

Desert Tortoise Preserve

Comments submitted by the OHMVR Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #1a & iv – Selections should match the Land Manager’s responses.
- #2 – Selections should match the Land Manager’s responses.
- #5 – The applicant should estimate the percentage of the 2008/09 OHV grant project deliverables to be accomplished by the end of the performance period.
- #8a-d – Selections should match the Land Manager’s responses.

Ground Operations	G09-04-10-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indirect Costs – The total amount requested for indirect costs cannot exceed 10% of the grant request. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #3 – The narrative does not support how the project will maintain multi-use. • #5 – The applicant cannot list themselves as a partner. 	

Restoration	G09-04-10-R01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • F – The project does not appear to involve scientific or cultural studies. A response to this question is not applicable. 	

Project Cost Estimate
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contracts – The Unit of Measure (UOM) is missing for the Restoration Crew.

Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – This question requires Applicants to list the <u>number</u> of sensitive areas and the <u>number</u> of Threatened and Endangered (T&E) species. The sensitive area and the T&E species should be identified in the narrative. The applicant may want to revisit this question. • #7 – The narrative does not support publicly noticed meetings. • #8 – The applicant cannot list themselves as a partner. • #8 – The name of the BLM field office is missing. • #9 – The project does not appear to involve scientific or cultural studies. A response to this question is not applicable.

Education and Safety	G09-04-10-S01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – Applicant may want to identify how the “Park Ranger” will be utilized in this project. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #5 – It is unclear how the project addresses the items selected. Applicant may want to identify in the Project Description how the project specifically addresses the selections made. • #8 – The narrative does not support the response. Applicant may want to provide further details. 	