

## American Rivers

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities, Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow "green sticker" off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding.

## General Evaluation Criteria

- #1a – Applicant must remove all reference data for the USFS Sierra National Forest.
- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #3a&b – Applicant must verify response.
- #4 – Applicant must provide the cost of the Land Manger's OHV Program based on the most recent complete fiscal year and cite a name and date of a reference document.
- #5 – Applicant must verify response.
- #7b – Narrative does not support "At least once per month". Applicant should verify with the land manager.
- #7c – Narrative does not support the items selected. Applicant should verify with the land manager.
- #8b – Need to cite name and date of a reference document.
- #9a – Narrative does not support "Yes" response. Applicant should verify with the land manager.
- #9b – Narrative does not support "Yes" response. Applicant should verify with the land manager.

- #11a – It is unclear what type of educational materials are available and if they address safe and responsible OHV recreation.
- #11b – Must provide a narrative to support “5 to 19 times per year” of onsite education efforts.
- #11c – Narrative does not support “Daily”.
- #12a&b– Applicant must verify responses.
- #14 – Applicant answered in error. Only Applicants with NO legal riding opportunities should respond to this question.

Restoration	G13-04-72-R01
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Applicant will not be conducting restoration activities in the Sierra National Forest. As a result of this change the Applicant must revise its application accordingly.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – Applicant must provide detailed information on duties to be performed for all line items in this category.</li> <li>• Contracts – “Trout Unlimited”, Applicant must provide additional detail describing each item in the <u>Notes</u> and how it is directly related to the project.</li> <li>• Contracts – “Sequoia National Forest”, Applicant must provide detailed information on how this line item is directly related to the project.</li> <li>• Others – “Direct Occupancy Related Costs”, Applicant must provide detailed information describing line item and how it is directly related to the project.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2 – Narrative does not support the selected items, “Sensitive areas”, “Threatened and Endangered”, and “Other special-status species”. It appears applicant may have run out of space. Applicant is reminded of the character limitation when responding in the narrative.</li> <li>• #3 – Applicant must provide a reference document and date.</li> <li>• #4 – Narrative does not support the selection, “Best Management Practices”. It appears applicant may have run out of space. Applicant is reminded about character limitation when responding in the narrative.</li> <li>• #5 – Applicant must name a publicly reviewed and adopted plan for each Forest identified in the project description.</li> <li>• #6 – Narrative does not support the selection, Applicant must provide additional detailed information on how each individual forest will fund future operational costs associated with the project.</li> <li>• #7 – Applicant must provide the following information: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Date(s) of meeting(s) and indicate if stakeholder meeting(s) is different date than publicly noticed meeting(s)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

- How the public/stakeholders meeting(s) were notified and where the meeting(s) were held.
- Names of the stakeholders and how they are stakeholders
- #8 – Narrative does not support the selection, Applicant must provide a detailed explanation of how the identified partner will participate in the project.
- #9 – Scientific and/or cultural studies are not being conducted on the project.