

BLM Bishop

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #3a&b – Applicant must verify response.
- #4 – Applicant must verify response.
- #5 – Applicant must verify response.
- #8b - Narrative does not support “5-19 times per year”. Only onsite education efforts are eligible for credit.
- #8c – Narrative does not support “Daily”. It is unclear if staff is available daily at trailheads, visitor centers and/or entrance stations.
- #9 - Applicant must verify response.
- #14 – The narrative does not support “Has established an OHV Commission or stakeholder group...” Stakeholders must be identified. Narrative does not support “Has secured land to be developed for OHV Recreation”. The land identified is not newly acquired property. Also, the narrative does not support “Has created a special fund to set aside funding to sustain OHV Recreation”. It is unclear if the special fund is solely dedicated to sustain OHV Recreation.

Restoration – Protection

G12-01-05-R01

Project Description

- No comment.

Project Cost Estimate
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – “Recreation Planner”, applicant must provide more detail outlining staff duties. • Indirect Costs – Total indirect expenses exceed 15% of grant request. Applicant must adjust cost estimate accordingly.
Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support selected items, “Archeological and historical resources identified...” and “Threatened and Endangered (T&E)...” • #3 – Selected item, “Restore natural resource system damaged by OHV activity”, is not supported in the project description. Reference document does not indicate date. • #4 – Narrative does not support selected item, “Best Management Practices”. • #6 – Applicant must provide more detail. • #7 – Narrative does not support meeting(s) with stakeholders. Stakeholders are not identified. • #8 – Narrative does not support response. Applicant must provide more detail on how participating partners are directly related to the project. • #11 – Project description must identify the size of sensitive habitats to be restored by the project.

Restoration – Volcanic Tableland	G12-01-05-R02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A – Applicant must be more descriptive on where restoration implementation will take place. • G – The plan for Protection of Restored Area is not consistent with the list of Restoration Activities in ‘A’. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – “Other-Law Enforcement”, appears excessive for the size of the project. • Staff – “Park Ranger”, appears excessive for the size of the project. • Staff – “Archeologist”, appears excessive for the size of the project. • Staff – “Botanist”, appears excessive for the size of the project. • Equipment Use Expenses – “Equipment Rental”, applicant must provide more detail on what is being rented and how costs were established. 	

Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support response. • #3 – Selected item is not supported in the project description. The reference document must be specific to the land managing agency. • #4 – Narrative and project description do not support selected items, “Best Management Practices” and “identification of alternate OHV routes ...”. 	

Restoration – Planning 2013	G12-01-05-R03
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Materials / Supplies – “Other-Plotter Supplies”, applicant must provide more detail on how this line item directly relates to the project. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support selected items. • #7 – Narrative does not support meeting(s) with stakeholders. Stakeholders are not identified. • #8 – Narrative does not support response. Applicant must provide more detail on how participating partners are directly related to the project. • #9 – The project is not a scientific or cultural study. • #10 – Narrative does not support response. 	

Restoration – Wild Willies	G12-01-05-R04
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – “Other-Law Enforcement”, appears excessive for the size of the project. • Staff – “Park Ranger”, appears excessive for the size of the project. • Staff – “Archeologist”, appears excessive for the size of the project. • Staff – “Botanist”, appears excessive for the size of the project. • Equipment Use Expenses – “Equipment Rental”, applicant must provide detail on what is being rented and how costs were established. 	

Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #7 – Narrative does not support meeting(s) with stakeholders. Stakeholders are not identified. • #8 - Narrative does not support response. Los Angeles City Dept. of Water and Power signage is not identified in the project description. • #10 – Narrative does not support the response. 	

Restoration - Travertine	G12-01-05-R05
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contracts – “Restoration Crew”, line items titled restoration crew are not addressed in the project description. Applicant must provide detail substantiating restoration crew costs and explain the variance in the hourly rate of pay. • Materials / Supplies – “Information Kiosks”, applicant must provide more detail regarding this line item. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – “Sensitive Areas”, applicant must list the number of sensitive areas. • #7 – Narrative does not support meeting(s) with stakeholders. Stakeholders are not identified. • #8 – Narrative lists Inyo County Sheriff’s Office as a partner. Applicant must provide more detail on their participation as the project lies within the Mono County Sheriff’s Office jurisdiction. • #10 – Narrative does not support response. 	

Law Enforcement	G12-01-05-L01
Needs Assessment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Law Enforcement Certification	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Equipment Use Expenses – “Vehicle Operation & Maintenance”, applicant must provide additional detail on how cost for this line item was determined. 	