

Riverside County Regional Park and Open-Space District

Comments submitted by the OHV Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #7b – Should be blank, response conflicts with response to #1a (no legal OHV riding opportunity).
- #10 – Should be blank, response conflicts with response to #1a (no legal OHV riding opportunity).
- #12c – Should be blank, response conflicts with response to #1a (no legal OHV riding opportunity).

Restoration – CalMat Site	G10-03-60-R02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A – Applicant’s mission does not appear to sustain OHV Recreation. • B – Applicant may want to indicate how the proposed project facilitates a broader regional multi-land use manager coordinated effort to sustain or manage OHV Recreation. • F – Not required unless project involves scientific and cultural studies. • G – Applicant may want to address how they plan to insure the restored area(s) will be protected. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – “Sr. Park Planner” and “Natural Resources Manager” appear to be Indirect Costs. • Contracts – “Well Construction” and “Irrigation System” line items do not appear to be related to this project and would not be eligible. • Contracts – Applicant may want to provide additional information for line items: “Landscape Restoration”, “Environmental Analysis/CEQA/WQMP”, “Design and Construction Documentat”, and “Permitting Services”. These items do not appear to be related to this project. • Contracts – “Fencing” appears excessive. Applicant may want to provide additional information. • Materials / Supplies – “Portable Generator (For Well)” does not appear to be related to this project. • Total Indirect Costs exceed 15% of the Grant Request amount. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – A number should be entered for checked item “Sensitive areas...”. • #6 – Need reference document. • #7 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “Meeting(s) with stakeholders” and “...identify the dates for the meetings and calls. • #9 – This item should be blank, this item applies only to scientific and cultural studies. • #10 – Narrative does not support response. • #11 – Applicant may want to verify the response is relative to the size of sensitive habitats which will be restored for this project. 	