

**San Benito County**

Comments submitted by the OHV Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

**General Evaluation Criteria**

- #7b – The County does not have a current OHV Program, therefore this question should not be marked; applicant may want to revise.
- #7c – The County does not have a current OHV Program, therefore this question should not be marked; applicant may want to revise.
- #10 – The County does not have a current OHV Program, therefore this question should not be marked; applicant may want to revise.

Restoration – Planning – San Benito River

G10-03-56-R02

**Project Description**

- B – Applicant may want to indicate how the proposed project facilitates a broader regional multi-land use manager coordinated effort to sustain or manage OHV Recreation.
- F – Not required unless project involves scientific and cultural studies.
- G – Not required if project involves only planning for restoration.

**Project Cost Estimate**

- Staff – “3 years; Admin Restoration Plan De” appears to be an indirect cost. Applicant may want to provide more information.

**Evaluation Criteria**

- #2 – Project Description does not support the response. The project appears to involve only planning for restoration.
- #4 – Project Description does not support the response. The project appears to involve only planning for restoration.

- #8 – Narrative does not support response. The County cannot partner with itself; and the City of Hollister only counts as one entity.
- #9 – Project Description does not support the response. The project does not appear to include scientific and cultural studies.
- #10 – Narrative does not support the response.
- #11 – Project Description does not support the response. The project activities do not indicate any areas will be restored.