

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
1	Alameda County Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement	G11-03-27-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommend funding same as previous year. 4970.15.3(b)(1): Salary rates not explained. 4970.15.3(b)(3): Reduced funding in comparison to similar projects. 4970.15.3(b)(5): Based on previous grants.
2	Alpine County Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement	G11-03-01-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Grant request reduced per 4970.15.3(b)(5): Award based on previous grant years.
3	Amador County Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement	G11-03-50-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Reduced funding recommended due to: A) 4970.15.3(b)(1): Did not address Division Comments. B) 4970.15.3(b)(4): LE needs assessment. C) 4970.15.3(b)(5): based on historical grants.
4	American Desert Foundation	Education & Safety	G11-04-04-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety	G11-04-04-S01	5	The Project addresses the following types of OHV Recreation	5	1	Project does not include "MC", "4X4", "RUV" or "Dune buggy/rail" training.
		Education & Safety	G11-04-04-S01	8	Total number of times individuals are exposed to the message through educational methods identified in Question 10:	4	2	Narrative does not support response "Greater than 10,000" - only 240 riders are trained.
		Education & Safety	G11-04-04-S01	10	The Project will utilize the following methods of education:	12	10	Narrative does not support "Internet classes".
		Education & Safety	G11-04-04-S01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other- ATV Instructor mileage- Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce match \$3,884. Other- North Dunes Instructor- Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce match \$1,677. Other- North Dunes Instructor-Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce match \$838. Other- North Dunes Instructor- Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce match \$745.
5	BLM - Arcata Field Office	General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	4	
		Ground Operations	G11-01-02-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	The cost for Fire rings were increased without public or Division comment. Reduced grant request \$200.00.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Law Enforcement	G11-01-02-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
6	BLM - Bakersfield Field Office	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	3	
		Law Enforcement	G11-01-03-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
		Planning	G11-01-03-P01	7	The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner:	11	9	Narrative does not support "...development of OHV Opportunities in areas that lack legal OHV Opportunity".
		Restoration	G11-01-03-R01	7	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Interested parties on conference call not supported.
		Restoration	G11-01-03-R01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	56	55	Reduce Staff Match \$625; Increase Indirect Match \$625.
7	BLM - Barstow Field Office	Barstow Education	G11-01-04-S01	7	The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements:	10	8	Narrative does not support checked item "Evaluation and feedback of the process".
		Barstow Education	G11-01-04-S01	8	Total number of times individuals are exposed to the message through educational methods identified in Question 10:	4	2	Additional information was not provided on number of events and school visits.
		Barstow Education	G11-01-04-S01	9	Average time a participant will have exposure to the Project's message or training through educational methods identified in Question 10:	3	2	The average time participants will have exposure based on Q10 is 5 minutes to less than 1 hour.
		Barstow Education	G11-01-04-S01	10	The Project will utilize the following methods of education:	14	12	Narrative does not support checked item "Hands on learning". Added selection "Outreach booths" after preliminary without public or Division comment.
		Barstow Education	G11-01-04-S01	11	The Project provides direct support for delivery of ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation training	2	0	Narrative nor Project Description supports ASI or MSF training offered to the public.
		Barstow Education	G11-01-04-S01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Contracts - Other Park Ranger- Rate was increased from \$20 to \$25 per hr without Public or Division Comment. Decrease line item to \$16,000.
		Barstow Ground Operations	G11-01-04-G01	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by:	6	4	Narrative does not support "Water Quality" and "Providing Bridges....".
		Barstow Ground Operations	G11-01-04-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Barstow Ground Operations	G11-01-04-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other-NEPA Coordinator - This line is eliminated as the item does not appear appropriate in comparison to similar requests from other BLM Field Offices. Reduce grant request \$4,770. Other Budget Technician - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not justify why this line item is a direct cost. Reduce grant request \$3,400 and match \$2,300. Other- Administration Technician - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not justify why this line item is a direct cost. Reduce grant request \$2,280 and match \$2,280. Contracts-Student Internship - Line item was eliminated. The description in the application is incompatible with a ground operations project. Reduce grant request \$15,000 and match \$15,000. Other - Uniforms - Line item was eliminated. Applicant failed to provide additional information as requested by OHV Division. Reduce grant request \$4,000. Indirect costs exceed 15%. Reduced grant and match appropriately.
		Barstow Law Enforcement	G11-01-04-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
		Dumont Dunes Lizard Study	G11-01-04-P01	1	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate. the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is:	3	0	Adjustments to Project Cost Estimate resulted in Match reduced to 25%.
		Dumont Dunes Lizard Study	G11-01-04-P01	5	If the Project were approved. the planning process would incorporate substantial stakeholder input:	5	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Dumont Dunes Lizard Study	G11-01-04-P01	7	The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner:	2	0	Narrative does not support checked item.
		Dumont Dunes Lizard Study	G11-01-04-P01	8	Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified:	5	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Dumont Dunes Lizard Study	G11-01-04-P01	9	The Planning Project would address offsite impacts relative to the Project Area (e.g. sound. fugitive dust. runoff):	5	0	Narrative modified, but does not address offsite impacts relative to the Project Area.
		Dumont Dunes Lizard Study	G11-01-04-P01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Staff - Other-Grant Administrator and Other-Administration Technician - these are Indirect Costs - Reduce Match by \$3,800 and \$3,040. Contracts - No additional detail provided - Reduce \$49,728 from Grant and \$17,472 from Match.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Dumont Ground Operations	G11-01-04-G03	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Narrative does not support "Publicly noticed meeting".
		Dumont Ground Operations	G11-01-04-G03	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.
		Dumont Ground Operations	G11-01-04-G03	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other-Grant Administrator - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not justify why this line item is a direct cost. Reduce grant request \$7,490 and match \$7,300. Other - NEPA Coordinator. This line is eliminated as the item does not appear appropriate in comparison to similar requests from other BLM Field Offices. Reduce grant request \$4,770. Other - Budget Technician. Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not justify why this line item is a direct cost. Reduce grant request \$2,900 and match \$2,800. Other - Administration Technician. Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not justify why this line item is a direct cost. Reduce grant request \$2,280 and match \$2,280. Other - Uniforms. Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce grant request \$4,000.
		El Mirage Ground Operations	G11-01-04-G02	5	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	3	Narrative only supports two partners.
		El Mirage Ground Operations	G11-01-04-G02	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.
		General Criteria		13	The Applicant or Land Manager conducts, or causes to be conducted, sound level testing:	2	0	Narrative does not support response.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	6	0	Narrative does not support "...collaborative processes with agencies that manage OHV opportunities". Additionally, these checked items were added after preliminary without public or Division comment; "...established an OHV Commission..." and "...adopted a general plan...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	1	
8	BLM - Bishop Field Office	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	10	6	The narrative does not support "...secured land to be developed..." and "...created a special fund...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	4	
		Law Enforcement	G11-01-05-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
		Restoration Tungsten Hills 2012	G11-01-05-R01	3	Reason for the Project:	4	1	Project description does not support checked item and conflicts with response to item #2. Correct response is Planning efforts associated with Restoration.
9	BLM - Eagle Lake Field Office	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	10	8	Narrative does not support "...created a special fund...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	3	
		Ground Operations-Fort Sage SRMA FY12	G11-01-08-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.
		Law Enforcement-Eagle Lake Field Office FY12	G11-01-08-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
		Planning-Fort Sage FY12	G11-01-08-P01	7	The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner:	10	8	Narrative does not support "...development of OHV Opportunities in areas that lack legal OHV Opportunity".
		Restoration- Fort Sage Turtle Mountain FY12	G11-01-08-R01	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".
10	BLM - El Centro Field Office	ECFO Law Enforcement	G11-01-09-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	10	6	Narrative does not support the selected items "...secured land..." and "...created a special fund...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	3	
		General Criteria		8b	Applicant or Land Manager provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices. Count only organized, scheduled events; do not include routine visitor contacts:	2	0	Applicant receives credit for the ASI ATV training under 8d.
		ISDRA Ground Operations	G11-01-09-G01	5	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	1	Narrative only supports one partner.
		ISDRA Safety	G11-01-09-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
11	BLM - Needles Field Office	Education & Safety	G11-01-12-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety	G11-01-12-S01	7	The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements:	10	8	Testing - Narrative does not support "Testing process to ensure actions are effective".
		Education & Safety	G11-01-12-S01	9	Average time a participant will have exposure to the Project's message or training through educational methods identified in Question 10:	4	3	The average time a participant will have exposure to the message is 1 -2 hours.
		Education & Safety	G11-01-12-S01	10	The Project will utilize the following methods of education:	14	12	The other "Ranger, lead in field program" was selected after preliminary without public or Division comment.
		Education & Safety	G11-01-12-S01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Others - Other-Travel & Training - Did not identify the specific training and breakdown costs into line items with quantity and rate for each training item as requested by Division Comment. Reduce grant req \$4,000. Reduce Indirect Costs \$600 to keep within 15%. Reduced grant further to meet match requirements.
		General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	3	

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations - General Maintenance	G11-01-12-G01	7	The Project incorporates recycled materials by utilizing:	5	4	Office and fencing supplies are not identified as part of the project.
		Ground Operations - General Maintenance	G11-01-12-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.
		Law Enforcement	G11-01-12-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested
		Planning - Special Recreation Permitting	G11-01-12-P01	1	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate. the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is:	3	0	Adjustments to Project Cost Estimate resulted in Match reduced to 25%.
		Planning - Special Recreation Permitting	G11-01-12-P01	4	The Project proposal was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Narrative does not support conference calls.
		Planning - Special Recreation Permitting	G11-01-12-P01	6	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	0	Narrative does not support response. These partners appear be advisory in nature, and duplicate input under question number 5.
		Planning - Special Recreation Permitting	G11-01-12-P01	7	The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner:	11	4	Narrative and Project Description only support "...develop management plans for existing OHV Opportunity".
		Planning - Special Recreation Permitting	G11-01-12-P01	8	Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified:	5	0	Did not provide reference document with date.
		Planning - Special Recreation Permitting	G11-01-12-P01	10	If successful. would the Project lead to the creation of a new OHV Opportunity within the jurisdiction of a Land Manager that does not currently provide OHV Opportunity?	10	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Planning - Special Recreation Permitting	G11-01-12-P01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Staff - Increased Quantity for 'Resource Staff', 'Other-Recreation Staff', and 'Other NEPA Coordinator' without comment - reduce to preliminary amounts: Grant by \$7,272 and Match by \$14,928. Other - Travel - This line item does not appear to be necessary to accomplish the project. Reduce Grant by \$2,000. Eligible Grant = \$34,461 and Match = \$11,487. (Note; these eligible amounts include adjustments to Indirect Cost (15%) and adjustment to meet minimum 25% Match).
12	BLM - Palm Springs South Coast Field Office	Big Morongo Restoration Planning	G11-01-13-R02	6	Primary funding source for future operational costs associated with the Project will be:	5	0	Response conflicts with Project Description, which indicates future restoration application would result from project.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Big Morongo Restoration Planning	G11-01-13-R02	7	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Interested parties on conference call and stakeholders are not supported.
		Big Morongo Restoration Planning	G11-01-13-R02	8	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	2	Preserve and Friends of Preserve appear to be the same organization.
		Big Morongo Restoration Planning	G11-01-13-R02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	20	12	Other - OHV Supervisor appears to be an Indirect Cost. Reduce \$5,920 from Grant, \$8,800 from Match. Equipment Use - 4x4 Vehicle - Indirect Costs for Staff. Reduce \$1,020 from Grant. Adjust Indirect costs for 15% - reduce Grant \$1,041.
		Desert Tortoise Landscape Surveys and Monitoring	G11-01-13-G04	2	Failure to complete the Project would result in:	8	0	Narrative does not support selected items.
		Desert Tortoise Landscape Surveys and Monitoring	G11-01-13-G04	3	The Project would sustain OHV Opportunity by:	4	0	Narrative does not support Providing traffic control and Maintaining multi use.
		Desert Tortoise Landscape Surveys and Monitoring	G11-01-13-G04	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Narrative only supports stakeholder meetings.
		Desert Tortoise Landscape Surveys and Monitoring	G11-01-13-G04	5	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	2	1	Narrative only supports one partner.
		Desert Tortoise Landscape Surveys and Monitoring	G11-01-13-G04	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by:	4	0	No work will be done on the ground, therefore the project does not directly avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and/or cultural resources.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	6	2	Narrative does not support "...collaborative processes with agencies that manage OHV opportunities". Additionally, the checked item "...established an OHV Commission..." was added after preliminary without public or Division comment.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	4	
		General Criteria		b	Land Manager has developed methods to address soil issues?	5	0	Applicant did not provide a reference document.
		NECO Route Signing FY 2013	G11-01-13-G01	5	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	2	Narrative only supports three partners.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		NECO Route Signing FY 2013	G11-01-13-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies. Credit was given for recycled materials in question 7.
		NECO Route Signing FY 2013	G11-01-13-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other - Rec. Planner/OHV Coordinator - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not justify why this line item is a direct cost. Reduce grant request by \$8,640. Indirect costs exceed 15%. Reduced grant and match appropriately.
		NRCS Soil Survey for the Meccacopia SRMA	G11-01-13-P01	2	The Planning Project would address the following:	3	0	Narrative only supports "Potential effects for OHV Recreation on soil outcomes".
		NRCS Soil Survey for the Meccacopia SRMA	G11-01-13-P01	4	The Project proposal was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	1	0	Narrative does not support response.
		NRCS Soil Survey for the Meccacopia SRMA	G11-01-13-P01	6	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	0	All Partners were added after preliminary without comment.
		NRCS Soil Survey for the Meccacopia SRMA	G11-01-13-P01	7	The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner:	3	0	The narrative does not support the checked item.
		NRCS Soil Survey for the Meccacopia SRMA	G11-01-13-P01	9	The Planning Project would address offsite impacts relative to the Project Area (e.g., sound, fugitive dust, runoff):	5	0	Narrative does not indicate offsite impacts would be addressed in this project.
		NRCS Soil Survey for the Meccacopia SRMA	G11-01-13-P01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Equipment Use Expenses - Field Vehicle - Rate changed from 0.51 to 0.55 without comment - reduce Match by \$840. Even though the use rate changed, the cost is not allowed to change from preliminary to final. Equipment Use Expenses - Field Vehicle - Additional vehicle added after preliminary without comment - reduce Match by \$1,705.
		Palm Springs BLM Outreach	G11-01-13-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Palm Springs BLM Outreach	G11-01-13-S01	4	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	1	0	Partner changed after preliminary without public or Division comment.
		Palm Springs BLM Outreach	G11-01-13-S01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Staff- Ranger- This line is reduced as the item appears excessive in comparisons to similar requests from other projects. Reduce grant \$18,000. Reduce match \$18,000.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Palm Springs Jr. Ranger Program	G11-01-13-S02	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Palm Springs Jr. Ranger Program	G11-01-13-S02	7	The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements:	10	6	"Process of Researching" was added after preliminary without public or Division comment. Narrative does not support "Objectives".
		Palm Springs Jr. Ranger Program	G11-01-13-S02	11	The Project provides direct support for delivery of ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation training	2	0	Narrative does not support ASI or MSF certified training offered to the public.
		Palm Springs Jr. Ranger Program	G11-01-13-S02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Staff - Other-OHV Grant Coordinator- Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not justify why this line item is a direct cost. Reduce grant \$10,000. Reduced grant further to meet match requirements.
		PS Law Enforcement FY13	G11-01-13-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
		Sonoran Desert Thorn Woodland Bird Monitoring in OHV Recreation Areas	G11-01-13-G05	2	Failure to complete the Project would result in:	2	0	No work will be done on the ground; therefore failure to complete this project would not result in damage to special status species or other sensitive habitat.
		Sonoran Desert Thorn Woodland Bird Monitoring in OHV Recreation Areas	G11-01-13-G05	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Narrative only supports "meeting with stakeholders".
		Sonoran Desert Thorn Woodland Bird Monitoring in OHV Recreation Areas	G11-01-13-G05	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by:	1	0	Narrative does not support selected item.
		South Coast Signing FY 2013	G11-01-13-G02	5	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	2	Narrative only supports three partners.
		South Coast Signing FY 2013	G11-01-13-G02	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies. Credit was given for recycled materials in question 7.
		South Coast Signing FY 2013	G11-01-13-G02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other - OHV Coordinator - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not justify why this line item is a direct cost. Reduce grant request by \$7,500. Other - Natural Resource Specialist - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce grant request by \$12, 000. Indirect costs exceed 15%. Reduced grant and match appropriately.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Willow Hole Fence	G11-01-13-R03	3	Reason for the Project:	4	2	Project description does not support selected response. Accurate response is "Alternative measures attempted, but failed".
		Willow Hole Fence	G11-01-13-R03	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".
		Willow Hole Fence	G11-01-13-R03	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Civil Engineer is an Indirect Cost - Line item was eliminated. Reduce Grant \$16,200. OHV Supervisor is an Indirect Cost - Line item was eliminated. Reduce Grant \$11,100. Reduce Match \$8,500. Adjust Indirect costs for 15% - reduce Match \$5,353.
13	BLM - Redding Field Office	Chappie Acquisition FY12	G11-01-14-A01	6	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Narrative does not support meeting(s) with stakeholders.
		Chappie-Shasta Ground Operations FY12	G11-01-14-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	The narrative does not identify the dates for public meetings, conference calls and stakeholders meetings.
		Chappie-Shasta Ground Operations FY12	G11-01-14-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Indirect costs exceed 15%. Reduced match appropriately.
		Chappie-Shasta Law Enforcement FY12	G11-01-14-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
		General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	10	8	Narrative does not support "...created a special fund...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	5	
14	BLM - Ridgecrest Field Office	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	8	6	Narrative does not support "...created a special fund...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	2	
		Ground Operations	G11-01-15-G01	3	The Project would sustain OHV Opportunity by:	13	12	Narrative does not support "Providing levels of riding difficulty...".

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations	G11-01-15-G01	5	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	2	The narrative only supports two partners.
		Ground Operations	G11-01-15-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.
		Ground Operations	G11-01-15-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other - Outdoor Recreation Planner - Based on description of the line item. approximately half of the activities are not consistent with ground operations. Reduce grant request \$7,000 and match \$5,000. Other - Interpretive Printing - This line is eliminated as the item appears excessive in comparisons to similar requests from other BLM Offices. Reduce grant request \$10,000. Other - Staff Travel and Training - This line is eliminated as the item appears excessive in comparisons to similar requests from other BLM Offices. Reduce grant request \$3,000. Indirect costs exceed 15%. Reduced match appropriately.
		Law Enforcement	G11-01-15-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
		Restoration	G11-01-15-R01	2	Natural and Cultural Resources - Failure to fund the Project will result in adverse impacts to:	24	20	Narrative does not support impacts to "Domestic water supply".
		Restoration	G11-01-15-R01	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".
15	BLM - Ukiah Field Office	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	4	0	Applicant added response after preliminary.
		General Criteria		12a	Land Manager has developed a systematic methodology for evaluating soil conditions of its OHV Opportunities?	5	0	Response was added after preliminary.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	5	

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations	G11-01-17-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Applicant added selection "Meetings with stakeholders" after the preliminary without public and/or Division comment.
		Ground Operations	G11-01-17-G01	5	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	2	Narrative only supports two partners.
		Ground Operations	G11-01-17-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.
		Ground Operations	G11-01-17-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other - Radio Technician - this is an indirect cost. Moved grant request \$3,135 and match \$1,045 from direct costs to indirect costs. Other - Web Camera & Installation Supplies - Line item was eliminated as it is not consistent with a ground operation activity. As described by applicant, this is a law enforcement activity. Reduce grant amount \$2,000.
		Law Enforcement	G11-01-17-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
16	Butte County Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement	G11-03-70-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested. Added match inappropriately.
17	CA4WDC Conservation & Education Foundation	Education & Safety - State Wide	G11-04-05-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety - State Wide	G11-04-05-S01	10	The Project will utilize the following methods of education:	14	4	Narrative only addresses "Outreach booths" and "Printed Media". "Internet classes" was selected after preliminary without public or Division comment.
		Education & Safety - State Wide	G11-04-05-S01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Contracts - Other Educational Flyer printing - line item was eliminated as this was added after preliminary without public or Division comment. Deduct \$3,000 Grant request, \$750 Match. Indirect Costs reduced to meet 15%. Reduced grant further to meet match requirements.
18	Calaveras County Sheriff's Department	Law Enforcement Upper and Lower Interface	G11-03-03-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Grant request reduced per 4970.15.3(b)(5): Award based on previous grant years.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
19	California Trail Users Coalition	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	4	2	Narrative does not support "...engaged in collaborative processes...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	2	
		Ground Operations-Road & Trail Maintenance Support 2012	G11-04-06-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other - Red Cross First Aid Class - Line item was eliminated as this is not a ground operation activity. Reduce grant amount \$600.00. Indirect cost exceeds 15%. Reduce grant amount \$296.
20	City of California City	Development - OHV Staging Area Expansion	G11-03-26-D01	3	The Project is designed to provide for diversified OHV use:	6	0	Narrative does not support the items checked.
		Development - OHV Staging Area Expansion	G11-03-26-D01	6	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Development - OHV Staging Area Expansion	G11-03-26-D01	10	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	1	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Development - OHV Staging Area Expansion	G11-03-26-D01	11	Primary funding source for future operational costs associated with the Project will be:	5	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Development - OHV Staging Area Expansion	G11-03-26-D01	13	Does the Project Area contain riparian/wetland issues?	10	0	Not a valid reference document.
		Education & Safety	G11-03-26-S01	1	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is:	3	0	Match was added after preliminary without public or Division comment.
		Education & Safety	G11-03-26-S01	13	The Project involves search and rescue staff that is:	5	2	This project utilizes paid staff working regular hours.
		Education & Safety	G11-03-26-S01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Staff- Other Firefighter/Medic Overtime- Match was added after preliminary without public or Division comment. Deduct \$58,500 match. Staff- Other Part Time Firefighter EMT/Medic-Match was added after preliminary without public or Division comment. Deduct \$15,000 match. Reduced grant further to meet match requirements.
		General Criteria		13	The Applicant or Land Manager conducts, or causes to be conducted, sound level testing:	4	0	Applicant has not yet begun conducting sound testing.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	8	0	Applicant added responses after preliminary without public or Division comment.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	2	
		General Criteria		8b	Applicant or Land Manager provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices. Count only organized, scheduled events; do not include routine visitor contacts:	1	0	Narrative does not support onsite education efforts.
		Ground Operations	G11-03-26-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Dates were not identified for the any of the meetings selected.
		Ground Operations	G11-03-26-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Park Attendant - line item rate of pay was reduced to be consistent with other personnel with similar duties. Reduce match amount \$1,500. Other - Equipment retrieval. This line is eliminated as the item appears excessive in comparisons to similar requests from other local agencies. Reduce grant request \$3,000 and match \$3,000.
		Law Enforcement	G11-03-26-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Grant request reduced per 4970.15.3(b)(5): Award based on previous grant years.
21	City of Hesperia	General Criteria		4	% Funded by OHV Trust Fund (do not include in-lieu funds):	4	3	The appropriate selection is 11%-25%.
		General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	5	
		General Criteria		8a	Education materials available onsite:	10	5	Applicant added "bulletin boards..." after preliminary without public or Division Comment.
		Ground Operations	G11-03-99-G01	7	The Project incorporates recycled materials by utilizing:	2	1	Selection changed without comment from the public or Division.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations	G11-03-99-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Heavy Equipment Operator - Line item was eliminated as this was added after preliminary without public or Division comment. Reduced grant amount \$18,720 and match \$6,240. Maintenance Worker - Line item was eliminated as this was added after preliminary without public or Division comment. Reduce grant amount \$9,360 and match amount \$3,120. Maintenance Worker - second line item was eliminated as this was added after preliminary without public or Division comment. Reduce grant amount \$9,360 and match amount \$3,120. Other - Equipment Repair and Maintenance - Line item was reduced by half. Reduce grant amount \$26,477 and match amount \$8,826. Indirect costs exceed 15%. Reduced grant and match appropriately.
22	City of Hesperia Police Department	Law Enforcement	G11-03-58-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Grant request reduced per 4970.15.3(b)(1): Increased pay rates without division comments, 4970.15.3(b)(5): Award based on previous grant years.
23	City of Porterville Parks and Leisure Services	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		13	The Applicant or Land Manager conducts, or causes to be conducted, sound level testing:	2	0	Narrative does not support sound testing of motorcycles and ATVs.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	3	
		General Criteria		8a	Education materials available onsite:	10	5	Narrative does not support "bulletin boards. etc..."
		Ground Operations	G11-03-24-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support items selected.
		Ground Operations	G11-03-24-G01	5	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	2	0	The narrative identifies the two partnerships as related to race events. These events are not project related.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations	G11-03-24-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.
		Ground Operations	G11-03-24-G01	9	The Project improves and/or maintains facilities that provide motorized access to the following non-motorized recreation opportunities:	4	0	Selections are not appropriate for this project.
		Ground Operations	G11-03-24-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Others - Printing - line item was eliminated as this is an ineligible cost. Reduce grant amount \$148 and match \$52.
		Law Enforcement	G11-03-24-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	They responded to our comments. they rec'd no public comments. They have unspent funds of \$7600 from 2009/2010 grant year. They are not very proactive during closeout. We recommend \$10K for this grant. 4970.15.3(b)(1); failure to submit effectively during closeout.4970.15.3(b)(2); balance of unspent funds.
24	City of Tulare Recreation Parks and Library Department	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	4	2	Narrative does not support "...engaged in a collaborative process...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	5	
		Ground Operations	G11-03-04-G02	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support selections.
		Ground Operations	G11-03-04-G02	5	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	2	0	The partnerships identified are not eligible as the race events are not part of this project.
		Ground Operations	G11-03-04-G02	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.
		Ground Operations	G11-03-04-G02	9	The Project improves and/or maintains facilities that provide motorized access to the following non-motorized recreation opportunities:	2	0	The camping selection was made after preliminary without public or Division comment.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations	G11-03-04-G02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other - Admin. Assist. - Line item is an indirect cost and no additional information was provided as requested by the Division. Reduce match amount \$14,400. Other - City Staff - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not justify why this line item is a direct cost. Reduce match \$6,000.
25	Colusa County Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement	G11-03-05-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
26	Desert Group Search and Rescue Volunteer. Inc.	Education & Safety	G11-04-09-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
27	El Dorado County Sheriff's Department	Law Enforcement	G11-03-07-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
28	El Dorado County Transportation Department	Education & Safety	G11-03-06-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
				8	Total number of times individuals are exposed to the message through educational methods identified in Question 10:	4	3	Narrative does not support "Greater than 10,000" selection.
				N/A	Project Cost Estimate	42	44	Staff - Other-Administrative Analyst - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not justify why this line item is a direct cost. Reduce match \$4,905. Reduced grant further to meet match requirements.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	5	
		Ground Operations-Phase III-Buck Island Outlet to Private Property	G11-03-06-G01	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by:	6	4	"Re-routing trails to divert away from riparian/wetlands areas" and "Providing sanitary facilities" are not related to this project.
				8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.
				N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other - County/CAO Admin - Line item is being moved to indirect costs. Move match amount \$15,000 to indirect cost. Other - DOT Project management is a duplicate of the Other - DOT Maint. Management. Reduce grant request \$5,700.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations-Annual Operations & Maintenance	G11-03-06-G03	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.
				N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other - Project Management. Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce grant amount \$1,120.
29	Fresno County Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement	G11-03-08-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Grant request reduced per 4970.15.3(b)(5): Award based on previous grant years.
30	Friends of El Mirage	Education & Safety-Smart Phone Applications: Expanded Coverage Area	G11-04-11-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety-Smart Phone Applications: Expanded Coverage Area	G11-04-11-S01	7	The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements:	10	6	Narrative does not support "Plan to implement ..." and "Evaluation and feedback..."
		Education & Safety-Smart Phone Applications: Expanded Coverage Area	G11-04-11-S01	8	Total number of times individuals are exposed to the message through educational methods identified in Question 10:	4	3	Narrative does not support "Greater than 10,000" selection.
		Education & Safety-Smart Phone Applications: Expanded Coverage Area	G11-04-11-S01	9	Average time a participant will have exposure to the Project's message or training through educational methods identified in Question 10:	4	2	Narrative does not support "Greater than 2 hours" selection.
		Education & Safety-Smart Phone Applications: Expanded Coverage Area	G11-04-11-S01	10	The Project will utilize the following methods of education:	14	8	Narrative does not support "Printed media...", "Internet classes", "Advertising" and "Social media".
		General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		13	The Applicant or Land Manager conducts, or causes to be conducted, sound level testing:	2	0	Narrative does not support response.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	2	
		Ground Operations-Visitor Center and General Maintenance Support	G11-04-11-G01	1	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate. the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is:	3	0	Match was reduced to 25% due to adjustments of cost estimate.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations-Visitor Center and General Maintenance Support	G11-04-11-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other - Project Manager - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce match amount \$24,960. Senior Maintenance Worker - The hourly rate is reduced to \$23 per hour to be consistent with the maintenance worker in line item #2 as the notes indicate they have the same duties. Reduce match amount \$5,550. Maintenance worker - Line item was eliminated as this was added after preliminary without public or Division comment. Reduce grant amount \$68,552. Grant request was reduced an additional \$12,078 to account for the 25% required match.
31	Friends of Jawbone	Education & Safety-Owlshead GPS Expansion	G11-04-13-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety-Owlshead GPS Expansion	G11-04-13-S01	7	The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements:	10	8	Narrative does not support "Process of Researching Issues" selection.
		Education & Safety-Owlshead GPS Expansion	G11-04-13-S01	9	Average time a participant will have exposure to the Project's message or training through educational methods identified in Question 10:	4	2	Narrative does not support "Greater than one hour" selection.
		General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	2	
		Ground Operations-Trail and Route Maintenance Work	G11-04-13-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support items selected.
		Ground Operations-Trail and Route Maintenance Work	G11-04-13-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Park Attendant - Line item is reduced as this item is not necessary for the successful completion of this project. Reduce grant amount \$12,749.
		Restoration- Incurion Repairs from Illegal off trail ridding	G11-04-13-R01	2	Natural and Cultural Resources - Failure to fund the Project will result in adverse impacts to:	24	20	Narrative does not support "domestic water supply".

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Restoration- Incursion Repairs from Illegal off trail ridding	G11-04-13-R01	8	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	2	1	Added California City after preliminary.
		Restoration- Incursion Repairs from Illegal off trail ridding	G11-04-13-R01	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".
		Restoration- Incursion Repairs from Illegal off trail ridding	G11-04-13-R01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Staff - Other-Operators/Maintenance - increased Qty from 6,240 to 10,400 hrs. Increase not justified. Reduce Qty back to 6,240 - reduce Grant by \$99,840. Contracts - Other - GPS/Pictures Management - Cost is excessive, reduce to comparable activity in FOJ Ground Ops. (\$300/month x 36 mo. = \$10,800). Grant reduced by \$25,200. Equipment Use Expenses - "4x4 Vehicle" - Increased Qty by 300 without justification. Reduce to preliminary: Qty = 600. Match = \$63,600. Reduce \$31,800 from Match.
32	Humboldt County Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement	G11-03-11-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Grant Request reduced per 4970.15.3(b)(4): LE needs assessment 4970.15.3(b)(5): Award based on previous grant years.
33	Imperial County Sheriff's Office	Education & Safety	G11-03-09-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety	G11-03-09-S01	4	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	2	Narrative only supports three partners.
		Education & Safety	G11-03-09-S01	11	The Project provides direct support for delivery of ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation training	2	0	ASI/MSF training is not provided to the public.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Education & Safety	G11-03-09-S01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Materials / Supplies- Other laminated photos - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce \$300 from match. Materials / Supplies- Other Display Panel- Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce \$2,500 from grant. Materials / Supplies- Other Laptop Computer- Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce \$3,500 from grant. Materials / Supplies- Other Handouts- Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce \$10,000 from grant. Materials / Supplies- Other Childrens Activity Books- Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce \$7,000 from grant. Reduced grant further to meet match requirements.
		Law Enforcement	G11-03-09-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
34	Inyo County Sheriff's Department	Law Enforcement	G11-03-30-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
35	Kern County Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement	G11-03-25-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
36	Kumeyaay-Diegueno Land Conservancy	General Criteria		7c	Measures to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas:	3	0	Applicant does not have OHV Opportunities.
		General Criteria		9b	Resource Management Information System	5	0	Applicant does not provide OHV Opportunities.
		Restoration	G11-04-40-R01	7	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	1	0	Only one stakeholder identified before application date.
		Restoration	G11-04-40-R01	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Restoration	G11-04-40-R01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	OHV Coordinator is excessive. Reduce 'Qty' by 240 hrs (80 RM reporting, and 160 restore crew). Reduce Grant by \$6,217 and Match by \$2,183."Contract - Other-Site Monitor" is excessive. Reduce 'Qty' by 936 hrs (match hours of Staff-Site Monitor). Reduce Grant by \$21,060. Other-Restoration Manager appears excessive and duties overlap OHV Coordinator. Reduce 'Qty' of RM by 80 (to match total hours of Restoration Crew). Reduce Grant by \$3,200. Indirect costs exceeded 15%. Reduced grant and match appropriately.
37	Lake County Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement	G11-03-64-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
38	Lassen County Sheriff's Department	Law Enforcement	G11-03-65-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
39	Lassen Land and Trails Trust	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	2	Applicant is a first time applicant.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	2	
		Ground Operations	G11-04-47-G01	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by:	5	4	Providing bridges where appropriate is not a part of this project.
		Ground Operations	G11-04-47-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other - rock crusher. Line item was eliminated as this is unnecessary for the successful completion of the project. Reduce grant amount \$52,800.
40	Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department	Law Enforcement (Santa Clarita Station)	G11-03-10-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
		Law Enforcement (Palmdale Station)	G11-03-10-L02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
41	Los Angeles Police Department / Valley Traffic Division. Off Road Unit	Law Enforcement	G11-03-66-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Grant request reduced per 4970.15.3(b)(4): Funding request seems excessive in comparison to legal OHV opportunities offered.
42	Los Exploradores Search and Rescue. Inc	Education & Safety	G11-04-48-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	2	Applicant is a first time applicant.
		Education & Safety	G11-04-48-S01	4	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	1	Narrative only supports one partner.
		Education & Safety	G11-04-48-S01	5	The Project addresses the following types of OHV Recreation	4	0	Did not address ATV. 4x4. and RUV. Added selection "M.C." after preliminary without Division or public Comment.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Education & Safety	G11-04-48-S01	6	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	1	0	Narrative does not support Publicly Noticed Meetings.
		Education & Safety	G11-04-48-S01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Equipment Use Expense- Driving to and from residence is not an eligible cost. Reduce grant \$3,000. Reduce match \$750.
43	Madera County Sheriff's Department	Law Enforcement	G11-03-33-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Grant request reduced per 4970.15.3(b)(1): increased costs without public or Division comments, 4970.15.3(b)(5): based on historical grant funding.
44	Mendocino County Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement	G11-03-52-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
45	Mono County Sheriff's Department	Law Enforcement	G11-03-12-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
46	Morongo Basin Ambulance Association	Education & Safety	G11-04-42-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	2	Applicant is a first time applicant.
		Education & Safety	G11-04-42-S01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Materials/Supplies- Emergency Medical Supplies- Line item was reduced as this was increased after preliminary without public or Division comment. Reduce \$20 grant. Materials/Supplies- Other Extreme Outer Wear- Line item was reduced as this was increased after preliminary without public or Division comment. Reduce \$562 grant. Others- Training- Two line items were eliminated as they were added after preliminary without public or Division comment. Reduce \$1,500 grant.
47	Napa County Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement	G11-03-35-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
48	National Park Service - Mojave National Preserve	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		10	Check all forms of OHV outreach the Applicant utilizes:	1	0	Applicant does not have OHV Opportunity areas.
		General Criteria		7b	The majority of OHV Opportunity areas are patrolled:	3	0	Applicant does not have OHV Opportunity areas.
		General Criteria		7c	Measures to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas:	3	0	Applicant does not have OHV Opportunity areas.
		General Criteria		8a	Education materials available onsite:	5	0	Applicant does not have OHV Opportunity areas.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		General Criteria		8c	When Facility is open, staff are available at trailheads, visitor centers and/or entrance stations to provide information on safe and responsible OHV use:	2	0	Applicant does not have OHV Opportunity areas.
		General Criteria			Land Manager's OHV program:	2	0	Applicant does not have an OHV Program.
		Restoration	G11-05-02-R01	2	Natural and Cultural Resources - Failure to fund the Project will result in adverse impacts to:	14	12	Narrative does not support "Sensitive areas".
		Restoration	G11-05-02-R01	4	Measures to ensure success - The Project makes use of the following elements to ensure successful implementation:	10	6	Narrative did not address "Use of native plants and materials" and "Incorporation of universally recognized Best Management Practices".
		Restoration	G11-05-02-R01	5	Is there a publicly reviewed and adopted plan (e.g., wilderness designation, land management plans, route designation decisions) that supports the need for the Restoration Project?	5	0	Document provided is not a publicly reviewed plan.
		Restoration	G11-05-02-R01	7	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support publicly noticed meeting, conference calls or meetings with stakeholders.
		Restoration	G11-05-02-R01	8	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	2	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Restoration	G11-05-02-R01	9	Scientific and cultural studies will: (Respond ONLY if Restoration Project involves scientific or cultural studies.)	1	0	Response does not apply.
		Restoration	G11-05-02-R01	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".
		Restoration	G11-05-02-R01	11	Size of sensitive habitats (e.g., wilderness, riparian, wetlands, ACEC) which will be restored within the Project Area:	5	0	No sensitive habitat will be restored in project.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Restoration	G11-05-02-R01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Staff- Archeologist - Increased quantity of hours without public or Division Comment, reduce to preliminary level. Reduce Match by \$645. Staff Botanist - Hours appear excessive. Reduce hours to prior application - Qty reduced from 100 to 20; Match reduced \$3,440. Other-Administrative - Move to Indirect Cost - \$10,000. Match Equipment Use- did not provide additional detail. Reduce match by \$5,292. Equipment Purchase - Other-Communications does not appear to be essential to the project. Reduce Grant \$10,000.
49	Native American Land Conservancy	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		10	Check all forms of OHV outreach the Applicant utilizes:	3	2	Received credit under #9 for their website.
		General Criteria		11b	The Land Manager's website contains the following items:	2	1	The link to the OHMVR Division website was not found.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	2	
		Restoration	G11-04-31-R01	2	Natural and Cultural Resources - Failure to fund the Project will result in adverse impacts to:	13	7	Narrative does not support "Archeological and historical resources". "Stream or other watercourse" was checked after preliminary without public or Division comment.
		Restoration	G11-04-31-R01	4	Measures to ensure success - The Project makes use of the following elements to ensure successful implementation:	10	6	Narrative does not support "native plants and materials". "Identification of alternate" was checked after preliminary without public or Division comment.
		Restoration	G11-04-31-R01	5	Is there a publicly reviewed and adopted plan (e.g., wilderness designation, land management plans, route designation decisions) that supports the need for the Restoration Project?	5	0	The identified plan does not appear to be publicly reviewed and/or relevant to the project.
		Restoration	G11-04-31-R01	7	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support the selections.
		Restoration	G11-04-31-R01	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Restoration	G11-04-31-R01	11	Size of sensitive habitats (e.g., wilderness, riparian, wetlands, ACEC) which will be restored within the Project Area:	5	0	No sensitive habitat will be restored in project.
		Restoration	G11-04-31-R01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Staff - OHV Coordinator - Duties overlap with Restoration Manager, reduce Qty by 300 hrs. Reduce Grant by \$9,844 and Match by \$3,656.
50	Nevada County Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement	G11-03-16-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommend for funding as requested.
51	Plumas County Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement	G11-03-13-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommend for funding as requested.
52	Rescue 3 Inc.	Education & Safety	G11-04-20-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety	G11-04-20-S01	6	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Narrative does not support Publicly Noticed Meetings selection.
		Education & Safety	G11-04-20-S01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Equipment Purchases - Line item was reduced as this was increased after preliminary without public or Division comment. Reduce \$500 grant.
53	Ridgecrest Police Department	Law Enforcement	G11-03-46-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Grant request reduced per 4970.15.3(b)(3): Reduced funding in comparison to similar projects. 4970.15.3(b)(4): The needs assessment does not justify increased funding, applicant has no legal OHV opportunity .
54	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	Law Enforcement	G11-03-14-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Grant request reduced per 4970.15.3(b)(4): Needs are not justified for the funding increase requested. 4970.15.3(b)(5): based upon previous grant years.
55	San Benito County Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement	G11-03-57-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Grant request reduced per 4970.15.3(b)(3): comparison to similar projects. 4970.15.3(b)(4): Needs assessment the same as previous year.
56	San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department	Law Enforcement - Barstow	G11-03-15-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommend for funding as requested.
		Law Enforcement - Victor Valley	G11-03-15-L02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommend for funding as requested.
		Law Enforcement Twin Peaks	G11-03-15-L03	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
57	San Bernardino National Forest Association	Education & Safety	G11-04-02-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	6	4	Narrative does not support "...engaged in collaborative processes...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	4	
		General Criteria		8b	Applicant or Land Manager provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices. Count only organized, scheduled events; do not include routine visitor contacts:	3	2	Narrative supports 20-49 programs per year.
58	San Diego County Sheriff's Department	Law Enforcement	G11-03-17-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
59	San Joaquin County Sheriff's Department	Law Enforcement	G11-03-18-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
60	Santa Clara County Parks and Recreation Department	General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	5	
		Law Enforcement	G11-03-19-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Grant request reduced per 4970.15.3(b)(4); request doesn't justify increased amount needed.
61	Sierra County Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement	G11-03-55-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	4970.15.3(b)(1): Indirect costs exceed 15%. Recommend funding \$21,000.
62	Siskiyou County Sheriff's Department	Law Enforcement	G11-03-68-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommend for funding as requested.
63	Stanislaus County Parks and Recreation Department	Development - Frank Raines	G11-03-20-D01	9	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Meeting(s) with stakeholders added after preliminary without public or Division comment.
		Development - Frank Raines	G11-03-20-D01	10	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	2	Two partners were added after preliminary without public or Division comment.
		General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	4	
		Ground Operations - Frank Raines	G11-03-20-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Narrative only supports "public meetings".

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations - Frank Raines	G11-03-20-G01	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by:	5	3	Narrative does not support "Bridges..." and "Re routing".
		Ground Operations - Frank Raines	G11-03-20-G01	7	The Project incorporates recycled materials by utilizing:	5	4	Description to "Other" was changed after preliminary.
		Ground Operations - Frank Raines	G11-03-20-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.
		Ground Operations - Frank Raines	G11-03-20-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	
		Ground Operations - La Grange	G11-03-20-G02	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Narrative only supports "Publically noticed meeting".
		Ground Operations - La Grange	G11-03-20-G02	5	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	2	0	Line item was eliminated as this was added after preliminary without public or Division comment.
		Ground Operations - La Grange	G11-03-20-G02	9	The Project improves and/or maintains facilities that provide motorized access to the following non-motorized recreation opportunities:	6	4	Applicant added "Radio Controlled Cars" after preliminary without public or Division comment.
64	Stanislaus County Sheriff's Department	Education & Safety	G11-03-38-S01	2	For Applicant's OHV grant Project(s) which reached the end of the Project performance period within the last two years, the percentage of all Deliverables accomplished:	2	5	Applicant is not a first time applicant. met 100% deliverables from previous year.
		Education & Safety	G11-03-38-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety	G11-03-38-S01	6	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Narrative does not support Publicly Noticed Meetings selection.
		Education & Safety	G11-03-38-S01	10	The Project will utilize the following methods of education:	4	2	Narrative does not address "outreach booths/exhibits".
		Education & Safety	G11-03-38-S01	11	The Project provides direct support for delivery of ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation training	2	0	ASI/MSF training is not part of the project.
		Law Enforcement	G11-03-38-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Grant request was reduced per 4970.15.3(b)(4): Needs assessment doesn't justify increase, 4970.15.3(b)(5): Reduction based on previous year's grant award.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
65	Student Conservation Association	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	8	6	Narrative does not support "...created a special fund...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	2	
		Restoration	G11-04-23-R01	2	Natural and Cultural Resources - Failure to fund the Project will result in adverse impacts to:	14	10	Narrative supports two "T & E species" and zero "Special Status species".
		Restoration	G11-04-23-R01	7	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	1	0	Narrative does not support conference calls.
		Restoration	G11-04-23-R01	8	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	0	Participation of partner organizations not confirmed.
		Restoration	G11-04-23-R01	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".
		Restoration	G11-04-23-R01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	OHV Project Management and Development - Items appear to be indirect costs. \$24,000 applicable to indirect costs. Rate for Staff - "Project Leader" and "Crew Members" increased without comment. Reduced to preliminary rates. Grant reduced by \$3,540 and \$5,292. Staff-Other-Crew Member Service Hours value increased without comment. Reduce match \$10,884 to preliminary level. Materials/Supplies - Field Meals increased without comment. Reduce Grant by \$720. Materials/Supplies - "Other - equipment" - added item without comment and duplicates "Tools" line item. Reduce Grant by \$5,250, reduce Match by \$2,000. Equipment Use - increased rate for "Lead Pick up Truck" without comment. Reduce Grant by \$700. Adjust Indirect Cost to 15% - Reduced Grant and Match appropriately.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
68	Tread Lightly!. Inc.	Education & Safety - Communications	G11-04-26-S05	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety - Communications	G11-04-26-S05	7	The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements:	10	0	Narrative does not address this project.
		Education & Safety - Communications	G11-04-26-S05	8	Total number of times individuals are exposed to the message through educational methods identified in Question 10:	4	3	1,000-10,000 would be a more appropriate selection.
		Education & Safety - Communications	G11-04-26-S05	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other Communications- Line item was changed as this was increased after preliminary without public or Division comment. Deduct \$1,500 grant.
		Education & Safety - Effectiveness Survey	G11-04-26-S04	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety - Effectiveness Survey	G11-04-26-S04	4	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	2	0	Did not provided additional details as requested by Division comment.
		Education & Safety - Effectiveness Survey	G11-04-26-S04	7	The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements:	10	2	Narrative only supports "objectives".
		Education & Safety - Outreach	G11-04-26-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety - Outreach	G11-04-26-S01	4	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	2	Narrative supports two partners. The California Association of 4WD was added after preliminary.
		Education & Safety - Outreach	G11-04-26-S01	7	The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements:	10	0	Narrative does not support selections.
		Education & Safety - Outreach	G11-04-26-S01	8	Total number of times individuals are exposed to the message through educational methods identified in Question 10:	3	2	Narrative supports 100-1,000 selection.
		Education & Safety - Outreach	G11-04-26-S01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other Staff Per Diem- Line item was reduced as this was increased after preliminary without public or Division comment. Deduct \$3,750 grant.
		Education & Safety - Signage	G11-04-26-S02	1	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is:	3	0	Match increased without public or Division Comment.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Education & Safety - Signage	G11-04-26-S02	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety - Signage	G11-04-26-S02	7	The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements:	10	0	The narrative does not support the project. The project is to develop, print and distribute interpretive panels.
		Education & Safety - Signage	G11-04-26-S02	8	Total number of times individuals are exposed to the message through educational methods identified in Question 10:	4	3	Narrative supports 1,000-10,000 selection.
		Education & Safety - Signage	G11-04-26-S02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Contracts- Other Sign Design- Line item was changed as this was increased after Preliminary without public or Division comment. Deduct \$500 match. Materials/Supplies- Other Signs- Line item was changed as this was increased after preliminary without public or Division comment. Deduct \$1,000 match. Additional grant reduction of \$2,900 to meet minimum match requirements.
		Education & Safety - Training	G11-04-26-S03	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety - Training	G11-04-26-S03	4	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	2	Narrative only supports two partners.
		Education & Safety - Training	G11-04-26-S03	7	The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements:	10	0	Narrative does not support this project.
		Education & Safety - Training	G11-04-26-S03	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Others- Master Course Travel & Tread Trainer Course Travel- Applicant split original item into two items for an increase in grant request of \$1,400 after preliminary. Deduct \$1,400.
69	Trinity County Resource Conservation District	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		13	The Applicant or Land Manager conducts, or causes to be conducted, sound level testing:	2	0	Applicant changed response after preliminary without public or Division comment.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	6	4	Narrative does not support "...engaged in a collaborative process...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	1	

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		General Criteria		8a	Education materials available onsite:	10	5	Narrative does not support "Bulletin boards..."
		General Criteria		8b	Applicant or Land Manager provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices. Count only organized, scheduled events; do not include routine visitor contacts:	1	0	Only supports 2-3 events.
		Ground Operations	G11-07-02-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Narrative does not support "meeting with stakeholders".
		Ground Operations	G11-07-02-G01	5	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	1	Narrative only supports one partner.
		Ground Operations	G11-07-02-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other - Conservation Technician II - Line item was eliminated as it is a duplicate of the previous Conservation Technician based on the applicant's description of duties. Reduce grant amount \$4,000 and match amount \$3,350. Indirect costs exceed 15%. Reduced grant request appropriately.
70	USFS - Angeles National Forest	General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	8	4	Narrative does not support "...engaged in collaborative processes..." and "...created special fund..."
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	4	
		General Criteria		8b	Applicant or Land Manager provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices. Count only organized, scheduled events; do not include routine visitor contacts:	1	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Restoration	G11-02-01-R01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Revised Archeologist without comment - Reduce to preliminary Quantity. Reduce Match \$3,700. Revised Vehicle Barriers without appropriate comment - Reduce to preliminary request. Reduce Grant \$2,500. Adjust Indirect to 15% - Reduced match appropriately.
71	USFS - Angeles NF - Patrol District	Law Enforcement	G11-02-21-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended funding as requested.
72	USFS - Cleveland National Forest	General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	5	

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations - North	G11-02-02-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Conference call and the stakeholder meeting is the same meeting.
		Ground Operations - North	G11-02-02-G01	5	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	2	SoCal Scramblers & San Diego Off-Road Coalition partner activities are not consistent with Ground Operations activities.
		Ground Operations - South	G11-02-02-G02	2	Failure to complete the Project would result in:	8	6	Selection changed without comment from the public or Division.
		Ground Operations - South	G11-02-02-G02	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Stakeholder meeting and conference call took place at the same meeting.
		Ground Operations - South	G11-02-02-G02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	RV Trailer increased \$6,000 without appropriate public comments. The comment made by Mr. Stovin "...the \$10,900 for a command post trailer is a modest request...." does not justify increasing the cost. Reduced grant request \$6,000.
73	USFS - Cleveland NF - Patrol District	Law Enforcement	G11-02-22-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended funding as requested.
74	USFS - Eldorado National Forest	8N55/8N45 Mixed Use Analysis	G11-02-03-P04	2	The Planning Project would address the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support checked item "Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation use".
		8N55/8N45 Mixed Use Analysis	G11-02-03-P04	4	The Project proposal was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Narrative does not support meetings with stakeholders.
		8N55/8N45 Mixed Use Analysis	G11-02-03-P04	5	If the Project were approved, the planning process would incorporate substantial stakeholder input:	5	0	Narrative does not support response.
		8N55/8N45 Mixed Use Analysis	G11-02-03-P04	7	The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner:	5	2	Narrative and Project do not support completing an environmental review.
		8N55/8N45 Mixed Use Analysis	G11-02-03-P04	8	Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified:	5	0	Reference document would not address future funding.
		Ballarat/Crossier Loop Trails Reroute	G11-02-03-D02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	In preliminary for 'Forest Recreation Officer' requested \$902 for Grant Request and \$902 for Match - Changed in Final to \$1,804 for Grant Request and \$0 for Match - This increased the Grant Request by \$902 - reduce back to preliminary amounts.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Elkins Staging Area Restoration Planning	G11-02-03-R04	8	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	1	0	Narrative does not support response.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	3	
		General Criteria		8b	Applicant or Land Manager provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips. etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices. Count only organized, scheduled events; do not include routine visitor contacts:	1	0	Did not update. Narrative does not support response.
		Georgetown RD Restoration	G11-02-03-R03	3	Reason for the Project:	4	1	Narrative supports "Management decision".
		Georgetown RD Restoration	G11-02-03-R03	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".
		Ground Operations	G11-02-03-G01	1	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is:	3	0	Adjustments to the cost estimate lowered the total match to 25% - which is the minimum.
		Ground Operations	G11-02-03-G01	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by:	6	5	"Providing bridges instead of wet crossings where appropriate" is not related to this project.
		Ground Operations	G11-02-03-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations	G11-02-03-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Materials/Supplies: Barricade materials - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not justify why this line item is a ground operation activity. Reduce grant request \$8,500 and match \$5,250. Indirect Costs: Forest Recreation Officer - grant request increased \$1,113 without public or Division comment. Reduce request \$1,113. Indirect Costs: District Resource Officer - this a duplicate line item (see staff District Resource Officers GS11). Reduce request \$2,000 and match \$29,875. Indirect Costs: Webmaster/Public Affairs - grant increased \$1,000 without public or Division comment. Reduce request \$1,000. Indirect Costs: Forest OHV Coordinator -grant increased \$3,500 without public or Division comment. Reduce grant request \$3,500.
		Phase II McKinstry. North Union and Jones Fork Restoration	G11-02-03-R01	3	Reason for the Project:	4	0	Date not provided for reference document.
		Phase II McKinstry. North Union and Jones Fork Restoration	G11-02-03-R01	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".
		Placerville Routes Native Plant Restoration	G11-02-03-R02	3	Reason for the Project:	4	0	Date not provided for reference document.
		Placerville Routes Native Plant Restoration	G11-02-03-R02	6	Primary funding source for future operational costs associated with the Project will be:	3	0	Narrative was not modified to address operational costs.
		Placerville Routes Native Plant Restoration	G11-02-03-R02	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application". Reduce point from 3 to 0 pts.
		Rock Creek Planning Update	G11-02-03-P01	2	The Planning Project would address the following:	3	2	Narrative does not support "Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation users" or "Trail issues such as traffic patterns. etc...".
		Rock Creek Planning Update	G11-02-03-P01	3	The Project would lead to improved facilities that provide motorized access to the following nonmotorized recreation opportunities:	6	0	Project Description does not support response.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Rock Creek Planning Update	G11-02-03-P01	6	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	1	0	The narrative does support the response.
		Rock Creek Planning Update	G11-02-03-P01	7	The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner:	9	4	Narrative and project only supports "Project will develop management plans for existing OHV Opportunity".
		Rock Creek Planning Update	G11-02-03-P01	9	The Planning Project would address offsite impacts relative to the Project Area (e.g., sound, fugitive dust, runoff):	5	0	Narrative and Project Description does not support the response.
		Rock Creek Planning Update	G11-02-03-P01	10	If successful, would the Project lead to the creation of a new OHV Opportunity within the jurisdiction of a Land Manager that does not currently provide OHV Opportunity?	10	0	Land Manager currently provides OHV opportunities.
		Travel Management Supplemental EIS	G11-02-03-P03	8	Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified:	5	0	Reference documents would not identify future funding.
		Travel Management Supplemental EIS	G11-02-03-P03	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Staff - Recreation Specialist - Did not provide further information regarding this line item. Deduct \$9,135 from Grant and \$9,135 from Match. Materials/Supplies - Misc Supplies to support Program - Did not provide further information regarding this line item. Deduct \$2,500 from Grant and \$2,500 from Match.
75	USFS - Eldorado NF - Patrol District	Law Enforcement	G11-02-23-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended as requested.
76	USFS - Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	6	2	Narrative does not support "...engaged in collaborative processes..." and "...special fund...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	1	
		Ground Operations	G11-02-04-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	This project was not developed with public input. The narrative discusses meetings and/or calls regarding the Alpine Winter Recreation Planning process and travel management. not this grant proposal.
		Restoration	G11-02-04-R01	2	Natural and Cultural Resources - Failure to fund the Project will result in adverse impacts to:	10	8	Narrative supports two "sensitive areas".

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Restoration	G11-02-04-R01	7	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	1	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Restoration	G11-02-04-R01	8	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	2	0	Participation of partner organizations not confirmed.
		Restoration	G11-02-04-R01	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".
		Restoration	G11-02-04-R01	11	Size of sensitive habitats (e.g., wilderness, riparian, wetlands, ACEC) which will be restored within the Project Area:	5	0	No sensitive habitat will be restored in project.
77	USFS - Humboldt-Toiyabe NF - Patrol District	Law Enforcement	G11-02-24-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
78	USFS - Inyo National Forest	Eastern Sierra Community-Based Campaign	G11-02-05-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Eastern Sierra Community-Based Campaign	G11-02-05-S01	7	The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements:	10	6	Narrative only supports "Process of researching..." "Objectives". and "Evaluation ...".
		Eastern Sierra Community-Based Campaign	G11-02-05-S01	10	The Project will utilize the following methods of education:	10	6	Narrative does not support interpretive talks, social media selections.
		Eastern Sierra Community-Based Campaign	G11-02-05-S01	11	The Project provides direct support for delivery of ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation training	2	0	The project does not provide direct ASI/MSF training to the public.
		Eastern Sierra Community-Based Campaign	G11-02-05-S01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Contracts- Certified Brochure Distributor- Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Deduct \$1,881 grant.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	6	4	Applicant added "...OHV Commission or stakeholder group..." after the preliminary without public or Division comment.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	4	
		Maintenance, Operations, and Mitigations	G11-02-05-G03	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Seasonal OHV Tech. grant was increased \$3,000 without public or Division comment. Reduce grant request \$3,000. Other: Geo-Fabric/Filter Cloth - grant was increased \$50 without public or Division comment. Reduce grant request \$50.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		OHV Campground Improvements	G11-02-05-D01	7	The Project is designed to sustain existing OHV Recreation:	3	2	Response and/or Project Description supports "improves support facilities associated with existing OHV Opportunity".
		OHV Campground Improvements	G11-02-05-D01	9	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Publicly noticed meeting(s) was not checked for preliminary.
		OHV Campground Improvements	G11-02-05-D01	10	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	2	1	Only one partner from preliminary justified.
		OHV Campground Improvements	G11-02-05-D01	13	The Project utilizes the following techniques to prevent damage to, or restore riparian/wetland areas:	4	0	Project description does not support checked items.
		Planning - Travel Management Improvements	G11-02-05-P01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Indirect Cost - Exceeds 15%. Reduce grant request by \$120 and match by \$55.
		Sierra Front Planning and Monitoring/Repair	G11-02-05-R02	4	Measures to ensure success - The Project makes use of the following elements to ensure successful implementation:	10	8	Narrative does not support "educational signage".
		Sierra Front Planning and Monitoring/Repair	G11-02-05-R02	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".
		Sierra Front Planning and Monitoring/Repair	G11-02-05-R02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Increased Qty for "OHV Patrols- Recreation Tech." without comment - reduce to preliminary. Reduce Grant \$3,750. Adjust Indirect to 15% - Reduced grant and match appropriately.
79	USFS - Inyo/San Bernardino NF - Patrol District	Law Enforcement -- Inyo	G11-02-25-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
		Law Enforcement -- San Bernardino	G11-02-25-L02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
80	USFS - Klamath National Forest	Education & Safety -- Motor Vehicle Opportunity Guide. Gossenest RD	G11-02-06-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety -- Motor Vehicle Opportunity Guide. Gossenest RD	G11-02-06-S01	7	The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements:	10	8	The "Evaluation..." selection was added after preliminary without public or Division comments.
		Education & Safety -- Motor Vehicle Opportunity Guide. Gossenest RD	G11-02-06-S01	10	The Project will utilize the following methods of education:	6	4	Narrative does not support "Hands on Learning" selection.
		Education & Safety -- Motor Vehicle Opportunity Guide. Gossenest RD	G11-02-06-S01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Indirect Costs exceed 15% by \$765. Indirect Cost match reduced \$765. Grant reduced \$1,761 to meet minimum match requirement.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	2	
		Restoration	G11-02-06-R01	7	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	1	0	Conference call with interested parties not supported.
81	USFS - Klamath/Modoc NF - Patrol District	Law Enforcement	G11-02-26-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommend for funding as requested: \$20,189.
82	USFS - Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		10	Check all forms of OHV outreach the Applicant utilizes:	3	2	The applicant received credit for website under #9.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	4	2	Narrative does not support "...engaged in collaborative processes...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	5	
		Ground Operations	G11-02-07-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	No date was identified for the public meeting.
83	USFS - Lassen National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	3	
		Swain Meadow Vernal Pool Restoration Project	G11-02-08-R01	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".
		Swain Meadow Vernal Pool Restoration Project	G11-02-08-R01	11	Size of sensitive habitats (e.g., wilderness, riparian, wetlands, ACEC) which will be restored within the Project Area:	5	0	No sensitive habitat will be restored in project.
84	USFS - Lassen/Plumas NF - Patrol District	Lassen Law Enforcement	G11-02-27-L02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
		Plumas Law Enforcement	G11-02-27-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
85	USFS - Los Padres National Forest	General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	6	4	Applicant added first item after preliminary without public or Division comment.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	4	
		Ground Operations	G11-02-09-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations	G11-02-09-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Program Mmgt. - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not justify why this line item is a direct cost. Reduce match \$20,000.
		SLRD - Planning	G11-02-09-P01	7	The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner:	14	6	Narrative does not support the checked items: "Project will complete environmental review...", "Project supports development of OHV Opportunities adjacent..." and "Project supports development of OHV Opportunities in areas that lack legal...".
		SLRD - Planning	G11-02-09-P01	8	Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified:	5	0	Explanation provided instead of a reference document.
		SLRD - Planning	G11-02-09-P01	10	If successful, would the Project lead to the creation of a new OHV Opportunity within the jurisdiction of a Land Manager that does not currently provide OHV Opportunity?	10	0	The Land Manager currently provides OHV Opportunity.
86	USFS - Los Padres NF - Patrol District	Law Enforcement	G11-02-28-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
87	USFS - Mendocino National Forest	General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	4	
		Ground Operations	G11-02-10-G01	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by:	6	5	"Providing bridges instead of wet crossings where appropriate" is not a part of this project.
		Ground Operations	G11-02-10-G01	7	The Project incorporates recycled materials by utilizing:	5	4	Response to "Other products" was changed after preliminary.
		Ground Operations	G11-02-10-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Visitor information tech - This is an indirect cost. \$19,565 was moved to the Indirect Cost category. ULRD Information Specialist - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not justify how this line item is project related. Reduce match \$18,271.
		Restoration	G11-02-10-R01	2	Natural and Cultural Resources - Failure to fund the Project will result in adverse impacts to:	25	21	Narrative does not support "domestic water supply".
		Restoration	G11-02-10-R01	6	Primary funding source for future operational costs associated with the Project will be:	3	0	Response does not address future operational costs.
		Restoration	G11-02-10-R01	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".
		Trail 27 Re-Route	G11-02-10-P01	6	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	2	Narrative supports three partners. Blue Ribbon Coalition was added after preliminary without comment.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Trail 27 Re-Route	G11-02-10-P01	7	The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner:	14	3	Narrative only supports checked item "...complete environmental review...".
88	USFS - Mendocino NF - Patrol District	Law Enforcement	G11-02-36-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
89	USFS - Pacific Southwest Region	Education & Safety	G11-02-12-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety	G11-02-12-S01	6	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support either selection. Meeting date provided is after 3/6 Preliminary filing date.
90	USFS - Plumas National Forest	Development Granite Basin/ Mt. Hough New Trails	G11-02-13-D02	1	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is:	5	3	Adjustments to Project Cost Estimate resulted in Match reduced to 26% - 50%.
		Development Granite Basin/ Mt. Hough New Trails	G11-02-13-D02	2c	Cultural Resources	5	3	Appropriate response for project is Identified cultural sites in Project area will not be affected.
		Development Granite Basin/ Mt. Hough New Trails	G11-02-13-D02	3	The Project is designed to provide for diversified OHV use:	4	1	Project description conflicts with narrative and supports only MC.
		Development Granite Basin/ Mt. Hough New Trails	G11-02-13-D02	5	The Project makes substantial use of recycled content building materials, meaning at least 50% of the construction materials contain recycled content, such as:	5	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Development Granite Basin/ Mt. Hough New Trails	G11-02-13-D02	6	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Development Granite Basin/ Mt. Hough New Trails	G11-02-13-D02	9	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Development Granite Basin/ Mt. Hough New Trails	G11-02-13-D02	10	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	2	Sierra Buttes Stewardship appears to be the contractor for this project and does not qualify as a partner.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Development Granite Basin/ Mt. Hough New Trails	G11-02-13-D02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Contracts - 'Other-Contractor' was reduced by 50%. Item was identified as being excessive and applicant did not justify cost. Grant reduced by \$139,199. Materials/Supplies - 'Tools Contractor' and 'Volunteer hand tools' - not sufficient detail provided, additionally inconsistent with other similar projects - reduce Match by \$88,508 and \$7,848. Equipment Use Expense - 'Travel Contractor' and 'Volunteer Travel' - no additional detail provided - reduce Match by \$37,999 and \$21,373.
		Development OHV Campground Improvements	G11-02-13-D01	2c	Cultural Resources	4	0	Not a valid reference document and no date.
		Development OHV Campground Improvements	G11-02-13-D01	3	The Project is designed to provide for diversified OHV use:	5	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Development OHV Campground Improvements	G11-02-13-D01	6	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Development OHV Campground Improvements	G11-02-13-D01	7	The Project is designed to sustain existing OHV Recreation:	3	2	Project improves support facilities associated with existing OHV Opportunity.
		Development OHV Campground Improvements	G11-02-13-D01	10	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	2	0	Narrative does not support response, partner organization added after preliminary.
		Development OHV Campground Improvements	G11-02-13-D01	13	Does the Project Area contain riparian/wetland issues?	10	0	No reference document provided.
		Development OHV Campground Improvements	G11-02-13-D01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	No detail provided for Staff - reduce Match by \$16,880. No details provided for Road Crew - Use the lower rate, adjust West Side Grant Request from \$1,560 to \$960. Contracts - NEPA activities are not valid since they are for the Rogers Cow Camp which will not result in development. Reduce Grant by \$40,000 and Match by \$12,000. Based on minimum 25% match requirement the Grant Request amount is \$6,000
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	1	
		General Criteria		9b	Resource Management Information System	5	0	Did not provide a reference document.
		Ground Operations	G11-02-13-G01	1	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is:	3	0	Match was reduced to 25% due to adjustments of cost estimate.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations	G11-02-13-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support publicly noticed meetings or stakeholder meetings.
		Ground Operations	G11-02-13-G01	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by:	7	5	"Providing bridges instead of wet crossings where appropriate" and "Re-routing trails to divert" are not part of this project.
		Ground Operations	G11-02-13-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations	G11-02-13-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	District ranger - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not justify why this line item is a direct cost. Reduced \$3,150 match. Receptionist - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not justify why this line item is a direct cost. Reduced \$36,000 match. Asst. Resource Officer - Match was increased \$41,520 without public or Division comment, reduce match \$41,520. Motorcycle Mtcn - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details in this line item. Reduced grant \$5,500 and match \$2,498. Materials / Supplies Other-Beckwourth - Line item includes non ground operation items such as rocks and barriers. Grant request reduced \$1,500 and match reduced \$2,575. Materials / Supplies: Other-Mt. Hough - Line item includes non ground operation items such as rocks and barriers. Grant request reduced \$1,500 and match reduced \$3,000. Materials / Supplies: Other-Feather River RD - Line item includes non ground operation items such as rocks and barriers. Grant request reduced \$1,500 and match reduced \$3,000. Equipment Use Expenses - Other-Beckwourth mileage - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce match \$2,535. Equipment Use Expenses - Other-Mt. Hough mileage - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce match \$3,325. Equipment Use Expenses - Other-FRRD mileage - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce match \$2,125. Grant request was reduced an additional \$206,780 to account for the 25% required match.
		Ground Operations Granite Basin/Mt. Hough	G11-02-13-G02	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support selections.
		Ground Operations Granite Basin/Mt. Hough	G11-02-13-G02	5	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	2	Sierra Buttes Trail Stewardship appears to be the contractor for this project therefore cannot be a partner.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations Granite Basin/Mt. Hough	G11-02-13-G02	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by:	7	5	"Providing bridges instead of wet crossings where appropriate" and "Re-routing trails to divert" are not part of this project.
		Ground Operations Granite Basin/Mt. Hough	G11-02-13-G02	7	The Project incorporates recycled materials by utilizing:	4	3	Trail maps are not identified as part of this project.
		Ground Operations Granite Basin/Mt. Hough	G11-02-13-G02	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.
		Ground Operations Granite Basin/Mt. Hough	G11-02-13-G02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other - Contractor line item was reduced by 50%. Cost item was identified as being excessive and applicant did not justify cost. Reduce grant amount \$93,390. Materials/Supplies "Other Tool Match Contractor" - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce match \$59,376. Materials/Supplies "Tool Match Volunteer" Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce match \$5,272. Equipment Use Expense "Travel Match Contractor" - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce match \$25,488. Equipment Use Expense "Travel Match Volunteer" - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce match \$14,338.
		Planning Beckwourth summer/winter	G11-02-13-P03	1	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is:	3	0	Adjustments to Project Cost Estimate resulted in Match reduced to 25%.
		Planning Beckwourth summer/winter	G11-02-13-P03	2	The Planning Project would address the following:	4	2	Narrative only supports "...effects on special status species..." and "...effects on cultural resources...".
		Planning Beckwourth summer/winter	G11-02-13-P03	4	The Project proposal was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Narrative does not support stakeholder meetings.
		Planning Beckwourth summer/winter	G11-02-13-P03	6	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	0	Activities identified are not related to the project.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Planning Beckwourth summer/winter	G11-02-13-P03	7	The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner:	8	0	Narrative does not support checked responses.
		Planning Beckwourth summer/winter	G11-02-13-P03	8	Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified:	5	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Planning Beckwourth summer/winter	G11-02-13-P03	9	The Planning Project would address offsite impacts relative to the Project Area (e.g., sound, fugitive dust, runoff):	5	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Planning Beckwourth summer/winter	G11-02-13-P03	10	If successful, would the Project lead to the creation of a new OHV Opportunity within the jurisdiction of a Land Manager that does not currently provide OHV Opportunity?	10	0	The Land Manager currently provides OHV Opportunity.
		Planning Beckwourth summer/winter	G11-02-13-P03	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Staff-Wildlife Specialist - Did not provide any additional information for this line item. Reduce Grant by \$34,000. Staff-Other-Engineer - Did not provide any additional information for this line item. Reduce Grant by \$2,000. Staff-GS-11 PSS Staff Officer - Did not provide any additional information for this line item. Reduce Match by \$4,250. Staff-GS-09 Resource assistant - Did not provide any additional information for this line item. Reduce Match by \$5,625. Staff-Resource Specialist - Did not provide any additional information for this line item. Reduce Match by \$5,500. Materials/Supplies-Misc. Supplies to support Program - Did not provide any additional details for this line item. Reduce Match by \$500. Equipment Use Expenses - Field Vehicle - Did not provide any additional details for this line item. Reduce Match by \$2,250. Eligible Match of \$750 x 3 = \$2,250 for Grant.
		Planning Dispersed Camping	G11-02-13-P01	4	The Project proposal was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Narrative does support public meetings.
		Planning Dispersed Camping	G11-02-13-P01	7	The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner:	4	2	Narrative does not support "...development of OHV opportunities in areas that lack legal OHV opportunity".
		Planning Dispersed Camping	G11-02-13-P01	8	Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified:	5	0	Reference document would not support future funding.
		Planning Dispersed Camping	G11-02-13-P01	10	If successful, would the Project lead to the creation of a new OHV Opportunity within the jurisdiction of a Land Manager that does not currently provide OHV Opportunity?	10	0	Land Manager currently provides OHV Opportunity.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Planning Janesville/Chilcoat	G11-02-13-P02	1	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate. the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is:	3	0	Adjustments to Project Cost Estimate resulted in Match reduced to 25%.
		Planning Janesville/Chilcoat	G11-02-13-P02	6	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	4	0	Narrative does not support response. All partners were added after preliminary.
		Planning Janesville/Chilcoat	G11-02-13-P02	7	The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner:	7	5	Narrative does not support "...development of OHV Opportunities in areas that lack legal OHV Opportunity".
		Planning Janesville/Chilcoat	G11-02-13-P02	8	Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified:	5	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Planning Janesville/Chilcoat	G11-02-13-P02	10	If successful, would the Project lead to the creation of a new OHV Opportunity within the jurisdiction of a Land Manager that does not currently provide OHV Opportunity?	10	0	The Land Manager currently provides OHV Opportunity.
		Planning Janesville/Chilcoat	G11-02-13-P02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Staff-GS-11 PSS Officer - No additional detail provided. Reduce Match by \$4,250. Staff-GS-9 Resource assistant - No additional detail provided. Reduce Match by \$5,625. Staff-Resource Specialist SO - No additional detail provided. Reduce Match by \$4,125. Equipment Use Expenses - Field Vehicle - No additional detail provided. Reduce Match by \$2,250.
91	USFS - San Bernardino National Forest	Cajon Wash Boulder Placement	G11-02-14-R03	11	Size of sensitive habitats (e.g., wilderness, riparian, wetlands, ACEC) which will be restored within the Project Area:	3	0	No sensitive habitat will be restored in project.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	6	4	Narrative does not support "...engaged in collaborative processes...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	4	
		General Criteria		8b	Applicant or Land Manager provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices. Count only organized, scheduled events; do not include routine visitor contacts:	3	2	The narrative support 20-49 times a year.
		Ground Operations	G11-02-14-G01	7	The Project incorporates recycled materials by utilizing:	5	4	Trail maps are not identified as part of this project.
		Ground Operations	G11-02-14-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations	G11-02-14-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other-GPS/Camera for OHV monitoring appears excessive in comparison to other ground operation projects. Reduced quantity by 4. Reduce grant request \$1,600. Trail maps - Line item was eliminated as this was added after preliminary without public or Division comment. Reduce match \$1,500.
		Restoration Monitoring and Maintenance Forestwide	G11-02-14-R01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Qty increased without comment for Front Country "Archeologist" - Reduce Grant \$7,645. Qty increased without comment for Mountain Top "Archeologist" - Reduce Grant \$5,651. Qty increased without comment "Greenthumbs Volunteers" - Reduce Match \$25,800.
		Trails Planning for Deep Creek/Coxey Area	G11-02-14-P01	8	Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified:	5	0	Not a valid reference document that would identify future funding sources.
		Trails Planning for Deep Creek/Coxey Area	G11-02-14-P01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other-Public Affairs Specialist - No additional details were provided. Line item was eliminated - Reduce Grant by \$984.
92	USFS - Sequoia National Forest	Education & Safety	G11-02-15-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety	G11-02-15-S01	6	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Conference calls & stakeholders cannot be the same meeting.
		Education & Safety	G11-02-15-S01	10	The Project will utilize the following methods of education:	14	8	Narrative only supports "Printed media", "Advertising" and "Social media".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	3	
		Ground Operations	G11-02-15-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	1	0	Responded to question after preliminary without public or Division comment.
		Ground Operations	G11-02-15-G01	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by:	6	5	The project description does not support "Re-routing of trails to divert away from..."
		Ground Operations	G11-02-15-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations	G11-02-15-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Staff - Stewards Vol Trl Crew - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce grant request \$2,500. Materials/Supplies - Other Batteries. AA- This item appears excessive in comparison to other ground operations projects. Reduce quantity by 2. Reduce grant request \$1,690.
		Restoration	G11-02-15-R01	6	Primary funding source for future operational costs associated with the Project will be:	5	0	Narrative conflicts with Project Description; Item A.
		Restoration	G11-02-15-R01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Appropriated Funding itemized per Division comment. Recommend applicant move Match contribution in "Contract" to "Staff" or "Other" for Cooperative Agreement.
93	USFS - Sequoia NF - Patrol District	Law Enforcement	G11-02-34-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Grant request reduced per 4970.15.3(b)(1); did not respond to comment on mileage vs. vehicle use fee 4970.15.3(b)(4); did not properly assess LE needs (vehicle use).
94	USFS - Shasta-Trinity National Forest	Education & Safety	G11-02-16-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety	G11-02-16-S01	6	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Selected Publicly Noticed Mtgs after Preliminary without public or Division Comments.
		Education & Safety	G11-02-16-S01	7	The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements:	10	6	Narrative does not support "Testing process..." and "Plan to implement..."
		Education & Safety	G11-02-16-S01	10	The Project will utilize the following methods of education:	14	10	Narrative does not support Social Media or Advertising selections.
		Education & Safety	G11-02-16-S01	11	The Project provides direct support for delivery of ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation training	2	0	ASI/MSF training is not part of this project.
		Education & Safety	G11-02-16-S01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Materials/Supplies- Trauma kits and human bodily fluids kits are not part of this project. Deduct \$500 grant.
		General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		General Criteria		13	The Applicant or Land Manager conducts, or causes to be conducted, sound level testing:	2	0	Applicant does not currently provide sound testing.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	6	4	Narrative does not support "...engaged in a collaborative process...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	1	
		General Criteria		8a	Education materials available onsite:	10	5	Narrative does not support "Bulletin boards...".
		General Criteria		8b	Applicant or Land Manager provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices. Count only organized, scheduled events; do not include routine visitor contacts:	1	0	Narrative only supports 2-3 events.
		SFMU Ground Operations	G11-02-16-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Narrative does not support publicly noticed meeting with the general public to discuss project.
		SFMU Ground Operations	G11-02-16-G01	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by:	6	5	"Re-routing trails to divert.." is not part of this project.
		SFMU Ground Operations	G11-02-16-G01	7	The Project incorporates recycled materials by utilizing:	5	3	Trail maps are not identified in the project and the "Other products..." response changed after preliminary.
		SFMU Ground Operations	G11-02-16-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.
95	USFS - Shasta-Trinity/Six Rivers NF - Patrol District	Law Enforcement - Shasta Trinity NF	G11-02-37-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
		Law Enforcement - Six Rivers NF	G11-02-37-L02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
96	USFS - Sierra National Forest	Base Camp Toilet Development	G11-02-17-D01	2b	Habitat	3	0	Date of reference document not provided.
				2c	Cultural Resources	4	0	Date of reference document not provided.
				3	The Project is designed to provide for diversified OHV use:	6	0	Narrative does not support the items checked.
		Base Camp Toilet Development	G11-02-17-D01	9	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Narrative does not support stakeholders.
		Base Camp Toilet Development	G11-02-17-D01	13	Does the Project Area contain riparian/wetland issues?	10	0	No date provided for reference document.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Forestwide Ground Operations	G11-02-17-G01	6	The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by:	6	5	"Providing bridges instead of wet crossings where appropriate" is not part of the project.
		Forestwide Ground Operations	G11-02-17-G01	8	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	The project does not make substantial use of sustainable technologies.
		Forestwide Ground Operations	G11-02-17-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	49	44	OSV Manager - This line item contains non-ground operations activities (e.g.. Search and Rescue). Reduced quantity 50 days. Reduced grant request \$9,009 and \$4,641 in match. Other-Winter Trail Maint Facility - This line item contains non-ground operations activities (e.g. First Aid, CPR, Communications & Defensive Driving). Reduced quantity of days by 3. Reduced grant request \$359 and \$55 in match. Other -OHV Project Mgr / Mitigation - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not justify why this line item is a direct cost. Reduce grant request \$4,512 and match \$2,411. Other-Archeologist - Stewardship Prjct S - Line item was eliminated as this item appears excessive in comparisons to similar activities with other forests. Reduce grant request \$6,560. Contracts-Mitigation Contract - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce grant request \$75,000. Materials / Supplies - Other-HS Trail Monitoring cameras - This line is eliminated as the item appears excessive in comparisons to similar requests from other forests. Reduce grant request \$1,500. Materials / Supplies-Other BL Training and Medical Supplies - This line item contains non-ground operations activities (e.g.. First Aid & CPR). Reduce grant request \$2,800. Materials / Supplies-Other BL Training and Medical Supplies - This line item appears to be a duplicate. Reduce grant request \$2,800. Materials / Supplies-Misc tools - This line item appears to be a duplicate. Reduce grant request \$2,500. Indirect costs exceed 15%. Reduced match appropriately.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	4	2	Narrative does not support "...engaged in collaborative processes...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	2	
		Land Ethics Education	G11-02-17-S01	1	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is:	3	0	Match score changed after match was increased after preliminary.
		Land Ethics Education	G11-02-17-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Land Ethics Education	G11-02-17-S01	7	The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements:	8	6	Narrative does not support Evaluation and Feedback selection.
		Land Ethics Education	G11-02-17-S01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Staff- OHV Manager and Front Desk Personnel are Indirect Costs. Line items eliminated. Deduct \$5,000 from grant and \$12,625 from match. Materials/Supplies- Brochures- This line item is reduced as the item appears excessive in comparison to similar requests from other projects. Match increased by \$13,600 without public or Division Comment. Reduce grant request \$35,500, reduce match \$13,600. Indirect Costs- Added \$14,570 in match, with only \$2,400 based on Division comment. Deduct \$12,170 from match.
		Restoration Planning for Decommissioning	G11-02-17-R01	3	Reason for the Project:	1	0	Reference document not provided.
		Restoration Planning for Decommissioning	G11-02-17-R01	9	Scientific and cultural studies will: (Respond ONLY if Restoration Project involves scientific or cultural studies.)	1	0	Project is not a scientific/cultural study.
		Restoration Planning for Decommissioning	G11-02-17-R01	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Narrative does not support response.
97	USFS - Sierra NF - Patrol District	Law Enforcement	G11-02-35-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
98	USFS - Six Rivers National Forest	General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	3	
		Ground Operations	G11-02-18-G01	1	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is:	10	3	Reduction due to change in match without public or Division comment.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations	G11-02-18-G02	1	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is:	3	0	Adjustments to Project Cost Estimate resulted in Match reduced to 25%.
		Ground Operations	G11-02-18-G01	2	Failure to complete the Project would result in:	8	7	Narrative does not address "Potential Trespass".
		Ground Operations	G11-02-18-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support items selected.
		Ground Operations	G11-02-18-G02	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support selections.
		Ground Operations	G11-02-18-G03	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support responses.
		Ground Operations	G11-02-18-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other-Hydrologist-Project Manager - This line item was changed from match to grant request after preliminary without public or Division comment. Reduce grant request \$16,800. Other-Rec Tech - CCC Coordination - This line was added after preliminary without public or Division comment. Reduce grant request \$19,250. Other-Biologist - Implementation Monitor - This line item was increased after preliminary without public or Division comment. Reduce grant request \$1,920. Other-YCC Liasion - This line was added after preliminary without public or Division comment. Reduce grant request \$3,440. All the line items in the cost estimate for the categories of Contracts, Materials/Supplies, Equipment Use Expenses and Indirect Costs are being reduced to 26% to be consistent with the preliminary application.
		Ground Operations	G11-02-18-G02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Others-CCC Match - Line item was eliminated as this was added after Preliminary without public or Division comment. Reduced match \$4,600. Indirect cost exceeds 15%, reduced match appropriately. Grant request was reduced an additional \$5,478 to account for the 25% required match.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Restoration	G11-02-18-R01	6	Primary funding source for future operational costs associated with the Project will be:	5	0	Response changed after preliminary without public or Division comment.
		Restoration	G11-02-18-R01	7	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Response not specific to project.
		Restoration	G11-02-18-R01	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Restoration	G11-02-18-R01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Contracts - Other - Cost Share Agreement - Itemized contract - was broken out but increased Grant and decreased Match without comment. Change back to preliminary amounts - reduce Grant by \$34,666 and increase Match by \$15,825. Materials/Supplies - "Native Grass Seed" - reduced Grant by \$3,750 and increased Match by \$3,750 without comment. Change back to preliminary amounts - \$7,000 Grant, \$0 Match. Materials/Supplies - "Equipment Fuel - Gallons" - Added line item without comment. Reduce Grant by \$7,700. Adjust Indirect Cost to 15%. Match increased to preliminary by \$1,131. Grant reduced by total of \$38,616.
99	USFS - Stanislaus National Forest	Calaveras Big Chunk OHV Restoration	G11-02-19-R02	7	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Calaveras Big Chunk OHV Restoration	G11-02-19-R02	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	8	2	Narrative only supports "...adopted a general plan...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	3	
		General Criteria		8d	ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses are provided to the public:	1	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Ground Operations- Stanislaus NF	G11-02-19-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	1	Narrative does not support conference calls.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Ground Operations- Stanislaus NF	G11-02-19-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other-SCA OHV Volunteers - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce grant request \$4,200. Materials / Supplies - Other Gates - Line item was eliminated. Applicant did not provide further details regarding this line item. Reduce grant request \$55,000.
		Groveland Ferretti OHV Restoration	G11-02-19-R05	7	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Groveland Ferretti OHV Restoration	G11-02-19-R05	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".
		Groveland Reynolds Development	G11-02-19-D02	3	The Project is designed to provide for diversified OHV use:	0	6	Division comment in error - Applicant should receive points for the items checked in preliminary.
		Groveland Reynolds Development	G11-02-19-D02	8	The Project improves facilities that provide motorized access to the following nonmotorized recreation opportunities:	0	6	Division comment in error - Applicant should receive points for the items checked in preliminary.
		Groveland Reynolds Development	G11-02-19-D02	9	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Mi-Wok North District Development	G11-02-19-D01	6	The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:	4	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Mi-Wok North District Development	G11-02-19-D01	8	The Project improves facilities that provide motorized access to the following nonmotorized recreation opportunities:	0	6	Division comment in error - Applicant should receive points for the items checked in preliminary.
		Mi-Wok North District Development	G11-02-19-D01	9	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Mi-Wok North District Development	G11-02-19-D01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	District PS PAL - Changed line item from preliminary without comment. Reduce Grant by \$800 and increase Match by \$800.
		Mi-Wok North District OHV Restoration	G11-02-19-R01	2	Natural and Cultural Resources - Failure to fund the Project will result in adverse impacts to:	18	16	Narrative supports two "sensitive areas".

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Mi-Wok North District OHV Restoration	G11-02-19-R01	7	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Mi-Wok North District OHV Restoration	G11-02-19-R01	9	Scientific and cultural studies will: (Respond ONLY if Restoration Project involves scientific or cultural studies.)	4	0	Response does not apply.
		Mi-Wok North District OHV Restoration	G11-02-19-R01	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".
		Summit Long Valley OHV Restoration	G11-02-19-R04	7	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Summit Long Valley OHV Restoration	G11-02-19-R04	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not addressed "prior to application".
100	USFS - Stanislaus NF - Patrol District	Stanislaus NF Law Enforcement	G11-02-32-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
101	USFS - Tahoe National Forest	General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	5	
		Ground Operations	G11-02-20-G01	4	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support selections made.
		Ground Operations	G11-02-20-G01	7	The Project incorporates recycled materials by utilizing:	4	3	Trail maps are not part of the project.
		Ground Operations	G11-02-20-G01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Equipment Purchase - Other TNF Snowmobiles - The activities identified in the notes section are not consistent with ground operation activities. Reduce grant request \$11,000.
		Restoration	G11-02-20-R01	7	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	2	0	Narrative does not support publicly noticed meetings and only one stakeholder was identified.
		Restoration	G11-02-20-R01	8	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	2	0	Only one partner organizations identified.

Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
		Restoration	G11-02-20-R01	11	Size of sensitive habitats (e.g., wilderness, riparian, wetlands, ACEC) which will be restored within the Project Area:	3	0	No sensitive habitat will be restored in project.
		Restoration - Boca Shoreline	G11-02-20-R04	2	Natural and Cultural Resources - Failure to fund the Project will result in adverse impacts to:	15	12	Narrative does not support "Stream or other watercourse".
		Restoration - Boca Shoreline	G11-02-20-R04	4	Measures to ensure success - The Project makes use of the following elements to ensure successful implementation:	12	8	Narrative does not support "Educational signage" or "Identification of alternate OHV routes".
		Restoration - Boca Shoreline	G11-02-20-R04	7	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	1	0	Narrative does not support or provide dates for publicly noticed meeting specific to project.
		Restoration - Boca Shoreline	G11-02-20-R04	11	Size of sensitive habitats (e.g., wilderness, riparian, wetlands, ACEC) which will be restored within the Project Area:	3	0	No sensitive habitat will be restored in project.
		Restoration - Pagge Creek	G11-02-20-R02	2	Natural and Cultural Resources - Failure to fund the Project will result in adverse impacts to:	15	11	Narrative supports one "sensitive area" and does not support "soils".
		Restoration - Pagge Creek	G11-02-20-R02	3	Reason for the Project:	4	0	Date not provided for reference document.
		Restoration - Randall Shirt and Chicken Hawk	G11-02-20-R03	2	Natural and Cultural Resources - Failure to fund the Project will result in adverse impacts to:	9	7	Narrative identified one "sensitive area".
		Restoration - Randall Shirt and Chicken Hawk	G11-02-20-R03	3	Reason for the Project:	4	0	Did not identify reference document.
		Restoration - Randall Shirt and Chicken Hawk	G11-02-20-R03	7	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	1	0	Only one stakeholder identified.
		Restoration - Randall Shirt and Chicken Hawk	G11-02-20-R03	11	Size of sensitive habitats (e.g., wilderness, riparian, wetlands, ACEC) which will be restored within the Project Area:	3	0	No sensitive habitat will be restored in project.
		Restoration - Upper Cottonwood Creek	G11-02-20-R05	7	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	1	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Restoration - Upper Cottonwood Creek	G11-02-20-R05	8	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are:	1	0	Narrative does not support response.
102	USFS - Tahoe/Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit NF - Patrol District	Law Enforcement - LTBMU	G11-02-33-L02	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.
		Law Enforcement - Tahoe	G11-02-33-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommended for funding as requested.

**Factual Findings
2011/2012 Grants and Cooperative Agreements**

#	Applicant	Project Title	Project Number	Question Number	Revised Item / Question	Applicant Score	Division Score	Division remarks
103	Ventura County Sheriff's Department	Law Enforcement	G11-03-51-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Grant request reduced per 4970.15.3(b)(3): Reduced funding in comparison to similar projects.
104	Western Shasta Resource Conservation District	Education & Safety	G11-07-04-S01	3	Previous Year's Performance	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		Education & Safety	G11-07-04-S01	6	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:	1	0	Narrative does not support response.
		Education & Safety	G11-07-04-S01	7	The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements:	10	8	Narrative does not support "Objectives".
		Education & Safety	G11-07-04-S01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Indirect costs exceed 15%. Reduced grant and match appropriately.
		General Criteria		6	In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.	0	3	Applicant was responsive during the previous year and communicated effectively.
		General Criteria		14	The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OHV Recreation in the following ways:	8	6	Did not update response. Narrative does not support "...created a special fund...".
		General Criteria		1d	Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score:	0	5	
		General Criteria		8d	ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses are provided to the public:	5	0	Narrative does not support response. BLM Redding application states "Less than 4 times a year."
		Restoration	G11-07-04-R01	1	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate. the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is:	3	0	Adjustments to Project Cost Estimate resulted in Match reduced to 25%.
		Restoration	G11-07-04-R01	10	The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application:	3	0	Does not support a Yes response. Underlying problem is not resolved "prior to application".
		Restoration	G11-07-04-R01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Other -Volunteers - Line item was increased without comment. Reduce Match \$4,000 to preliminary amount. Grant adjusted to ensure minimum 25% Match. Reduce Grant \$1,072.
105	Yolo County Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement	G11-03-41-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Recommend for funding as requested.
106	Yucca Valley Police Department	Law Enforcement	G11-03-22-L01	N/A	Project Cost Estimate	N/A	N/A	Grant request reduced per 4970.15.3(b)(3): Reduced funding in comparison to similar projects. 4970.15.3(b)(4): The needs assessment does not justify increased funding, applicant has no legal OHV opportunity .