all of the trails to be maintained been inventoried?	
	No (Skip to item 5.) (no points)
X	Yes (Check all that apply.)
X	Terrain, including slopes (2 points)
X	Soil composition (2 points)
X	Erosion control features (2 points)
X	Signs/barriers (2 points)
X	Type of use (2 points)
X	Level of difficulty (2 points)

List the document name and date of publication, as well as where a copy of the plan can be viewed:

Tahoe NF ArcGIS 9.1 and 9.2 data bases-soils, geology

Tahoe NF INFRA databases-features and general description components.

Available electronically at the Tahoe NF Supervisor's Office in Nevada City, CA

Forest OHV Route Designation in progress inventorying all OHV roads/trails.

Scoring: Maximum of 12 points.

Applicant Score	12	Division Findings	12	Concur.
-----------------	-----------	-------------------	-----------	---------

5. Recycled Materials - Project would make use of recycled materials: (Check the one most appropriate.)

	100% (5 points)
	75% (4 points)
	50% (3 points)
X	49% or less (no score)

Briefly describe what recycled materials will be used.

When ordering any supplies, our agency strongly encourages buying products which contain recycled materials. Many of the signs ordered for the East Zone of the Forest are made of 100% post-consumer recycled material (Pollydura) from the J. L. Darling Corporation.

Applicant Score	0	Division Findings	0	Concur.
-----------------	----------	-------------------	----------	---------

Maximum points available for Project specific criteria: 40