

USFS – Mendocino National Forest

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities. Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow "green sticker" off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding.

Applicants are reminded that no grant funds and/or match can be expended or project activities conducted in any land owned or managed by the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #3 a&b – Applicant must verify response.
- #5 – Narrative does not support the selection. Applicant must provide a list of grant projects by project number closed out in the last two years in compliance with regulations.
- #12a&b– Applicant must verify responses.

Ground Operations – Mendocino NF Ground Ops	G14-02-10-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A – Replacement of a vault toilet and making it into a campground space appears to be a Development project. Applicant must provide additional details within the Project Description and Cost Estimate to explain this activity. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contracts – Middle Creek Vault Toilet Decommis – Applicant must provide additional details to justify this activity. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #4 – Narrative does not support response. The Blue Ribbon Coalition hosting a club meeting does not count as a Stakeholder meeting for the applicant. In addition multiple distinct stakeholders need to be named in the application. • #5 – The applicant must list each partner organization(s) separately and provide a detailed explanation for how each partner(s) will participate in the project. • #7 – Project Description does not support “Paper used for trail maps...” selection. 	

Development – Trail 32 Implementation	G14-02-10-D01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contracts, CDC Crew – Notes showing cost breakdown add up to considerably more than line item. Applicant must provide additional details. • Contracts, Trails Unlimited – Cost appears excessive. Applicant must provide additional details on how the cost was determined. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2a, #2b – Selections are conflicting. Applicant must clarify in 2b narrative. • #4 – Project Description does not appear to support vehicles over 50” in width. Project does not support 4x4 or Dune Buggy, rail selections. • #10 –Meetings with Stakeholders – Narrative does not support selection, does not identify multiple distinct stakeholders or indicate how the stakeholders are actual stakeholders in this project. Stakeholder meeting does not appear to be hosted by the applicant. 	

Planning – Trail 40 Reroute	G14-02-10-P01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2a/b – Project description and narrative does not support the last selection. • #3 – A planning project for an environmental analysis and trail reroute plan does not support selections. • #4 – Meetings with Stakeholders – Narrative does not indicate how the identified stakeholders are actual stakeholders in this Planning project. Applicant does not appear to have conducted the stakeholder meeting. • #5 – Narrative does not provide a detailed explanation of how each stakeholder will provide substantial input in this planning project. • #7 – Narrative does not support last two selections. 	