

Native American Land Conservancy

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant’s application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities. Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow “green sticker” off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding.

Applicants are reminded that no grant funds and/or match can be expended or project activities conducted in any land owned or managed by the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #5 – Applicant must verify response.
- #7c – Narrative does not support “Education programs, maps and/or brochures provided to the public address OHV trespass, including respect for private property.”
- #12b– Applicant must verify responses. It appears the website does not contain many of the items selected.

Restoration	G15-04-31-R01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment 	

Project Cost Estimate

- No comment
- Staff – “Restoration Manager”, the duties describing the need for monitoring appear duplicative of the “Site Monitor”. Additional details are needed to justify expense.

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Narrative does not support “Archeological and historical resources ...” The archaeological sites are not identified and it is not clear if the archeological sites referenced are identified in the California Register of Historic Resources or the National Register of Historic Places.
- #2- Narrative does not support “Soils – Site is actively eroding”. Additional details are needed to support the soils in the project area are actively eroding.
- #2 – Narrative does not support “Sensitive areas”. Applicant must identify the three sensitive habitats.
- #2 – “Sensitive areas”, based on applicant’s selection, at least three sensitive areas must be identified.
- #4- “Identification of alternate OHV routes...” Narrative does not support the selection, whereas the applicant’s response identifies that the information of alternate ohv routes are not part of this proposed projects activities.
- #5 – Applicant must provide a name and date of plan.
- #7- Applicant must identify how the public was notified of the meeting on February 26, 2016. Applicant must also provide the date of the stakeholders meetings.
- #10 – Narrative does not support the underlying problem was resolved prior to this application.