

**California Trail Users Coalition**

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant’s application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities. Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow “green sticker” off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding.

Applicants are reminded that no grant funds and/or match can be expended or project activities conducted in any land owned or managed by the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

**General Evaluation Criteria**

- #5 – Applicant must verify response.
- #13 – This question is to address the Applicant’s OHV outreach efforts, not the Land Manager’s efforts. It is unclear if applicant’s selections represent their own efforts.

Ground Operations – Sierra	G15-04-06-G01
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No Comment.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Applicant must explain \$18,000 total increase in request in comparison to the</li> </ul>	

<p>previous year's project, considering that trail mileage included in the project was reduced from 67 miles to 49 miles.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equipment Purchases – Storage Container is an Indirect Cost.</li> </ul>
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #6 – Narrative does not support “Protecting cultural sites” selection.</li> <li>• #7 – Project Description does not support “Paper used for trail maps...” selection.</li> <li>• #8 – Project Description only supports “Camping” selection.</li> </ul>

Ground Operations – Sequoia 2016	G15-04-06-G02
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No Comment.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – Maintenance Worker line items- Applicant must explain increase in rates in comparison with previous year's project and explain large difference in rates between two similar positions.</li> <li>• Materials and Supplies- Low Impact Trials Tires are an Equipment Use Expense category item and must be moved. Additionally, applicant must clarify who owns the motorcycles that the tires will be installed on as grant funding cannot pay for parts for privately owned vehicles.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2 – Narrative does not support “Loss of OHV Opportunity” or “Potential trespass” selection and narrative is cut off.</li> <li>• #4 – Narrative does not support the response. Applicant must explain how and where the applicant (CTUC) initiated and conducted publicly noticed meeting(s), how the public was notified of the meeting(s), date(s) of the stakeholder meeting(s), names of the stakeholders, and how the participants of the stakeholders meeting(s) are stakeholders to the project.</li> <li>• #6 – Narrative is cut off and does not support “Protecting cultural sites” selection.</li> <li>• #8 – Project Description only supports “Camping” selection.</li> </ul>	

Ground Operations – Sequoia 2016	G15-04-06-G03
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No Comment</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	

- Staff – Project Administrator is an Indirect Cost.
- Materials and Supplies – Chainsaw Fuel and Bar Oil is an Equipment Use Expense category line item and must be moved. Additionally, this line item is duplicative to a line item already listed in Equipment Use Expense. Applicant must explain this.
- Total Expenditures – Equipment Purchases- Notes do not match line items requested for purchase.

**Evaluation Criteria**

- #4 – Narrative does not support the response. Applicant must explain how and where the applicant (CTUC) initiated and conducted publicly noticed meeting(s).
- #8 – Project Description only supports “Camping” selection.

Restoration - CCMA

G15-04-06-R01

**Project Description**

- No Comment

**Project Cost Estimate**

- Staff- “worker(2)”, “equipment operator”, “Archeologist”, “Botanist”, “biologist”, “tribal specialist”, and “project coordinator”. Applicant must identify whether the listed staff line items are paid staff with the CTUC or the Los Padres NF. Answers could conflict with response to Evaluation Criteria #8.
- Staff – “overnight staff accommodations”, are considered an indirect cost. Applicant must move the cost to the Indirect category.
- Staff- “Per Diem@ \$46/day for meals and incidentals”, are considered an indirect cost. Applicant must move the cost to the Indirect category.

**Evaluation Criteria**

- #2 – “Stream or other watercourse”, applicant must identify the stream or watercourse that will be severely impacted if the project is not funded.
- #7- Applicant must identify how the participants are stakeholders to the project.
- #8- Applicant must identify, separately, how each partner organization will participate in the project. The CTUC is ineligible as a partner as they are being paid for by this grant.
- #10 – Narrative does not support the underlying problem was resolved prior to this application.