

USFS Sequoia National Forest

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant’s application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities. Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow “green sticker” off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding.

Applicants are reminded that no grant funds and/or match can be expended or project activities conducted in any land owned or managed by the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #3a&b – Applicant must verify responses.
- #4 – Applicant must verify response.
- #5 – A snow grooming contract is not an OHV grant.
- #12a&b – Applicant must verify responses.
- #14 – Applicant answered in error. Only Applicants or Land Managers with NO legal riding opportunities should respond to this question.

Ground Operations	G14-02-15-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	

Project Cost Estimate
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment.
Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support “Negative impact to cultural sites” or “Damage to special-status...” selections.

Cannell Meadow Reroute	G14-02-15-D01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A – Narrative indicates that project includes layout and design of a re-routed section of trail. Layout and design is a Planning activity is not eligible in a Development project. Applicant must remove reference to Planning activity and adjust costs accordingly. • A – Narrative indicates that project includes the restoration of a re-routed section of trail. Restoration activity is not eligible in a Development project. Applicant must remove reference to Restoration activity and adjust costs accordingly. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant must adjust line items to remove costs for Planning and Restoration activities. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2b – Applicant must provide name and date of a reference document. • #2c – Applicant must provide the date of the reference document. • #3 – Applicant must provide name and date of a reference document. • #10 – Publicly Noticed Meetings, Meetings with Stakeholders – Narrative does not support either response, does not indicate how the public was notified or indicate how the stakeholders listed are actual stakeholders in this project. • #11 – Second and third identified partners do not appear to be currently committed to the project. 	