

City of Hesperia

Comments submitted by the OHMVR Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant may want to verify the OHV map identifies appropriate OHV use type (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, etc.).
- 8b – Narrative does not support the response with regard to the number of times programs/events are provided to educate the public.

Ground Operations

G10-03-99-G01

Project Description

- A – Applicant should provide more specific information on the private track rental.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff – “Other – All Staff” is unclear. Applicant may want to provide additional information.
- Contracts – “Other - Lease” does not appear appropriate for a Ground Operations project. Applicant may want to provide additional information.
- Contracts – “Other – Miscellaneous outside services” is unclear. Applicant may want to provide additional information.
- Materials / Supplies – “Other – Office Supplies” and “Other – Computer Software/Supplies” appears to be indirect costs.
- Materials / Supplies – “Other – See note” appears to be ineligible expenses.
- Equipment Use Expenses – “Equipment Rental” and “Other Equipment Repair & Maintenance” are unclear. Applicant may want to provide additional information.
- Others – “Postage” and “Utilities” appear to be indirect costs.
- Others – All other remaining items (licenses & permits, credit card expenses, interest, depreciation, advertising/promotion and miscellaneous) appear to be ineligible expenses.

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “Providing traffic control...”
- #4 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “...and identify the dates of the meetings or calls”. The response should explain efforts of the applicant, not the concessionaire.
- #5 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “explain how partners listed will participate in the project”. Additionally, applicant cannot list themselves as a partner.
- #7 – “Paper used as trail maps...” appears to be an inappropriate selection. Maps are not a part of this project.
- #8 – Narrative does not support response.
- #9 – Project description does not support response with regard to “hiking”.