

## Friends of Jawbone

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities. Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow "green sticker" off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding.

Applicants are reminded that no grant funds and/or match can be expended or project activities conducted in any land owned or managed by the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

### General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #3a&b – Applicant must verify response.
- #5 – Applicant must verify response.
- #8b – Applicant must provide date(s) of reference document(s).
- #11b – Narrative does not support "5 to 19 times per year" of onsite education efforts. It is unclear is the OHV safety event is still taking place.
- #12a&b– Applicant must verify responses.
- #13 – This question is to address the Applicant's OHV outreach efforts, not the land manager's efforts. It is unclear if applicant's selections represent their own efforts.

Ground Operations – WEMO  
Compliance

G14-04-13-G01

Project Description
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>
Project Cost Estimate
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Total Grant Request appears excessive compared to previous year's request and to Land Managers with comparable projects. While the WEMO decision will increase some duties, there is not enough information provided within the Project Description or the notes in the Cost Estimate to justify the significant increase in grant request from last year.</li> <li>Staff – All line items – Staff – All line items – Due to a recent audit it has been determined that all staff are actually under contract. Applicant must move all staff items to one contract under the Contracts section of the Cost Estimate. Applicant must provide the name of the Contractor.</li> <li>Staff – Auger Operator – Some duties described, "...protective signing to prevent incursions..." are Restoration deliverables and are not eligible under Ground Operations. Applicant must adjust line item accordingly.</li> <li>Staff – Heavy Equipment Operator, 2<sup>nd</sup> Operator line item – This is an additional full time position that has been added since last year. Applicant must provide additional details to justify the need for this position.</li> <li>Staff – Archeologist/Biologist – Some duties described, "...potential restoration sites" and "...will inspect incursions (illegal OHV routes)..." are Restoration deliverables and are not eligible under Ground Operations. Applicant must adjust line item accordingly.</li> <li>Materials/Supplies – Brown sign posts – This cost appears excessive in comparison to line items from last year. Applicant must provide additional details and explain the increase in cost for signs.</li> <li>Materials/Supplies – Peeler Posts – "...extensive protective signing to prevent incursions..." are Restoration deliverables and are not eligible under Ground Operations. Applicant must adjust line item accordingly.</li> <li>Equipment Use Expenses – F350 4x4 – This cost appears excessive in comparison to the same line item from last year. Applicant must provide additional details and explain the increase in cost.</li> <li>Equipment Use Expenses – Mini Excavator – This cost appears excessive in comparison to the same line item from last year. Applicant must provide additional details and explain the increase in cost.</li> <li>Equipment Use Expenses – Equipment Rental – The notes for this line item includes a bobcat/auger adapter/auger bit. This appears duplicative to the Bobcat line item. Applicant must provide additional details for these line items.</li> <li>Equipment Use Expenses – 2 Toyota 4x4 Crew Trucks – Applicant must verify that these trucks were not purchased using the OHMVR Grant Program.</li> <li>Equipment Use Expenses – Fuel/Gas – This cost appears excessive in comparison to the same line item from last year. Applicant must provide additional details and explain the increase in cost.</li> </ul>

Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #4 – Applicant must identify how the participants are stakeholders to the project. In addition, applicant must identify the dates of the stakeholder(s) meetings.</li> <li>• #5 – The activities of Cooper Ecological Monitoring, Inc. are Restoration related and are not eligible as partner activities for a Ground Operations project.</li> <li>• #6 – Narrative does not support “Protecting water quality” selection.</li> <li>• #7 – Project Description does not support “Barrier materials...”, “Erosion control features...” or “Other products...” selections.</li> </ul>	

Restoration - WEMO & DRECP Adjustments	G14-04-13-R01
--	---------------

Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment.</li> </ul>	

Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff - The “restoration workers” and the “heavy equipment operator”, as determined by a recent audit, are considered a contract therefore, applicant to move these items to the contract category.</li> <li>• Indirect Costs – “3. Indirect costs – Project Coordinators”, this line item is a duplicate of the previous line item 2.</li> </ul>	

Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2 – Narrative does not support “Domestic Water supply...” it is unclear if the failure to fund this project will impact a domestic water supply. Additional details are needed to justify this selection.</li> <li>• #2 – “Sensitive areas”, the narrative only listed two sensitive areas. Based on applicant’s selection, three sensitive areas must be identified.</li> <li>• #2 – “Threatened and Endangered (T&amp;E) species” was not identified in the narrative. Based on applicant’s selection, three T&amp;E species must be identified.</li> <li>• #2 – “Other special-status species”, is not listed. Based on applicant’s selection, three special-status species must be identified.</li> <li>• #7 – Narrative does not support the stakeholder meeting. Applicant must identify if the stakeholder meetings were the same meetings held on January 4, 2015 and February 18, 2015. Additionally, applicant must identify the participants of the stakeholder meetings and how the participants are stakeholders to the project.</li> <li>• #8 – Applicant must identify, separately, how each partner organization will participate in the project.</li> <li>• #10 – Narrative does not support the underlying problem was resolved prior to this application.</li> <li>• #11 – The project description does not support more than 10 acres of sensitive habitat will be restored within the Project Area.</li> </ul>	

Education & Safety - Young Riders II	G14-04-13-S01
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment Purchases – “1. Quad for instructor”, Applicant must provide detailed information justifying the need for an, “instructors only”, quad.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #4 – Applicant must list each partner organization(s) separately and explain how it and its partners will work together on its proposed project.</li> <li>• #5 – Selection are not addressed in the Project Description; Motorcycle, Applicant must provide additional detailed information substantiating this selection.</li> <li>• #6 – Narrative does not support the response. Applicant must show how the public meetings were publicly noticed and how the listed stakeholders actual stakeholders for this project.</li> <li>• #8 – Narrative does not support selection; “Social media”, Applicant must identify the social media being utilized for this OHV education project.</li> </ul>	

Education and Safety - OwlsheadGPS - Mobile	G14-04-13-S02
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #5 – Selections are not addressed in the Project Description. Applicant must provide additional information substantiating the selections.</li> <li>• #6 – Narrative does not support the response. Applicant must provide detail on how the listed stakeholders are actual stakeholders for this project.</li> <li>• #7 – Narrative does not support selection; “Plan to implement the Project”. Applicant must provide clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements to substantiate this selection.</li> </ul>	