

## El Dorado County CAO

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities. Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow "green sticker" off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding.

Applicants are reminded that no grant funds and/or match can be expended or project activities conducted in any land owned or managed by the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

### General Evaluation Criteria

- #1c – Applicant must verify that the reference document dated 5/28/10 is the most recent document that supports questions 1a and 1b.
- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #3a&b – Applicant must verify response.
- #4 – Applicant must provide the total cost of the OHV program for the most recent fiscal year, not just previous OHV grant application information.
- #5 – Applicant's history does not support "100% of projects closed out" selection. Applicant must list all projects that have reached the end of the performance period in the last two years.
- #11a - It is unclear if the kiosk board and information provided to the public describes save and responsible OHV recreational practices.
- #12a&b - Applicant must verify responses.

Ground Operations – Maintenance	G14-03-06-G01
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – Senior Engineering Tech – Applicant must provide additional details regarding this line item. In addition, applicant must clarify the unit of measure used.</li> <li>• Staff – DOT Engineering Design – Applicant must provide additional details regarding this line item. It does not appear from the project description that this person will be needed if there are not BMP's that need to be implemented.</li> <li>• Contracts – Restroom Pumping by RTF – Notes for this line item do not support the quantity and rate computation. Applicant must clarify.</li> <li>• Equipment Purchases – Rubicon Vehicle – Applicant must state what type of vehicle is being purchased and provide additional details as to how the cost was determined.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2 – Narrative does not support “Negative impact to cultural sites” or “Damage to special-status species...” selections.</li> <li>• #4 – Narrative does not support “meetings with multiple distinct stakeholders”. Applicant must identify more than one stakeholder participant in the meeting(s), and state how the participants are stakeholders to the project.</li> <li>• #5 – Narrative only supports two partners. Rubicon Trail Partners, Rubicon Soda Springs Inc. and Eldorado National Forest are not partners in this Ground Operations project.</li> </ul>	

Ground Operations – Rock Transport	G14-03-06-G02
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No comment.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contracts – HeliCrane – This cost appears excessive. Applicant must provide additional details regarding this line item including how costs were determined.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>#2 – Project Description and narrative do not support “Negative impact to cultural sites”, “Damage to special-status species...” or “Potential trespass” selections.</li> <li>#3 – Project Description does not support “Providing traffic control...”, “Maintaining multi-use” or “Providing varied levels...” selections.</li> <li>#4 – Narrative does not support “meetings with multiple distinct stakeholders”. Applicant must identify more than one stakeholder participant in the meeting(s), and state how the participants are stakeholders to the project.</li> <li>#5 – Narrative only supports Friends of the Rubicon as a partner that is participating in this project.</li> <li>#6 – Project Description and narrative only support “Controlling OHV use...” and “Protecting water quality” selections.</li> <li>#7 – Project Description does not support any selections.</li> </ul>	

Ground Operations – Rubicon Bridge Repair	G14-03-06-G04
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – All line items – Applicant must provide additional details including a breakdown of all costs.</li> <li>• Staff – Project Coordinator – This cost appears excessive and has duties that are indirect. Applicant must provide additional details to justify the costs associated with this line item and adjust accordingly.</li> <li>• Materials/Supplies – Food – Applicant must provide additional details regarding what this line item includes.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2 – Project Description only supports “Loss of OHV Opportunity” selection.</li> <li>• #3 – Project Description only supports “Maintaining trail...” selection.</li> <li>• #4 – Applicant must identify how the participants are stakeholders to the project.</li> <li>• #5 – None of the partners listed are participants in this project.</li> <li>• #6 – Project Description and narrative only support “Protecting water quality” and “Providing an alternative to wet crossings...” selections.</li> <li>• #7 – Project Description does not support any selections.</li> </ul>	

Restoration – Sacramento Placerville Transportation Corridor	G14-03-06-R01
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A - Applicant must clearly identify the restoration activities of the project such as what type of repair will be done, what materials are needed, what type of signage is needed (e.g., no trespassing, etc...). The project description and project cost estimate should match. Additionally, the need for mountain bikes to patrol against illegal OHV activity is unclear. Additional details are needed.</li> <li>• B - The extent of damage caused solely by OHVs is unclear. Applicant must describe how the proposed project relates to OHV Recreation and how OHV Recreation caused the damage.</li> <li>• G - Applicant must identify the message of the proposed signs.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – “Volunteers”, Additional details are needed such as the what duties they will perform.</li> <li>• Materials/Supplies – “Uniforms for Patrol”, are considered an indirect cost. Applicant must move the cost to the Indirect category.</li> <li>• Equipment Purchase – “Mountain Bikes”, the cost appears excessive and the need is unclear. Additional details are needed.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2 – Narrative does not support “Soils – Site is actively eroding”. Additional details are needed to support the soils in the project area are actively eroding.</li> <li>• #2 – “Sensitive areas”, the narrative only listed one sensitive areas. Based on applicant’s selection, at least three sensitive areas must be identified. Additionally, this response conflicts with question 11.</li> <li>• #4 – Narrative does not support “Identification of alternate OHV routes...” Additional details are needed.</li> <li>• #5 – Need to identify date of publicly reviewed plan.</li> <li>• #6 – Narrative does not support “Combination of OHV Trust Funds and operational budget”. Applicant must provide additional details.</li> <li>• #7 – Narrative does not support “...meetings with multiple distinct stakeholders.” Applicant must provide the dates of the stakeholders meetings along with the participants of the meetings and how the participants are stakeholders to the project. .</li> <li>• #8 – Applicant must identify separately how each partner organization will participate in the project.</li> </ul>	

Education and Safety	G14-03-06-S01
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Educational messaging for Fire and Bear Safety are not eligible activities under an OHV Education project.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – “2. Administration Officer”, this is an indirect expense. Additionally, adopt a trail and monitoring activities are not eligible activities under an OHV Education project.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #4 – Activities do not appear related to the project. Applicant must provide a detailed explanation how it and its partners will work together on its proposed project.</li> <li>• #5 – Selections are not addressed in the Project Description. Applicant must provide additional information substantiating the selections.</li> <li>• #6 – Narrative does not support the response. Applicant did not have a meeting with multiple distinct stakeholders. Additionally, Applicant did not explain how the single stakeholder listed is a stakeholder for this project.</li> <li>• #7 – Narrative does not support selections; “Process of researching issues and audience”, “Testing process to ensure actions are effective”, and “Plan to implement the Project”. Applicant must provide clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements to substantiate these selections.</li> </ul>	