

## USFS Los Padres

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities, Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow "green sticker" off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding.

### General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #3 a&b – Applicant must verify response.
- #4 – Applicant must verify response.
- #5 – Applicant must verify response.
- #7c – It is unclear if the maps and brochures provided to the public address OHV trespass including respect for private property.
- #12a&b– Applicant must verify responses.
- #14 – Applicant answered in error. Only Applicants with NO legal riding opportunities should respond to this question.

Ground Operations

G13-02-09-G01

Project Description

- No comment.

<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – For each District, the “OHV Project Leader” and “OHV/Rec Program Manager” appear duplicate. Applicant must clarify the difference of these line items.</li> <li>• Staff – Costs for Santa Barbara are unclear. Applicant must provide additional information.</li> <li>• Staff – “MPRD- Fire &amp; Other Staff” – Applicant must identify “Other Staff”.</li> <li>• Contracts – “SLRD - Trails Unlimited Crew - Tou” – Applicant must clarify the ‘Qty’ and ‘Rate’. The ‘Notes’ indicate 5.7 miles, however, the ‘Qty’ indicates 4. Confirm if it is 4 miles of trail maintenance at a rate of \$12,250 per mile.</li> <li>• Equipment Use Expenses – “SLRD - Volunteer Vehicle &amp; Equip U” – Applicant must explain why “Vehicles used to support Trails Unlimited crews” are not included in the contract. Note: Transportation (vehicle fuel) for volunteers/staff from home to the project site is not eligible.</li> </ul>
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #4 – Applicant must provide the following information: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Date(s) of meeting(s) and indicate if stakeholder meeting(s) is different date than publicly noticed meeting(s),</li> <li>– How the public/stakeholders meeting(s) were notified and where the meeting(s) were held, and</li> <li>– Names of the stakeholders and how they are stakeholders.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Development – SLRD Toilet Replacement	G13-02-09-D01
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – COR- Appears to be an Indirect Cost. Applicant must explain how it is directly related to the project.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2b – Applicant must provide the name and date of the reference document.</li> <li>• #2c – Applicant must provide the name and date of the reference document.</li> <li>• #3 – Applicant must provide the name and date of the reference document.</li> <li>• #4 – Narrative does not support the selections. Toilets do not provide for diversified OHV use.</li> <li>• #9 – Narrative does not support the selections. Toilets do not improve or create new trails.</li> <li>• #10 – Applicant must provide the following information:</li> </ul>	

- Date(s) of meeting(s) and indicate if stakeholder meeting(s) is different date than publicly noticed meeting(s),
- How the public/stakeholders meeting(s) were notified and where the meeting(s) were held, and
- Names of the stakeholders and how they are stakeholders.
- #12 – Previous grant projects would suggest correct selection would be “Combination of OHV Trust Funds”.
- #13 – Narrative does not support the selection.

Planning – Ortega Trailhead	G13-02-09-P01
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Contracts – Applicant must provide additional information on the two line items related to the duties of the Enterprise teams to ensure that Staff line items are not duplicative.</li> <li>• Materials and Supplies – Applicant must provide a list of supplies as related to this Planning project.</li> <li>• Equipment Use Expense – Applicant must identify vehicle.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #4 – Applicant must provide the following information: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Date(s) of meeting(s) and indicate if stakeholder meeting(s) is different date than publicly noticed meeting(s),</li> <li>– How the public/stakeholders meeting(s) were notified and where the meeting(s) were held, and</li> <li>– Names of the stakeholders and how they are stakeholders.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• #5 – Narrative does not identify stakeholders or provide a detailed explanation of how each stakeholder will provide substantial input in this planning project.</li> <li>• #6 – Narrative does provide a detailed explanation of how each identified partner will participate in this planning project</li> </ul>	

Planning – Pozo/La Panza OHV Trail	G13-02-09-P02
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A – The statement “The analysis will cover sections of trail that will require re-routing as well as restoring sections of trail the re-routes replaced” is an element of a Restoration Planning project. Applicant must adjust costs accordingly or move activity to a Restoration Planning project.</li> </ul>	

### Project Cost Estimate

- No comment.

### Evaluation Criteria

- #2b – Narrative does not support fifth or sixth selections.
- #4 – Applicant must provide the following information:
  - Date(s) of meeting(s) and indicate if stakeholder meeting(s) is different date than publicly noticed meeting(s).
  - How the public/stakeholders meeting(s) were notified and where the meeting(s) were held.
  - Names of the stakeholders and how they are stakeholders.
- #5 – Narrative does not identify stakeholders or provide a detailed explanation of how each stakeholder will provide substantial input in this planning project.
- #6 – Narrative only supports Althouse and Meade as a partner.
- #7 – Project will develop management plans for existing OHV Opportunity selection is incorrect as narrative states that such plan already exists. Narrative does not support fourth selection as legal OHV opportunity already exists.