

Factual Findings
2008/2009 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division Remarks
1	American Desert Foundation	Education & Safety	G08-04-04-S02	3	Previous Year Performance	0	2	First time applicant.
2	BLM - Arcata Field Office	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Ground Operations	G08-01-02-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input employing the following	2	1	Applicant did not fully explain public meeting.
3	BLM - Barstow Field Office	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		El Mirage OHV Ground Operations	G08-01-04-G01	7	The Project incorporates recycled materials by utilizing	4	3	Applicant describes prior projects as other recycled activities.
		Barstow OHV Ground Operations	G08-01-04-G03	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies such as	4	0	The description provided by applicant does not demonstrate substantial use of sustainable technology.
		Afton Canyon Restoration	G08-01-04-R03	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved	3	0	Applicant failed to appropriately explain "yes" answer.
		Juniper Flats Restoration	G08-01-04-R04	9	Scientific and cultural studies will	1	0	This project is not a Scientific Study.
		Education & Safety	G08-01-04-S01	12	The Project will utilize personnel trained to the following level	5	0	Project description describes an Education project, however applicant responded to "Safety" criteria.
		Education & Safety	G08-01-04-S01	13	The Project will provide search and rescue as follows	5	0	Project description describes an Education project, however applicant responded to "Safety" criteria.
		Education & Safety	G08-01-04-S01	14	The Project will have the majority of personnel trained in the following areas	12	0	Project description describes an Education project, however applicant responded to "Safety" criteria.
		Education & Safety	G08-01-04-S01	15	The Project will have resources that are equipped and trained for rescue in the following environmental conditions*	8	0	Project description describes an Education project, however applicant responded to "Safety" criteria.
		Education & Safety	G08-01-04-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
4	BLM - Bishop Field Office	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Planning	G08-01-05-P01	7	The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner	3	0	The narrative does not support the item selected.
		Restoration Chidago WSA	G08-01-05-R01	2	Natural and Cultural Resources - Failure to fund the Project will result in adverse impacts to:	5	7	Applicant had input Wilderness Study Area - program did not compute the points.
		Restoration Alabama Hills	G08-01-05-R03	9	Scientific and cultural studies will	2	0	This is not a Scientific Study.

Factual Findings
2008/2009 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division Remarks
		Education & Safety	G08-01-05-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
5	BLM - California Desert District	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		General Criteria		12 a.	Land Manager has developed a systematic methodology for evaluating soil conditions of its OHV Opportunities?	0	5	The Soil Conservation Plan indicates the land manager has a systematic methodology for evaluating soil conditions.
		Ecology - Desert Tortoise Large-Plot Monitoring	G08-01-06-G02	3	The Project would sustain OHV Opportunity by	4	3	Applicant does not appropriately describe how project provide for multi-use.
		Ecology - CDD Mojave Fringe-toed Lizard Population Monitoring	G08-01-06-G03	3	The Project would sustain OHV Opportunity by	3	2	Project does not include signage.
		Ecology - CDD Mojave Fringe-toed Lizard Population Monitoring	G08-01-06-G03	4	The Project was developed with public input employing the following	2	0	Applicant failed to show public input - including meetings or conference calls.
		Ecology - CDD Mojave Fringe-toed Lizard Population Monitoring	G08-01-06-G03	9	The Project improves and/or maintains facilities that provide motorized access to the following non-motorized recreation opportunities	6	0	The monitoring project does not improve access to non-motorized use.
		Ecology - CDD Sonoran Desert Thorn Woodland Bird Monitoring	G08-01-06-G04	3	The Project would sustain OHV Opportunity by	3	0	Monitoring project does not include signage activities.
		Ecology - CDD Sonoran Desert Thorn Woodland Bird Monitoring	G08-01-06-G04	9	The Project improves and/or maintains facilities that provide motorized access to the following non-motorized recreation opportunities	6	0	The project for monitoring does not provide for motorized access to non-motorized recreation.
		CDD OHV Travel for Washes for NECO Plan Compliance	G08-01-06-G05	4	The Project was developed with public input employing the following	2	0	Applicant failed to connect public meetings and/or conference calls to this specific project.
		CDD OHV Travel for Washes for NECO Plan Compliance	G08-01-06-G05	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by	2	1	The monitoring activities of this project does not include the construction of barriers.
		CDD OHV Travel for Washes for NECO Plan Compliance	G08-01-06-G05	9	The Project improves and/or maintains facilities that provide motorized access to the following non-motorized recreation opportunities	6	0	The project for monitoring does not provide for motorized access to non-motorized recreation.
		Restoration - Wilderness Restoration VIII	G08-01-06-R03	2	Natural and Cultural Resources - Failure to fund the Project will result in adverse impacts to:	8	0	Applicant does not appropriately describe the type and severity of impacts that might occur relative to the checked items.

Factual Findings
2008/2009 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division Remarks
		Restoration - Wilderness Restoration VIII	G08-01-06-R03	4	Measures to ensure success the Project makes use of the following elements to ensure successful implementation	8	0	Applicant failed to provide appropriate explanation for each item checked above.
		Restoration - Wilderness Restoration VIII	G08-01-06-R03	7	The Project was developed with public input employing the following	2	1	Applicant failed to appropriately explain conference calls.
		Restoration - Wilderness Restoration VIII	G08-01-06-R03	9	Scientific and cultural studies will	1	0	This is not a Scientific Study.
		Safety - TV Show: OHV Tour of Old Mining Districts	G08-01-06-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Safety - TV Show: OHV Tour of Old Mining Districts	G08-01-06-S01	6	The Project was developed with public input employing the following	2	1	Applicant failed to explain conference calls.
6	BLM - California State Office	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Planning- Develop Statewide Protocol and Capacity Building to Implement OHMVR So	G08-01-07-P02	2	The Planning Project would address the following	4	3	The narrative does not support all items selected.
		BLM OHV Site Analyses for Field Office Staff Support	G08-01-07-P03	2	The Planning Project would address the following	4	3	The narrative does not support all items selected.
		Planning Meccacopia Soil Survey	G08-01-07-P04	7	The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner	6	3	The narrative does not support all items selected.
		Planning Meccacopia Soil Survey	G08-01-07-P04	8	Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified	5	0	The narrative does not support the response selected.
		Education & Safety	G08-01-07-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Education & Safety	G08-01-07-S01	6	The Project was developed with public input employing the following	2	1	Applicant failed to appropriately explain meetings with the general public.
7	BLM - Eagle Lake Field Office	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.

**Factual Findings
2008/2009 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division Remarks
		General Criteria		8 d.	ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses are offered	3	0	Narrative does not address weekly ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses. The narrative only addresses on how to find an approved safety institute and/or motorcycle training course.
		Restoration	G08-01-08-R01	4	Measures to ensure success the Project makes use of the following elements to ensure successful implementation	12	10	Applicant failed to appropriately explain identification of alternate OHV routes to ensure that OHV activities will not reoccur in restored area.
8	BLM - El Centro Field Office	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		General Criteria		8 d.	ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses are offered	1	0	The narrative indicates ATV safety courses are offered once a year not monthly as selected.
		General Criteria		13	The Applicant or Land Manager conducts, or causes to be conducted, sound level testing	4	0	Narrative indicates a future action.
		Ground Operations	G08-01-09-G01	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by	7	0	The project area is not fenced.
		Restoration - WECO	G08-01-09-R01	9	Scientific and cultural studies will	1	0	This is not a Scientific Study.
		Education & Safety	G08-01-09-S01	1	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the cost of the Project covered by the Applicant is	10	5	All Costs not eligible for match
		Education & Safety	G08-01-09-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
9	BLM - Needles Field Office	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Education & Outreach	G08-01-12-S02	3	Previous Year Performance	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Education & Outreach	G08-01-12-S02	11	The Project provides direct support for delivery of ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation training	2	0	The Project does not provide direct support for delivery of ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation training
10	BLM - Palm Springs South Coast Field Office	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Restoration Dos Palmas Fence	G08-01-13-R01	7	The Project was developed with public input employing the following	2	1	Applicant does not appropriately explain conference calls.

**Factual Findings
2008/2009 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division Remarks
11	BLM - Redding Field Office	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
12	BLM - Ridgcrest Field Office	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Development	G08-01-15-D01	7	The Project is designed to sustain existing OHV Recreation	3	2	Project improves support facilities. not OHV Opportunity.
		Education & Safety	G08-01-15-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
13	BLM - Ukiah Field Office	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
14	California Trail Users Coalition	General Criteria		5	For Applicant's OHV grant Projects which reached the end of the Project performance period within the last two years, the percentage of all deliverables accomplished	5	2	Incorrect response. This is a first time applicant.
		General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	2	First time applicant.
		Ground Operations - Access Roads and Trails Maintenance (Sot SNF)	G08-04-06-G01	3	The Project would sustain OHV Opportunity by	13	12	Applicant failed to address multi-use.
		Ground Operations - Access Roads and Trails Maintenance (Sot SNF)	G08-04-06-G01	5	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are	4	3	Applicant included itself as a partner.
		Ground Operations - Angeles National Forest Repaving Parking Lot	G08-04-06-G02	2	Failure to complete the Project would result in:	8	2	Applicant failed to appropriately describe how the failure to fund project will lead to loss of opportunity.
		Ground Operations - Angeles National Forest Repaving Parking Lot	G08-04-06-G02	4	The Project was developed with public input employing the following	2	0	Applicant failed to appropriately describe public meetings/conference calls.
		Education & Safety - New & Updates for NF&BLM Area Trail Maps	G08-04-06-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	2	First time applicant.
		Education & Safety - New & Updates for NF&BLM Area Trail Maps	G08-04-06-S01	7	The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements	6	0	It appears that the project description and activities do not support the boxes that were checked.

Factual Findings
2008/2009 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division Remarks
		Education & Safety - New & Updates for NF&BLM Area Trail Maps	G08-04-06-S01	10	The Project will utilize the following methods of education	8	4	Applicant failed to appropriately demonstrate that "hands on training" and "signage" were appropriate.
		Education & Safety - New & Updates for NF&BLM Area Trail Maps	G08-04-06-S01	11	The Project provides direct support for delivery of ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation training	2	0	The Project does not provide direct support for delivery of ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation training
		Education & Safety - SotSNF OHV Host Program	G08-04-06-S02	3	Previous Year Performance	0	2	First time applicant.
15	City of California City	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Ground Operations	G08-03-26-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input employing the following	2	1	Applicant failed to address all boxes checked. Applicant only addresses meetings with stakeholders.
		Ground Operations	G08-03-26-G01	5	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are	4	1	Applicant used itself as a partner.
		Ground Operations	G08-03-26-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies such as	4	0	The description provided by applicant does not demonstrate substantial use of sustainable technology.
		Education & Safety	G08-03-26-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
16	City of Marysville	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	2	First time applicant.
		Ground Operations	G08-03-42-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input employing the following	2	1	Applicant only describes meetings with stakeholders.
		Ground Operations	G08-03-42-G01	9	The Project improves and/or maintains facilities that provide motorized access to the following non-motorized recreation opportunities	6	0	The project area does not provide for motorized access to non-motorized recreation.
17	City of Porterville Parks and Leisure Services	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Acquisition	G08-03-24-A01	4	Primary funding source for future development and operation cost will be	5	0	Applicant has a Development project request in the 2008/09 grants cycle.
		Ground Operations	G08-03-24-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies such as	4	0	The description provided by applicant does not demonstrate substantial use of sustainable technology.

Factual Findings
2008/2009 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division Remarks
		Ground Operations	G08-03-24-G01	9	The Project improves and/or maintains facilities that provide motorized access to the following non-motorized recreation opportunities	2	0	The project area does not provide for motorized access to non-motorized recreation.
18	City of Tulare Recreation Parks and Library Department	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Ground Operations	G08-03-04-G01	2	Failure to complete the Project would result in:	8	6	Applicant failed to appropriately describe the sensitive species protection.
		Ground Operations	G08-03-04-G01	5	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are	4	0	Applicant failed to appropriately demonstrate support for the project of maintaining OHV park.
		Ground Operations	G08-03-04-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies such as	4	0	The description provided by applicant does not demonstrate substantial use of sustainable technology.
		Ground Operations	G08-03-04-G01	9	The Project improves and/or maintains facilities that provide motorized access to the following non-motorized recreation opportunities	2	0	The project area does not provide for motorized access to non-motorized recreation.
19	Desert Group Search and Rescue Volunteer, Inc.	Education & Safety	G08-04-09-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	2	First time applicant.
20	Desert Tortoise Preserve Committee, Inc.	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	2	First time applicant.
		Ground Operations-Signs	G08-04-10-G02	3	The Project would sustain OHV Opportunity by	4	3	Applicant failed to appropriately describe multi-use.
21	El Dorado County Transportation Department	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		General Criteria		12 a.	Land Manager has developed a systematic methodology for evaluating soil conditions of its OHV Opportunities?	0	5	The applicant has submitted a Soil Conservation Plan, therefore they have a systematic methodology for evaluating soil conditions.
		General Criteria		12 b.	Land Manager has developed methods to address soil issues?	0	5	The applicant has submitted a Soil Conservation Plan, therefore they have methods to address soil issues.
		Development - Rubicon Trail Restroom Ellis Creek Crossing Area	G08-03-06-D01	7	The Project is designed to sustain existing OHV Recreation	3	2	Project improves support facilities, not OHV Opportunity.

Factual Findings
2008/2009 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division Remarks
		Ground Operations - Rubicon Trail Ellis Creek Crossing Area	G08-03-06-G01	7	The Project incorporates recycled materials by utilizing	3	2	Applicant did not demonstrate maps as part of the project.
		Ground Operations - Rubicon Trail Ellis Creek Crossing Area	G08-03-06-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies such as	4	0	The description provided by applicant does not demonstrate substantial use of sustainable technology.
		Education - Rubicon Trail Education/Public Outreach	G08-03-06-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
22	Friends of El Mirage				In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	2	First time applicant.
		General Criteria		6		0	2	First time applicant.
		Ground Operations - Trail Maintenance/Signage	G08-04-11-G02	7	The Project incorporates recycled materials by utilizing	4	3	Applicant failed to appropriately explain "other" that was checked.
23	Friends of Hope Valley	Education & Safety	G08-04-12-S03	3	Previous Year Performance	0	2	First time applicant.
24	Friends of Jawbone				In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	2	First time applicant.
		General Criteria		6		0	2	First time applicant.
		Ground Operations - Trail Maintenance/Annual Sustainment	G08-04-13-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies such as	4	0	The description provided by applicant does not demonstrate substantial use of sustainable technology.
		Education & Safety - Electronic (LED) Message Center at Hwy 14	G08-04-13-S04	3	Previous Year Performance	0	2	First time applicant.
25	Golden Gate National Parks Conservancy				In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	2	First time applicant.
		General Criteria		6		0	2	First time applicant.
		Restoration	G08-04-17-R01	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved	3	0	Applicant failed to appropriately explain the underlying problem for project.
26	National Park Service - Joshua Tree	Education & Safety Desert Tortoise Outreach & Education	G08-05-01-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	2	First time applicant.
27	National Park Service - Mojave National Preserve				In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	2	First time applicant.
		General Criteria		6		0	2	First time applicant.

Factual Findings
2008/2009 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division Remarks
		General Criteria		9 b.	The Land Manager's website contains the following items	5	0	Applicant checked "No" to OHV outreach efforts are accomplished through the Land Managers website. Section (b) is not applicable.
		Restoration	G08-05-02-R01	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved	3	0	Applicant failed to appropriately explain underlying problem.
		Restoration	G08-05-02-R01	9	Scientific and cultural studies will	1	0	This project is not a Scientific Study.
28	Rescue 3 Inc.	Education & Safety	G08-04-20-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	2	First time applicant.
29	Rubicon Trail Foundation	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	2	First time applicant.
		Ground Operations	G08-04-22-G01	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by	5	3	Explanation does not address special status species or cultural sites.
		Ground Operations	G08-04-22-G01	7	The Project incorporates recycled materials by utilizing	2	1	Trail map is not part of the project.
		Ground Operations	G08-04-22-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies such as	4	0	The description provided by applicant does not demonstrate substantial use of sustainable technology.
		Education & Safety	G08-04-22-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	2	First time applicant.
30	San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department	Education & Safety Victor Valley	G08-03-15-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
31	San Bernardino National Forest Association	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Education & Safety	G08-04-02-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Education & Safety	G08-04-02-S01	10	The Project will utilize the following methods of education	14	6	Internet messaging/CDs, Advertising, Public relations/media, and Radio/TV does not appear to be supported in the project description.
		Education & Safety	G08-04-02-S01	11	The Project provides direct support for delivery of ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation training	2	0	The project does not provide direct support for delivery of ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation training. Zero points.
32	Santa Clara County Parks and Recreation Department	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Education & Safety	G08-03-19-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.

**Factual Findings
2008/2009 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division Remarks
34	Stanislaus County Parks and Recreation Department	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Ground Operations - Frank Raines	G08-03-20-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies such as	4	0	The description provided by applicant does not demonstrate substantial use of sustainable technology.
		Ground Operations - La Grange	G08-03-20-G02	4	The Project was developed with public input employing the following	2	0	Applicant failed to explain public input prior to application.
		Ground Operations - La Grange	G08-03-20-G02	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies such as	4	0	The description provided by applicant does not demonstrate substantial use of sustainable technology.
35	Student Conservation Association	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	2	First time applicant.
		General Criteria		8 d.	ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses are offered	1	0	Item selected does not match land manager's (BLM Ridgecrest) response.
36	Tread Lightly!. Inc.	Education & Safety - Tread Trainer	G08-04-26-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	2	First time applicant.
		Education & Safety - Tread Trainer	G08-04-26-S01	5	The Project addresses the following types of OHV Recreation	6	5	Hunting is not a type of OHV Recreation.
37	USFS - Angeles National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
38	USFS - Cleveland National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
39	USFS - Eldorado National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Mud Lake Trailhead Development	G08-02-03-D01	7	The Project is designed to sustain existing OHV Recreation	3	2	Project improves support facilities. not OHV Opportunity.
40	USFS - Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Development	G08-02-04-D01	7	The Project is designed to sustain existing OHV Recreation	3	2	Project improves support facilities. not OHV Opportunity.
41	USFS - Inyo National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.

Factual Findings
2008/2009 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division Remarks
		Ground Operations and Maintenance - Forest	G08-02-05-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies such as	4	0	The description provided by applicant does not demonstrate substantial use of sustainable technology.
		Ground Operations - Route Signing	G08-02-05-G03	3	The Project would sustain OHV Opportunity by	7	4	Applicant does not appropriately explain erosion control features.
		Ground Operations - Route Signing	G08-02-05-G03	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by	4	3	Signage does not maintain physical barriers.
		Education & Safety	G08-02-05-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
42	USFS - Klamath National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
43	USFS - Lassen National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Ground Operations - High Lakes OHV Area Recreation Site Management	G08-02-08-G01	7	The Project incorporates recycled materials by utilizing	5	4	Maps not included in project description; response in "other" not applicable.
44	USFS - Los Padres National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Education & Safety - Santa Lucia	G08-02-09-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Education & Safety - Mt Pinos	G08-02-09-S02	3	Previous Year Performance	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Education & Safety - Ojai/Santa Barbara	G08-02-09-S03	3	Previous Year Performance	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
45	USFS - Mendocino National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Fouts Springs Water Development	G08-02-10-D01	7	The Project is designed to sustain existing OHV Recreation	3	2	Project improves support facilities. not OHV Opportunity.
46	USFS - Plumas National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Development Conklin Park	G08-02-13-D01	2 c.	Cultural Resources	5	3	Reference document was not identified. Applicants response supports the three point selection.

Factual Findings
2008/2009 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division Remarks
		Development Conklin Park	G08-02-13-D01	7	The Project is designed to sustain existing OHV Recreation	3	2	Project improves support facilities. not OHV Opportunity.
		Development Deanes Valley	G08-02-13-D02	2 c.	Cultural Resources	5	3	Reference document not identified. Applicants response supports three points selection.
		Development Deanes Valley	G08-02-13-D02	7	The Project is designed to sustain existing OHV Recreation	3	2	Project improves support facilities. not OHV Opportunity.
		Ground Operations	G08-02-13-G01	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by	7	2	The forest is not completely fenced off.
		Ground Operations	G08-02-13-G01	7	The Project incorporates recycled materials by utilizing	5	4	Bulletin board is a form of signage.
47	USFS - San Bernardino National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Ground Operations	G08-02-14-G01	7	The Project incorporates recycled materials by utilizing	5	4	Applicant failed to appropriately explain "other" mark.
48	USFS - Sequoia National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Development	G08-02-15-D01	12	Offsite impacts relative to the Project Area (e.g.. sound. fugitive dust. runoff) have been addressed:	4	0	Applicant indicates a future action.
		Education & Safety	G08-02-15-S01	3	Previous Year Performance	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
49	USFS - Sierra National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	0	Applicant has not communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Ground Operations OHV/OSV High Sierra RD	G08-02-17-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies such as	4	0	The description provided by applicant does not demonstrate substantial use of sustainable technology.
		Education & Safety OHV/OSV High Sierra RD	G08-02-17-S01	11	The Project provides direct support for delivery of ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation training	2	1	Explanation does not tell how the project was developed with public input.
50	USFS - Six Rivers National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone. email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Ground Operations	G08-02-18-G01	7	The Project incorporates recycled materials by utilizing	4	3	Applicant failed to appropriately explain "other" mark.
		Ground Operations	G08-02-18-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies such as	4	0	The description provided by applicant does not demonstrate substantial use of sustainable technology.

Factual Findings
2008/2009 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division Remarks
51	USFS - Stanislaus National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
52	USFS - Tahoe National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator.
		Ground Operations	G08-02-20-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input employing the following	2	0	Applicant failed to connect public meetings and/or conference calls to this specific project.
		Ground Operations	G08-02-20-G01	5	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are	4	0	Applicant failed to appropriately discuss current partnerships with regard to this specific project.