

2015/16 Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program
Factual Findings

City of Marysville
<p>The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division’s review and determination of the Applicant’s final application.</p> <p>For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations at http://www.ohv.parks.ca.gov/pages/1140/files/2008-regulations-rev-1-16.pdf.</p>
General Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 2 points. • #6 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points. • #11b – Narrative does not describe formal organized programs aimed at educating the general public on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices. Deduct 1 point.

Ground Operations	G15-03-42-G01
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contracts – “Paving Contractor, Electrical Contractor” Applicant did not move these line items to a new Development project as requested by Division Comment. Deduct \$328,871 grant, \$115,549 match. • Indirect Costs – “Reductions caused Indirect Cost to exceed 15%. Deduct \$30,346 grant, \$10,662 match. Match is now \$93,361. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support any of the selections. Deduct 3 points. • #6 – Narrative and Project Description does not support any of the selections. Deduct 4 points. • #8 – Project Description does not support any of the selections. Deduct 4 points. 	

Education and Safety	G15-03-42-S01
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff - "OHV Operator/trainer", Applicant increased grant and match costs without Division or public comment. Also, instructor is not certified by the Motorcycle Safety Foundation or ATV Safety Institute, and costs seem excessive when compared to other similar projects. Reduce rate to \$375.00 per day. Deduct \$5,380.00 from grant and \$2,120.00 from match. 	

2015/16 Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program Factual Findings

- Staff – “EMT”, is an indirect cost. Move \$1,332.00 from direct grant to the Indirect grant category and \$468.00 from direct match to the Indirect match category.
- Materials/Supplies – “Signs”, Half of the listed signage is an indirect cost to an Education and Safety program. Move \$1,110 from direct grant to the Indirect grant category and \$390.00 from direct match to the Indirect match category.
- Equipment Use Expense – “Rhino” for EMT use is an indirect cost. Move \$888.00 from direct grant to the Indirect grant category and \$312.00 from direct match to the Indirect match category.
- Indirect – Indirect now exceeds 15% of its direct grant cost limit. To maintain match level of 25%, \$1,056.00 is deducted from indirect grant and \$250.00 is deducted from indirect match.
- Match is \$2,150.00.

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – Applicant is a first time Applicant. Add 2 points
- #6 – Applicant did not address Division comment: 'Narrative does not provide dates of meetings, locations, identify stakeholders, nor explains how stakeholders are stakeholders to the project.' Deduct 1 point.
- #7 – Applicant did not address the 'Process of researching issues and audiences'. Deduct 2 points
- #8 – Applicant did not provide detail within the question narrative to support 'Interpretive talks, rides, events', and as applicant stated, 'Social media' is not being used as a method of education. Deduct 4 points.