

BLM - Barstow Field Office

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #1a – Dates cannot be in the future.
- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #4 – Applicant must verify response.
- #5 – Applicant must verify response.
- #8b – Narrative does not support “50 or more per year”. Only onsite education efforts are eligible for credit.
- #9 – Applicant must verify response.
- #13 – Narrative does not support response “On most (50% or more) holidays and weekends”.
- #14 – Narrative does not support checked item “Has secured land to be developed for OHV Recreation”. The land identified is not newly acquired property.

Ground Operations - Barstow	G11-01-04-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A – The activities/deliverables identified for the “intern” are not project related. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – Identify how “Other-Archeologist” position is project related. • Staff – Identify how “Other-NEPA Coordinator” position is project related. • Staff – “Other-Budget Technician” is an Indirect Cost. • Staff – “Other-Administrative Technician” is an Indirect Cost. • Materials & Supplies – “Other-Uniforms,misc.” - The need for these items is not clear. How are the requested uniforms different from the regularly issued BLM uniforms? • Other – “Other-brochures, maps, tread lightly” - The ‘Note’ for this item does not match the description of the items. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #3 – Narrative does support checked item “Providing varied levels of riding difficulty”. • #5 – Provide additional details how the “Friends of Johnson Valley”, the “Student Conservation”, and the “Hammer King Productions” will directly participate in the project. • #6 – Narrative does not support checked item “Protecting water quality”. • #6 – “Providing bridges instead of wet crossings where appropriate” is not related to this project. The bridge already exists. • #8 – Narrative does not support a “Yes” response. 	

Ground Operations - El Mirage	G11-01-04-G02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are many items/activities that appear to be a duplicate of the activities identified in the Friends of El Mirage application. The applicant should confer with the Friends of El Mirage and revise appropriately. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contracts – Activities identified for “Other-Trail Maintenance Tech” are not project related. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #5 – Activities identified for “AMA District 37”, “Southern California Timing Association”, and the “San Bernardino County Parks Department”, appear to be related only to the planning and cleanup of racing events. Racing events are not project related. Also, the narrative for the “San Bernardino County Parks Department” states funding will be provided to the Friends of El Mirage, not the BLM Barstow. • #5 – Narrative does not support how the “Los Angeles County OHV Parks Department” will participate in the project. • #7 – Project Description does not support checked item “Paper used for trail maps...”. • #8 – Narrative does not support a “Yes” response. 	

Ground Operations - Dumont Dunes	G11-01-04-G03
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are many items/activities mentioned that are not Ground Operations related. Applicant must revise the Project Description and Project Cost Estimate appropriately. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – “Other-Grant Administrator” is an Indirect Cost. • Staff – Identify how “Other-NEPA Coordinator” position is project related. It appears the project does not contain NEPA activities. • Staff – “Other-Budget Technician” is an Indirect Cost. • Staff – “Other-Administration Technician” is an Indirect Cost. • Materials / Supplies – “Other-uniforms” - The need for this item is not clear. How are the requested uniforms different from the regularly issued BLM uniforms? • Equipment Purchases – Provide more detail for “Other-Radio Link” and how it relates to the project. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support checked item “Negative impact to cultural sites” and “Additional damage to Facilities”. • #4 – Clarify the date of the “Publicly noticed meeting...”. The narrative states “2/23/11”. • #5 – Activities identified for “Dumont Dunes Sub Group” and the “Student Conservation Association” do not appear directly related to this project. • #8 – Narrative does not support a “Yes” response. 	

Planning - Dumont Dunes Lizard Study	G11-01-04-P01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff – “Other-Grant Administrator” and “Other-Administration Technician” are Indirect Costs. Contracts - Provide more detail about the specifics of the “Other-University of California Fuller” contract. Also, how was the amount determined? 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #2 – Narrative does not support checked item “Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands”. #4 – Narrative does not support checked items “Publicly noticed meeting(s)…” and “Meeting(s) with stakeholders”. #5 – Narrative does not support a “Yes” response. #7 – Narrative does not support checked items “...development of OHV Opportunities adjacent to population centers” and “...development of OHV Opportunities in areas that lack legal OHV Opportunity”. #8 – Narrative and reference document do not support a “Yes” response. #9 – Narrative does not support a “Yes” response. 	

Law Enforcement - Barstow	G11-01-04-L01
Needs Assessment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Law Enforcement Certification	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff – For “Law Enforcement Officers”, provide a breakdown (e.g., number of positions, quantity of hours, and rate of pay) for each position in ‘Notes’ section. 	

Education & Safety - Barstow	G11-01-04-S02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – “Park Ranger” - Need additional information related to number of schools visited and number of events attended. • Contracts – “Other-Park Ranger” - Quantity of hours appears excessive. • Materials / Supplies – “Other-Uniforms” - The need for this item is not clear. How are the requested uniforms different from the regularly issued BLM uniforms? 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Applicant must verify response. • #7 – Narrative does not support checked items “Objectives” or “Evaluation and feedback of the process”. • #8 – Additional information is needed on number of events and school visits. • #9 – Selection does not appear to address the average time a participant will have exposure to the Project’s message. • #10 – Narrative does not support checked items “Audio programs” and “Hands on learning”. • #11 – Narrative does not support ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation training to the public. 	