

BLM Palm Springs South Coast Field Office

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant’s application.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #3a&b – Applicant must verify response.
- #4 – Applicant must verify response.
- #5 – Applicant must verify response.
- #9 – Applicant must verify response.
- #11b – Applicant must cite a reference document.
- #14 – The narrative does not support “Has engaged in collaborative processes with agencies that manage OHV Opportunities”. Applicant must identify the specific BLM offices and other agencies that manage OHV Opportunities.

Ground Operations - NECO Signing FY14	G12-01-13-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A – Applicant must explain how the “...Wilderness boundary signs...” are related to a Ground Operations project. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – “Other-Natural Resource Specialist” – “Order supplies...” are not directly related to the project. Applicant must move this line item to the ‘Indirect Costs’ category. • Materials / Supplies – “Other-Misc Tools/Supplies” – Applicant must provide additional information about this line item. 	

- Materials / Supplies – “Other-Maintenance & repairs(ATV,UTV, & Tr” – Applicant must move this line item to the ‘Equipment Use Expenses’ category.

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – Explanation for “Maintaining trail or road tread” is unclear.
- #4 – Narrative does not support “Conference call(s)...”. Applicant must identify the interested parties and date(s) of the conference call(s). Date of “conference call(s)...” must be a separate meeting from the “Meeting(s) with stakeholders.
- #4 – Narrative does not support “Meeting(s) with stakeholders”. – Applicant must identify the stakeholders.
- #4 – Note, public meeting date of March 21, 2003 is after the preliminary Application filing date and does not qualify.
- #5 – Applicant must clarify how the partner organization, Friends of the Desert Mountains, will participate in the project.
- #6 – “Providing sanitary facilities” is not related to the project.
- #7 – “Trail maps” are not supported in the Project Description.
- #8 – Narrative does not support substantial use of sustainable technologies.

Restoration - Dos Palmas Fence FY 14	G12-01-13-R01
--------------------------------------	---------------

Project Description

- A – “NEPA” activities listed in the Project Cost Estimate are not identified in the Project Description.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff – All staff line items appear excessive. Applicant must provide additional information about each line item.
- Staff – “Other-Rec Planner/OHV Coordinator” – Applicant must provide additional information how “NEPA” activities are directly related to the project.
- Contracts – “Other-Fencing Contract (new Fencing)” – Applicant must provide additional information identifying how costs were determined. Additionally, the Notes do not correlate to the grant request.
- Contracts – “Other-Fencing (removal old fenc” – Applicant must provide additional information how costs were determined. Additionally, the Notes do not correlate to the grant request.

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Narrative does not support responses.
- #4 – Narrative does not support “Use of native plants and materials”, “Educational signage”, and “Identification of alternate OHV routes ...” and are not consistent with the Project Description.

- #7 – Narrative does not support “Conference call(s)...”. Applicant must identify the interested parties and date(s) of the conference call(s). Note, date of “conference call(s)...” must be a separate meeting from the “Meeting(s) with stakeholders.
- #7 – Narrative does not support “Meeting(s) with stakeholders”. – Applicant must identify the stakeholders.
- #7 – Note, public meeting date of March 21, 2003 is after the preliminary Application filing date and does not qualify.
- #8 – Narrative does not support response. The activities listed for each partner organization does not appear directly related to the project. Applicant must explain how the activities each partner organization will perform are directly related to the project. Additionally, the applicant may not name itself as a partner.
- #10 – Narrative does not support response.

Law Enforcement - PS Law Enforcement FY 14	G12-01-13-L01
Needs Assessment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Law Enforcement Certification	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – “Supervisor” appears excessive relative to Needs Assessment. Applicant must provide additional justification. • Materials /Supplies – For “Safety Equipment” and “Other-Mics Supplies” – Applicant must provide additional information about these line items. 	

Education and Safety - PS Jr. Explorer	G12-01-13-S01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – “Other-Visitor Center Staff” – Applicant must verify all hours are OHV education related. • Materials / Supplies – “Other-Uniforms” – Cost appears excessive. Applicant must provide additional information and explain how the item is directly related to the project. 	

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #4 – Applicant must verify that all partners are promoting programs related to OHV education.
- #6 – Narrative does not support “Conference call(s)...”. Applicant must identify the interested parties and date(s) of the conference call(s). Note, date of “conference call(s)...” must be a separate meeting from the “Meeting(s) with stakeholders.
- #6 – Narrative does not support “Meeting(s) with stakeholders”. – Applicant must identify the stakeholders.
- #6 – Note, public meeting date of March 21, 2003 is after the preliminary Application filing date and does not qualify.
- #8 – Narrative does not support response. Applicant must provide additional information.
- #10 – Narrative does not support “Formal class setting”. It appears that the narrative was cut-off.
- #11 – Narrative and Project Description does not support the response; no training will be offered.