

Friends of Jawbone

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant to verify response.
- #5 – Applicant to verify response.
- #9 – Applicant to verify response.
- #12 a&b – The narrative needs to match the land manager's response to this question.

Ground Operations

G11-04-13-G01

Project Description

- A – Task 1 appears to be a duplicate of the BLM Ridgecrest's ground operations project. Need additional details.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff – Park Attendant – It is unclear if this position is an appropriate expense for the successful operation of the project.
- Equipment Purchase – MX ATVs – The purchase of two ATVs appear excessive. Need to identify why two ATVs are needed for the successful operation of the project.

Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – The narrative does not support “Negative impact to cultural sites”, “Damage to special-status species...” or “Additional damage to Facilities”. • #4 – Need to verify the meeting dates. The narrative states 2010 and 2011. • #5 – Private property owners do not qualify as partner organizations. • #6 – The narrative does not support “Protecting water quality”. • #7 – Trail maps are not identified in the project and “Wooden kiosks... and ...truncated signs...” are the same as the second selection, “Signs, sign posts or education kiosks...”. 	

Restoration – Incursion Repair	G11-04-13-R01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contracts – “Other–GPS/Pictures Management” appears excessive. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support response. Applicant should identify “Threatened and Endangered (T&E) listed species” and “Other special-status species”. • #3 – Project description does not support response. • #7 – Need to provide specific date(s) for each meeting. • #8 – Private property owners do not qualify as partner organizations. • #10 – Narrative does not support response. 	

Education and Safety	G11-04-13-S01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A – Explain the acronyms NEMO, WECO, NECO, and WEMO. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – Other Technical Personnel – The hour quantity is excessive in comparison to last year’s project. • Other- Web server hosting – Cost item should be moved to the Contracts category. 	

Evaluation Criteria

- #4 – Need to provide additional information on how each identified partner will directly participate in this project.
- #7 – Narrative does not support “Process of Researching issues...”.
- #9 – The project description does not support “Greater than 2 hours”.
- #10 – Narrative does not support “Internet classes”.