

BLM Redding

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #5 – Applicant must verify response.
- #8b – The narrative does not support “5 to 19 times per year”. Only onsite education efforts are eligible for credit.
- #8d – The narrative does not support “At least 30 times per year”. Identify how often the ASI / MSF trainings are provided to the public.
- #9 – Applicant must verify response.
- #14 – The narrative does not support “Has secured land to be developed for OHV Recreation”. The land identified is not newly acquired property. Also, the narrative does not support “Has created a special fund to set aside funding to sustain OHV Recreation”.

Ground Operations – Chappie Shasta	G11-01-14-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – OHV Coordinator – This is an Indirect Cost. • Materials & Supplies – Provide a breakdown of “Misc- Campground supplies”. • Other – Project related training – Identify how the training is project related. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #3 – Provide further details on “Providing varied levels of riding difficulty” selection. • #4 – Provide a date for the public meeting and clarify the date of the stakeholder meeting. The narrative states “November 14, 2010”. • #8 – The narrative does not support a “Yes” response. 	

Acquisition – Chappie Shasta	G11-01-14-A01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2A – Clarify the dates on the timeline – dates indicate “2011”. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – Hourly rate appears high (\$120/HR) for “Resource Specialist”. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #6 – Identify date(s) for publicly noticed meetings and identify stakeholders. 	

Law Enforcement	G11-01-14-L01
Needs Assessment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No comment.	
Law Enforcement Certification	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No comment.	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No comment.	