

## USFS – Eldorado National Forest

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities. Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow "green sticker" off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding.

Applicants are reminded that no grant funds and/or match can be expended or project activities conducted in any land owned or managed by the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

### General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #3a&b – Applicant must verify response.
- #4 – Applicant must verify response.
- #5 – Applicant's history supports "75% to 99%" selection.
- #12a&b - Applicant must verify responses.

Ground Operations	G14-02-03-G01
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – Trail Maintenance Contract Inspect – This cost appears excessive. Applicant must explain this line item in greater detail and why it is necessary for this Ground Operations project.</li> <li>• Staff – Trail Maintenance Contract Coordin – This appears to be an indirect cost.</li> <li>• Contracts – Meadow Route repair contract &amp; Meadow Route Water Feature Invento – These appear to be Restoration activities. Applicant must provide additional details regarding these contracts and how they are related to a Ground Operations project.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #3 – Project Description does not support “Providing varied levels...” selection.</li> <li>• #4 – Applicant must state how the public was notified of the meeting. In addition, the Stakeholder meeting has a future date. Applicant must clarify when the meeting was held.</li> <li>• #6 – Narrative does not support “Providing an alternative to wet crossings...”, “Protecting special status species”, or “Protecting cultural site(s)” responses.</li> <li>• #7 – “Other” response is duplicative of trail maps selection.</li> </ul>	

Restoration –Georgetown Closed Route Monitoring	G14-02-03-R01
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A – It is unclear if any activity will overlap with the Center for Sierra Nevada Conservancy. Applicant must clearly identify no overlap will occur.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – “District Resource Officer GAS-11”, the duties listed appear to be indirect. Indirect costs must be moved to the Indirect category.</li> <li>• Contracts – “SCA Crew”, applicant must provide details on match. Only actual costs are eligible for either reimbursement or match.</li> <li>• Materials/Supplies – “Camelback HAWG”, are not eligible for reimbursement or match.</li> <li>• Materials/Supplies – “Hand Tools”, need additional details.</li> <li>• Equipment Use Expenses – “Trail Tractor Fuel and Maintenance” are not eligible as the costs for fuel and maintenance are included in the previous line item “Trail Tractor Mileage/Use”.</li> <li>• Equipment Use Expenses – “Mini-Excavator Fuel and Maintenance”, are not eligible as the costs for fuel and maintenance are included in the previous line item “Excavator Mileage/Use”.</li> <li>• Others – “SCA Intern Housing”, the need for intern housing is unclear. Applicant must provide additional details to support the need.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2 – Narrative does not support “Streams and other watercourses”, applicant must provide a detailed explanation regarding type and severity of impacts.</li> <li>• #2 – Narrative does not support “Soils”, applicant must provide a detailed explanation regarding type and severity of impacts.</li> <li>• #7 – Narrative does not support “meetings with multiple distinct stakeholders”. Applicant must identify the how the participants are stakeholders to the project.</li> <li>• #10 – Narrative does not support the underlying problem was resolved prior to this application.</li> </ul>	