

BLM – California Desert District

Comments submitted by the OHV Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #7c – Explanation does not appear to address the item checked.

Ecology - Desert Tortoise Large-Plot Monitoring

G08-01-XX-G02

Project Description

- C – Indicates “Plots are located in.....“Desert Tortoise Natural Area (no OHV riding)...”. Funding would not be applicable in areas in which OHV recreation does not occur.

Project Cost Estimate

- Administrative Costs – Unit of Measure (UOM) missing from line item.

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Not clear how project will address “Potential trespass”. Applicant may want to provide further details in explanation to support item checked.
- #3 – Explanation does not support the items checked nor do the items appear to be a part of this project.
- #4 – Explanation does not support the items checked.
- #5 – Applicant appears to list itself as a partner organization. Applicant may not use itself as a partner organization.

Ecology - CDD Mojave Fringe-toed Lizard Population Monitoring	G08-01-XX-G03
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comments 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff – “Administrative Assistant” may be more appropriate under “Administrative Costs”. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #3 – The item checked does not appear to be part of this project. #4 – “Meeting(s) with stakeholders” does not appear to be support in explanation. 	

Ecology - CDD Sonoran Desert Thorn Woodland Bird Monitoring	G08-01-XX-G04
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comments 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff – “Contract Administrator” may be more appropriate under “Administrative Costs”. Staff – Not clear how “Colorado River Boat Volunteers” will be utilized in this project. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #3 – The item checked does not appear to be part of this project. 	

CDD OHV Travel for Washes for NECO Plan Compliance	G08-01-XX-G05
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comments 	

Project Cost Estimate
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – “Park Rangers” are identified, however, if these staff are performing enforcement activities, this would not be applicable for this project. Applicant may want to provide details of the activities this staff will perform for this project. • Staff – “Administrative/Contract Support” may be more appropriate under “Administrative Costs”. • Staff – “USGS Ecologist” and “USGS Statisticians” do not appear to be the applicant’s staff and may be more appropriate under “Contracts”. • Unit of Measure (UOM) missing from several line items.
Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #3 – The item checked does not appear to be part of this project. • #6 – “Maintaining physical barriers to control OHV use” does not appear to be part of this project. • #7 – The items checked do not appear to be supported in the project description as activities that are part of this project.

Planning - Bats and Historic surveys of Abandoned Mines in OHV Areas	G08-01-XX-P02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comments 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Equipment Purchases – Unit of Measure (UOM) missing from line item. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – “Potential effects of OHV Recreation on water quality”, “Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses”, “Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands”, and “Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents” does not appear to be supported in the explanation. • #4 – “Meeting(s) with the general public to discuss Project” does not appear to be supported in the explanation. • #7 – “Project supports development of OHV Opportunities adjacent to population centers” does not appear to be supported in explanation. 	

Planning - Raptor Surveys and Nest Monitoring in OHV High-use Areas	G08-01-06-P03
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comments 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – “Ecologist” listed three times at three different rates. Applicant may want to clarify the difference. • Equipment Use Expense – “Field Vehicle” listed twice. Applicant may want to clarify. • Equipment Use Expense – “VHF transmitters may be more appropriate under “Materials / Supplies” category. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #3 – “Meeting(s) with the general public to discuss Project” does not appear to be supported in the explanation for this project. • #5 – The explanation provided does not appear to support this project. • #6 – Additional partner organizations were listed in project description. • #7 – “Project will complete environmental review for an OHV Development Project” does not appear to be supported in explanation or project description. • #8 – Explanation appears to be inconsistent with response. • #9 – Explanation does not appear to support response. 	

Restoration - Wilderness Restoration VIII	G08-01-06-R03
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comments 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff - Unit of Measure (UOM) missing from one line item. • Contracts – “Monitoring, restoration, fence, Cre” item description incomplete. Not clear if this is one contract which will provide all activities listed. Applicant may want to provide further detail for this item. • Equipment Purchases – “GPS Units/software” does not appear to be supported in the project description 	

Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – The description provided does not support the items checked. • #3 – Response checked appears to be in conflict with project description. • #4 – Applicant may want to provide an explanation to support the items checked. • #7 – Applicant may want to provide additional detail in explanation to support the items checked. • #9 – Response is applicable only if the project includes scientific and cultural studies. This project does not appear to include scientific and cultural studies

Safety - TV Show: OHV Tour of Old Mining Districts	G08-01-06-S01
Project Description	
<p>C – The response does not appear to identify the needs this project will address. E – The response appears to be the same response to item C and does not appear to describe how this project will teach OHV safety, environmental responsibility, and respect for private property.</p>	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – “Administrative Officer” may be more appropriate under “Administrative Costs”. • Staff – Not clear how “Planner, Env. Coord.” will be utilized in this project. • Staff – Unit of Measure (UOM) missing from several line items. • Contracts – Description of item incomplete. Applicant may want to add a “Note” to provide further detail. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #5 – “Conference call(s) with interested parties” does not appear to be supported in the explanation. • #10 – “Public relations/media” and “dirt rider magazine” does not appear to be supported in the project description. 	