

## **Native American Land Conservancy**

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

### **General Evaluation Criteria**

- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #5 – Applicant must verify response.
- #7c – Narrative does not support checked items “Barriers and/or signing...” and “Education programs, maps and/or brochures.
- #9a – Response conflicts with the responses to questions #9b and #10.

|  |               |
|--|---------------|
| Restoration  | G11-04-31-R01 |
| Project Description  |               |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment.</li> </ul>  |               |
| Project Cost Estimate  |               |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – “OHV Coordinator” appears excessive and duties overlap “Other-Restoration Manager”.</li> <li>• Contracts – “Other-Restoration Manager” appears excessive and duties overlap “OHV Coordinator”.</li> <li>• Contracts – “Other-Site Monitor” appears excessive.</li> </ul>  |               |
| Evaluation Criteria  |               |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2 – Narrative does not support checked item “Archaeological or historical resources...”. Identified sites do not appear to be listed on the California Register of Historical Resources or National Register of Historic Places.</li> <li>• #3 – Narrative does not support response “Protect special-status species or cultural site”. Applicant should ensure reference documents are relevant to project.</li> <li>• #4 – Narrative does not support checked item “Use of native plants and materials”.</li> <li>• #5 – Identified plan must be relevant to project.</li> <li>• #7 – Need date(s) for “Conference call(s) with interested parties” and “Meeting(s) with stakeholders”. Explanation should be specific to the project.</li> <li>• #10 – Narrative does not support a “Yes” response.</li> <li>• #11 – Project Description does not support response. No sensitive habitats will be restored as part of project.</li> </ul> |               |