

National Park Service - Mojave National Preserve

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – A selection should not be made. The applicant does not have an OHV Program.
- #7b – A selection should not be made. The applicant does not have an OHV Opportunity.
- #7c – A selection should not be made. The applicant does not have an OHV Opportunity.
- #8a – A selection should not be made. The applicant does not have an OHV Program.
- #8c – A selection should not be made. The applicant does not have an OHV Program.
- #10 – A selection should not be made. The applicant does not have an OHV Program.
- #14 – A selection should not be made. The applicant does not have an OHV Program.

Restoration	G11-05-02-R01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – Need for “Archeologist” is not clear. Explain role of “Archeologist” and how it directly relates to the project. • Staff – Need for “Botanist” is not clear. Explain role of “Botanist” and how it directly relates to the project. Also, cost appears excessive. • Staff – “Other-Volunteer” rate appears excessive. • Staff – “Other-Administrative” appears to be an Indirect Cost. Explain role of “Other-Administrative” and how it directly relates to the project. • Materials / Supplies – “Other-reflective tape” and “Other-safety items” appear these items would be included in the contract “Other-Contracted Construction Services”. Provide more information. • Equipment Use Expenses – Explain and/or verify use and mileage rates for vehicles. • Equipment Purchases – “Other-Communications” does not appear to be directly related to project. Explain role and cost for “Other-Communications” as it directly relates to the project. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support checked items “Archaeological or historical resources...” and “Sensitive areas”. Only two sensitive areas are described in the narrative. • #3 – Need date for reference documents. • #4 – Narrative conflicts with Project Description and Cost Estimate regarding “Use of native plants and materials” and “Incorporation of universally recognized “Best Management Practices”. • #5 – Need date for plans. • #7 – Need date(s) for checked items “Publicly noticed meeting(s)...”, “Conference call(s) with interested parties” and “Meeting(s) with stakeholders”. Also, need to identify the stakeholders and interested parties. • #8 – Narrative does not support response “2 to 3”. Activities provided for partner organizations do not appear to be confirmed or are not directly related to the project. • #9 – Project is not a scientific or cultural study. • #10 – Narrative does not support a “Yes” response. • #11 – Project Description does not support response. Project appears to be installation of fence; no sensitive area will be restored. 	