

El Dorado County CAO

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities. Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow "green sticker" off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding.

Applicants are reminded that no grant funds and/or match can be expended or project activities conducted in any land owned or managed by the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #4 – Applicant must provide the total cost of the OHV program for the most recent fiscal year. "Y" is not a valid response. Additionally, a current name and date of a reference document is needed to support the total cost of the OHV program.
- #5 – Applicant must verify.
- #11a - It is unclear if the kiosk board and information provided to the public describes save and responsible OHV recreational practices.

Ground Operations	G15-03-06-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff – Sr. Engineering Tech- Applicant must explain large increase in salary rate in comparison with previous year’s project. In addition, breakdown in narrative does not match salary rate. Materials and Supplies – Mobile Radios- Cost per unit appears excessive and the line item was not mentioned in the Project Description. Additionally, accessory equipment is now an Indirect Cost under the new Regulations. Materials and Supplies – Materials for Storage Shed- This line item was not mentioned in the Project Description. Additionally, accessory equipment is now an Indirect Cost under the new Regulations. Equipment Use Expenses – Razor, Jeep- Minor maintenance and fuel- Cost quantity and rate appears excessive. Applicant must provide a breakdown on how this cost was determined. Equipment Purchase – Polaris RZR with Tracks- Cost appears excessive. Applicant must provide a breakdown on how this cost was determined and provide additional details on why a second RZR is needed. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #3 – Project Description does not support “Providing traffic control and or educational signage” or “Providing varied levels of riding difficulty” selections. #4 – Narrative does not support “...meeting(s) with multiple distinct stakeholders” selection. Applicant must identify more than one stakeholder participant in the meeting(s) and state how the participants are stakeholders in the project. #5 – Narrative only supports one partner, FOTR. The other identified partners have no hands on role in this Ground Operations project. #7 – Project Description does not support “Signs...” or “Paper used for trail maps...” selections. #8 – Project Description does not support the selections. 	

Restoration – Sacramento Placerville Transportation Corridor	G15-03-06-R01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A – The project description is the same as last year. Applicant must clearly identify how this project is different from G14-03-06-R01. Applicant must clearly identify all the proposed restoration sites including the activities to be conducted within the project area as well as what is needed to complete each project area. • A – The project description does not identify who will be performing the restoration work. Additional information about who will be performing the restoration work needs to be included. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Others- “Project Related Training/Annual Ce” Training is an ineligible as a direct cost to the project. Applicant must move the line item to an indirect cost. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2- “Threatened and Endangered (T&E) listed species”. Applicant did not provide any state or federal T&E species. Applicant instead provided five special-status species. • #7 – Narrative does not support the selected items. . Applicant must also identify whether the public and the stakeholder meetings were the same meeting. If not, need dates of both stakeholders’ meetings and applicant must identify where the public meeting was held and how the public was notified of the meeting. Additionally, the applicant must identify how the stakeholder meeting participants are stakeholders to the project. 	

Education and Safety	G15-03-06-S01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A. Paragraph three: It is unclear why the new bandana campaign could not be incorporated into the already existing sanitation/WAG Bag campaign. Applicant must provide clarifying details or reconsider this aspect of their project. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contract – Applicant must clarify how the “Qty” and “Rate” were derived. • Materials/Supplies – “Bandana” is not described. Applicant must provide additional details. A new bandana directed at only women may not be as cost effective as including its intent with the already existing sanitation/Wag Bag campaign. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Applicant must verify the response and provide the Grant Project numbers. • #4 – Applicant must clarify exactly which USFS Forest Service will be a partner to the project and how. Also, private property owners are not eligible to be claimed as partners. Applicant must revise narrative accordingly. • #6 – Narrative is not clear who the stakeholders to the project are. Applicant must revise to clearly identify on separate lines who the stakeholders are and how they are stakeholders to the project. • #7 – Narrative does not support the selection; “Testing process to ensure actions are effective”. Applicant must provide clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements to substantiate the selection. 	