

BLM Eagle Lake

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities. Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow "green sticker" off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding.

Applicants are reminded that no grant funds and/or match can be expended or project activities conducted in any land owned or managed by the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #3a&b – Applicant must verify response..
- #4 – Applicant must provide the cost of their OHV Program based on the most recent complete fiscal year and provide date(s) of the reference document(s). Information provided does not appear current.
- #5 – Applicant must verify response. Information provided in narrative does not appear current.
- #11a – Narrative does not support 2nd selection. It is unclear if the bulletin boards and signs at the trailheads provide information concerning safe and responsible OHV recreation.
- #12a&b – Applicant must verify responses.

Ground Operations – FY15 Fort Sage Ground Ops	G14-01-08-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support “Additional damage to Facilities” selection. • #3 – Project Description does not support “Providing varied levels...” selection. • #4 – Applicant must identify how the participants are stakeholders to the project. 	

Development – FY15 Rice Canyon Development	G14-01-08-D01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A – Clean-up of a historic dump site does not appear to be related to this OHV Development project. Applicant must provide additional details on how this activity is related to this OHV Development project. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Material / Supplies, Barriers – This line item was not discussed in the Project Description, applicant must provide additional details. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2a – Applicant must provide the number of special status species within the project area. • #2b – Applicant must provide dates of reference documents. • #2c – Applicant must provide name and date of reference document. • #3 – Applicant must provide dates of reference documents. • #9 – Other hill climbing selection is already supported by the hiking selection as a non-motorized activity. • #10 – Applicant must list the date of meeting with first identified stakeholder, must define “R&PP”. Ensuring a permit is not affected does not make R&PP a stakeholder in this Development project. Applicant must indicate how the stakeholders listed are actual stakeholders in this project. • #11 – Applicant must define “LMC”. User education of the area is not an eligible partner activity for a Development project. Lassen Co. Sheriff is paid to patrol this area under another grant application project and is not an eligible partner in this project. • #12 – Selection is not consistent with current and previous year’s Ground Operations grant projects. 	

Restoration – FY15 Wilderness Study Area Restoration	G14-01-08-R01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support “Stream or other watercourses”. Applicant must identify the watercourse. • #2 – Narrative does not support the how the “Soil – site is actively eroding”. • #2 – Narrative does not support “Sensitive areas”. Applicant must identify the two sensitive habitat areas. 	

Law Enforcement- FY14 BLM Eagle Lake Field Office	G14-01-08-L01
Needs Assessment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Law Enforcement Certification	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	