

2015/16 Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program  
Factual Findings

<b>BLM – Barstow Field Office</b>
<p>The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division’s review and determination of the Applicant’s final application.</p> <p>For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations at <a href="http://www.ohv.parks.ca.gov/pages/1140/files/2008-regulations-rev-1-16.pdf">http://www.ohv.parks.ca.gov/pages/1140/files/2008-regulations-rev-1-16.pdf</a>.</p>
General Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 2 points.</li> <li>• #6 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.</li> </ul>

<b>Barstow Limited Use – Ground Operations</b>	<b>G15-01-04-G01</b>
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No change.</li> </ul>	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2 – Narrative does not support Negative impact to Cultural sites selection. Deduct 2 points.</li> <li>• #3 – Project Description does not support maintaining trail or maintaining multi use selections. Deduct 4 points.</li> <li>• #4 – Narrative does not support the meetings with multiple distinct stakeholders selection. Applicant did not explain how the participants of the stakeholders meeting(s) are stakeholders to the project. Deduct 1 point.</li> <li>• #5 – Narrative does not support the selection. Identified partners do not appear to be confirmed partners. Deduct 2 points.</li> </ul>	

<b>Barstow OHV Area – Ground Operations</b>	<b>G15-01-04-G02</b>
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Others – “Travel and Per Diem” Applicant increased rate per day from \$100 to \$140 without Division or Public Comment. Deduct \$16,240 from match.</li> <li>• Match reduction caused match percentage to fall below 26%.</li> <li>• Deduct \$1,771 from grant amount to return to the 26% match. Grant is now \$232,657 and Match is now \$81,745.</li> </ul>	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #5 – Narrative does not support the selection. Identified partners do not appear to be confirmed partners. Deduct 2 points.</li> </ul>	

2015/16 Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program  
Factual Findings

<b>Barstow Education and Safety</b>	<b>G15-01-04-S01</b>
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff - "Volunteers", Training activities are indirect. \$6,717.00 is moved from direct match to the Indirect match category.</li> <li>• Staff - "Maintenance", Training activities are indirect. \$851.00 is moved from direct match to the Indirect match category.</li> <li>• Staff - "Volunteer Coordinator", contained indirect activities. \$4,452.00 is moved from direct match to the Indirect match category.</li> <li>• Materials/Supplies - "Ambassador training aids &amp; materials", Applicant did respond to the Division comment by moving indirect items to the Indirect category. Grant and match funds are evaluated the same. \$400.00 is moved from the direct match to the Indirect match category.</li> <li>• Direct Match – \$12,420.00 in direct match is moved to the Indirect match category. Direct match went from \$41,901.00 to \$29,481.00. Indirect now exceeds 15% of total direct grant costs. Deduct \$12,387.00 from indirect match. Indirect is now at \$9,533.00. Applicant still meets its 26% match commitment. Total match is now \$39,014.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #3 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.</li> </ul>	

<b>Barstow Law Enforcement</b>	<b>G15-01-04-L01</b>
<b>Needs Assessment</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No change.</li> </ul>	
<b>Law Enforcement Certification</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No change.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No change.</li> </ul>	