

## **Farmworker Institute of Education & Leadership Development, Inc.**

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities. Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow "green sticker" off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding.

Applicants are reminded that no grant funds and/or match can be expended or project activities conducted in any land owned or managed by the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

### **General Evaluation Criteria**

- #b(ii - v) – It is unclear if the applicant conferred with the different land managers to respond appropriately to these questions. Applicant must verify responses with the land managers.
- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #3a&b – Applicant must verify response.
- #4 – It is unclear if the applicant conferred with the different land managers to respond appropriately to this question. Applicant must verify response with the land managers.
- #5 – Applicant must verify response.
- #7b- Narrative was cut off. Applicant is to condense land manager's responses to a succinct clear response.
- #7c- Narrative was cut off. Applicant is to condense land manager's responses to a succinct clear response.
- #8b – Applicant must provide date(s) of reference document(s).

- #9b- Narrative was cut off. Applicant is to condense land manager's responses to a succinct clear response.
- #11c- Narrative was cut off. Applicant is to condense land manager's responses to a succinct clear response.
- #12a&b– Applicant must verify responses.
- #13 – This question is to address the Applicant's OHV outreach efforts, not the land manager's efforts. It is unclear if applicant's selections represent their own efforts.
- #14 – Applicant answered in error. Only Applicants or Land Managers with NO legal riding opportunities should respond to this question.

Restoration - Calico Phase II	G14-04-60-R01
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A - Applicant must provide how this project differs from last year's project. Additionally, the reference to purchasing a laptop and camera appear to be from last year's project description and not relevant to this year's application.</li> <li>• A - It is unclear what "... restore 141 acres of open access routes ..." means. Applicant must clarify the restoration will take place on non-designated OHV areas.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – “Crew Supervisor”, the rate for this position has increased from last year by approximately \$9.00 per hour. Additional details are needed to justify the increased rate.</li> <li>• Equipment Use – “Fuel for Equipment &amp; Oil”, the cost of \$250 per vehicle per week appears excessive and is increased from last year's fuel request. Additional details are needed to justify the increase in fuel costs.</li> <li>• Equipment Use – “Vehicle maintenance”, the cost of \$400 per vehicle per month appears excessive. Additional details are needed to justify the maintenance costs.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2 – Narrative does not support “Soils – site actively eroding”. Additional details are needed to support the soils are actively eroding.</li> <li>• #7 – Narrative does not support the selected items. Applicant must identify the location of the public meeting and if the public and stakeholder meeting was the same meeting. Additionally, the applicant must identify the participants of the stakeholder meeting and how the participants are stakeholders to the project.</li> <li>• #8 – The narrative does not support the partner organization will participate in this project. Participation on prior projects are not eligible to receive points.</li> <li>• #11 – The project description does not support more than 10 acres of sensitive habitat will be restored within the Project Area.</li> </ul>	

Restoration - Fort Irwin	G14-04-60-R02
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A - It appears the reference to purchasing a laptop and camera was included unintentionally and is not relevant to this year's application.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – “Crew Supervisor”, the rate for this position is excessive. Additional details are needed to justify the rate.</li> <li>• Materials/Supplies – “Project Materials”, the project description does not identify the need for barriers and peeler posts. Additional details are needed.</li> <li>• Equipment Use – “Fuel for Equipment &amp; Oil”, the cost of \$250 per vehicle per week appears excessive. Additional details are needed to justify cost.</li> <li>• Equipment Use – “Vehicle maintenance”, the cost of \$400 for two vehicles per month appears excessive. Additional details are needed to justify the cost.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #7 – Narrative does not support the selected items. Applicant must identify the location of the public meeting and if the public and stakeholder meeting was the same meeting. Additionally, the applicant must identify the participants of the stakeholder meeting and how the participants are stakeholders to the project.</li> <li>• #8 – The narrative does not support the partner organization will participate in this project. Participation on prior projects are not eligible to receive points.</li> <li>• #11 – The project description does not support more than 10 acres of sensitive habitat will be restored within the Project Area.</li> </ul>	

Restoration - Middle Knob	G14-04-60-R03
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – “Crew Supervisor”, the rate for this position is excessive. Additional details are needed to justify the rate.</li> <li>• Materials/Supplies – “Project Work Tools”, the notes description does not identify the project work tools needed for the project.</li> <li>• Materials/Supplies – “Project Materials”, this line item appears to be a duplicate of the Project Work Tools line item.</li> <li>• Equipment Use – “Fuel for Equipment &amp; Oil”, the cost of \$250 per vehicle per week appears excessive. Additional details are needed to justify cost.</li> <li>• Equipment Use – “Vehicle maintenance”, the cost of \$200 per vehicle per month is excessive. Additional details are needed to justify the cost.</li> </ul>	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment Purchase – “Generator”, it is unclear why a generator is needed. Additional details are needed.</li> </ul>
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2 – The narrative does not support the selected items. The responses provided are not related to this project.</li> <li>• #7 – Narrative does not support the selected items. Meetings must be hosted by the applicant in order to receive points.</li> <li>• #8 – The narrative does not support how the partner organization will participate in this project.</li> <li>• #11 – The project description does not support more than 10 acres of sensitive habitat will be restored within the Project Area.</li> </ul>

Restoration - Kiavah	G14-04-60-R04
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comments.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – “Crew Supervisor”, the rate for this position is excessive. Additional details are needed to justify the rate.</li> <li>• Staff – “Botanist”, “Wildlife Biologist” and “Planning Environmental”, the duties listed for each staff item are not identified in the project description and appear unrelated to this project. Applicant must clarify the activities of this project. Additionally, applicant must explain if this is a two-phase project.</li> <li>• Equipment Use Expenses– “Fuel for Equipment &amp; Oil”, the cost of \$250 per vehicle per week appears excessive. Additional details are needed to justify cost.</li> <li>• Equipment Use Expenses– “Vehicle maintenance”, the cost of \$200 per vehicle per month appears excessive. Additional details are needed to justify the cost.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2 – Narrative does not support “Soils – site actively eroding”. Additional details are needed to support site is actively eroding.</li> <li>• #2 – Applicant must enter the number of sensitive habitats.</li> <li>• #4 – Narrative does not support “Identification of alternate OHV routes...”</li> <li>• #7 – Narrative does not support the selected item. Applicant must identify where the public meeting was held.</li> <li>• #8 – Applicant must identify how the partner organization will participate in the project.</li> </ul>	

Restoration - Pinto Mountain Mining District	G14-04-60-R05
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A - It is unclear what "... restore 327 acres of open access routes ..." means. Applicant must clarify the restoration will take place on non-designated routes.</li> <li>• A - Applicant must explain if this is a two-phase project.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – “Crew Supervisor”, the rate for this position is excessive. Additional details are needed to justify the rate.</li> <li>• Staff – “Trails Manager”, the duties listed do not appear related to the project. Additional details are needed.</li> <li>• Staff – “Chief of Resource Management” and “Planning and Environmental Coordin”, the activities listed are not identified as part of the project.</li> <li>• Staff – “Cultural Resources Lead”, the activities listed are not identified as part of the project.</li> <li>• Staff – “Archeologist” and “Botanist”, the activities listed are not identified as part of the project.</li> <li>• Staff – “Wildlife Biologist” and “Physical Scientist”, the activities listed are not identified as part of the project.</li> <li>• Staff – “Administrative Support” and “Chief of Facilities”, are considered indirect costs. Applicant must move these costs to the Indirect category.</li> <li>• Equipment Use – “Fuel for Equipment &amp; Oil”, the cost of \$250 per vehicle per week appears excessive. Additional details are needed to justify cost.</li> <li>• Equipment Use – “Vehicle maintenance”, the cost of \$200 per vehicle per month is excessive. Additional details are needed to justify the cost.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2 – Narrative does not support “Sensitive areas”. Applicant must identify the three sensitive habitats.</li> <li>• #2 – Narrative does not support “Other special-status species”. Creosote Bush, Ocotillo, and Cholla Cactus are not considered special status species.</li> <li>• #4 – Narrative does not support “Use of native plants and materials”.</li> <li>• #7 – Narrative does not support the selected items. Applicant must identify where the public meeting was held and if the public and the stakeholder meetings were the same meeting. If not, need dates of the stakeholder’s meeting. Additionally, the applicant must identify the participants of the stakeholder meetings and how the participants are stakeholders to the project.</li> <li>• #8 – Applicant must identify how the partner organization will participate in the project.</li> </ul>	