

USFS San Bernardino

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #3a&b – Applicant must verify response.
- #4 – Applicant must verify response.
- #5 – Applicant must verify response.
- #8b – Narrative does not support “50 or more times per year”. Only onsite education efforts are eligible for credit. The MSF and ASI training programs are not eligible in this question. Credit for these types of trainings is given in question 8d.
- #8d – It is unclear based on the Applicant's other projects if MSF and ASI training is offered to the public.
- #9 – Applicant must verify response.
- #14 – It is unclear which BLM office collaborates with the Applicant. Applicant must identify the specific BLM office. Additionally, the narrative does not support “Has established an OHV Commission or stakeholder group...”.

Ground Operations

G12-02-14-G01

Project Description

- A – Restoration activities (i.e., disguise newly create unauthorized routes) are not eligible under a Ground Operations project.
- A – Applicant must clarify how “...provide staff...to operate the work centers” are directly related to the project.
- A – Applicant must identify how the Archaeology Site Steward Program will differ from the USFS Pacific Southwest Education and Safety Site Steward project.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff – “Other-Biologist” – Activities (i.e., writes OHV grant and oversees all grant reporting) are Indirect Costs. Applicant must adjust the quantity of hours appropriately.
- Staff – “Other-OHV/tech temps FC, SJ, MT” – Activity (i.e., disguise backlog for new unauthorized routes) is a restoration activity and is not eligible under a Ground Operations project. Applicant must adjust the cost estimate accordingly.
- Staff – “Heavy Equipment Operator” appears to be a contract. Applicant must provide more specifics/details about this line item.
- Materials / Supplies – “Other-Archaeological Site Steward equip” – Applicant must clarify the need for these items are needed yearly.
- Equipment Use Expenses – “Other-MC/ATV Maintenance” – Applicant must identify the number and type(s) of vehicle(s) included in this line item.
- Equipment Use Expenses – “Other-Trailer/Facility Maintenance” – Applicant must provide additional information about this line item.

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – Narrative states “Signs are also installed and maintained at restoration sites...”. Restoration activities are not eligible under a Ground Operations project. Applicant must adjust the narrative accordingly.
- #4 – Narrative does not support “Meeting(s) with stakeholders”. – Applicant must clearly identify the stakeholders.
- #5 – Applicant must list the specific project activities separately for each partner organization. Individuals are not eligible as a partner organization.
- #6 – The narrative indicates “restoration sites” in support of “Maintaining physical barriers...”. Restoration activities are not eligible under a Ground Operations project. Applicant must adjust the narrative accordingly.
- #7 – Checked item “Other products...” (“...maps...”) is not eligible, credit was already given for signs.
- #8 – Narrative does not support substantial use of sustainable technologies.