

BLM - Palm Springs South Coast Field Office

Comments submitted by the OHV Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #1a – Data period should be the most recent complete 12 month period for which accurate data may be obtained.
- #1b(iii) – Response conflicts with narrative provided in #7c regarding “open” riding.
#7c – Narrative regarding “open” areas conflicts with response in #1b(iii).
- #8b – Applicant may want to provide additional information regarding “...provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices”.
- #12a – Narrative does not support response.
- #12b – Narrative does not support response.

Development – Western Riverside Kiosk Project	G10-01-13-D03
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A – “Law enforcement patrol” and “monitoring” activities are not eligible under a Development project. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Equipment Use Expense – Applicant may want to provide additional information for “Other-Paint Sprayer”. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2b – Need reference document. • #2c – Need reference document. • #5 – Narrative does not support response. • #6 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “...at least 50% of the construction materials...”. • #7 – Reason for the project appears to be for “improves support facilities...”. • #9 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “Publicly noticed meeting(s)...”, “Conference call(s)...”, “Meeting(s) with stakeholders”, and “...identify the dates of the meetings or calls”. • #13 – Need reference document. 	

Ground Operations – Designated Route Signing Implementation	G10-01-13-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A – Statement of GO activity is unclear. Activities related to “Marking routes closed...” are not eligible under a Ground Operations project. These activities would be appropriate under a Restoration project. • C – The specific size of the Project Area(s) is not addressed. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Materials / Supplies – “Other-Carsonite Post” appears excessive. • Total Indirect Costs exceed 15% of the Grant Request amount. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “Loss of OHV Opportunity”. • #4 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “Publicly noticed meeting(s)...”, “Conference call(s)...”, “Meeting(s) with stakeholders”, and “...identify the dates of the meetings or calls”. • # 5 – Narrative does not support response with regard to” ...explain how partners listed will participate in the project”. • #6 – Items related to “marking routes closed” are not applicable for a Ground Operations project. These items/activities would be applicable under a Restoration project. • #8 – Narrative does not support response. 	

Restoration – Windy Point Restoration	G10-01-13-R01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “Domestic water supply”, “Archeological and historical resources...”, “Sensitive areas...”, “Threatened and Endangered...”, and “Other special-status species...”. • #3 – Need reference document. • #4 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “Site monitoring...” and “Incorporation of universally recognized ‘Best Management Practices...’”. • #6 – Need reference document. • #7 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “Publicly noticed meeting(s)...”, “Conference call(s)...”, “Meeting(s) with stakeholders”, and “...identify the dates of the meetings or calls”. • #9 – A response is applicable for this item only if the project includes scientific and cultural studies. • #11 – Applicant may want to verify the response is relative to the size of sensitive habitats which will be restored for this project. 	

Restoration – Meccacopia/Chuckwalla Planning	G10-01-13-R02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A – Applicant may want to provide additional information to clarify the restoration activities proposed in this project. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Materials / Supplies – “Route Markers” and “Misc Tools/Supplies” do not appear to be related to the planning for restoration activities as described in the Project Description. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Project appears to involve only planning for restoration and would not support checked items. • #3 – Reason for the project appears to be “Planning efforts...”. • #3 – Need reference document. • #4 – Project appears to involve only planning for restoration and would not support checked items. • #6 – Need reference document. • #7 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “Publicly noticed meeting(s)...”, “Conference call(s)...”, “Meeting(s) with stakeholders”, and “...identify the dates of the meetings or calls”. • #10 – Narrative does not support response. • #11 – Project activities do not indicate any areas will be restored. 	

Restoration – OHV Dos Palmas Fence	G10-01-13-R03
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A and C – Inconsistent response relative to size of specific Project Area(s). 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #4 – Narrative does not support response with regard “Use of native plants...”, “Educational signage”, and “Identification of alternate OHV routes...”. • #6 – Need reference document. • #7 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “Publicly noticed meeting(s)...”, “Conference call(s)...”, “Meeting(s) with stakeholders”, and “...identify the dates of the meetings or calls”. • #8 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “...explain how partners listed will participate in the project”. • #10 – Narrative does not support response. • #11 – Project activities do not indicate any areas will be restored. 	

Law Enforcement – FY 2012	G10-01-13-L01
Needs Assessment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Law Enforcement Certification	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	