

Friends of the High Lakes

Comments submitted by the OHMVR Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #1 – Response should match the land manager’s response.
- #4 – Response should match the land manager’s response.
- #7b – Response should match the land manager’s response.
- #8b – Response should match the land manager’s response.
- #9b – Response should match the land manager’s response.
- #12c – Response should match the land manager’s response.

Ground Operations – Lassen High Lakes OHV Area	G10-04-53-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Contracts “Other – CSU, Chico Research Program” line item "Project Director" appears excessive. • #3 – Materials and Supplies "Other – Sanitary kits and personal hygiene" appears excessive. • #4 – Equipment Use Expenses " Other – Volunteer Mileage" appears excessive. • #5 – Equipment Purchases "Other – snowmobile" and "Other – used/built Toyota 4x4" appear excessive and the need is unclear. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #4 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to “Publicly Noticed Meetings” and “Conference Calls”. • #5 – Narrative does not explain how each partner(s) will be utilized in the project. • #6 – Narrative does not support "water quality", "sanitary facilities" or "cultural sites" selections. • #7 – Selections are not supported by Project Description. • #8 – Narrative does not support the response. 	